

# Toufan International

## The International Bulletin of the Party of Labour of Iran

No. 19

September 2004

### Attacking the Dead Tiger or Opening the Second Front!

#### On the Occasion of the 60<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Battle of Normandy

The US and European imperialists gathered in Paris to celebrate the 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary of landing the Allied soldiers on Normandy beaches in northwest France. This celebration was carried out with huge propaganda and in the shadow of the US quagmire in Iraq.

The US and British imperialists, who strengthened the Nazis from the beginning in order to direct the war towards the socialist Soviet Union of Lenin and Stalin and to overthrow the dictatorship of the proletariat, evaded the opening of the repeatedly promised Second Front that would have existed behind the Axis forces and their allies including Spain, Italy, Portugal, Austria, Bulgaria, Romania, Hungary, Yugoslavia, Albania, and Turkey.

They evaded the opening of the Front in order to bring the most damage to USSR and to allow millions of Soviet communists to be slaughtered by the Nazi forces.

Mao Zedong wrote on October 12, 1942 in the paper Jiefang Jibao about the US-British evasion of the opening of the Front. He stated that:

"On the Western Front, even if Britain and the United States continue their policy of waiting and procrastinating, the Second Front will eventually be opened, when the time comes to attack the dead tiger".

Mao's opinion confirms Stalin's prediction that the imperialists were preparing to invade the USSR.

During the invasion of Iraq and the "liberation" of Kuwait in the first Gulf war, the US authorities, in order to deceive the public, continuously pointed to the Allied forces entering Germany and "rescuing" Germans from Hitler. Using this historical analogy, they argued that there would not be any problems in invading a country if it were for "rescuing" it from a "smaller Hitler" or for "rescuing" its people. The imperialists tell us that no one should protest the invasion of Yugoslavia, Afghanistan, or Iraq. The US and British authorities shut only the mouth of their former allies with these arguments. The traitor

Iranian monarchists repeat these arguments in their political organ, KayhanLondon, and try to sell them to the Iranian people.

But is this historical analogy valid? No, it is not. The US and British imperialists were never for the "rescue" of German people. They assisted the Nazis in attacking USSR, encouraged the invasion of Spain, Austria, and Czechoslovakia, and tolerated the invasion of Ethiopia by the Italian fascists. They tolerated these Nazi atrocities because they wanted to strengthen the Nazis to destroy communist parties in these countries, to suppress the worker-movement in Germany and other countries, and to direct the atrocities towards socialism in the Soviet Union. With a weak USSR and Germany, the US and British imperialists hoped to dominate the globe. The real goal of the British and US authorities was to impose their hegemony on the people of the world even if it were cost millions of lives of Germans, Soviets, Africans, Asians and Europeans.

When the struggle of the people of the world and especially of the Soviet people in the battle of Stalingrad defeated the US and British plots, the British authorities, in Potsdam Conference, took the stand to divide Germany, to destroy its industries, to transfer it from industrial to agricultural, and to turn Germany into a backward country. The British imperialists called these sinister plots the "rescue" of German people from Hitler!

The USSR opposed the division and retrogression of Germany and stated that the German people have extensive contribution to the human race and should not be punished for the crimes committed by the Nazis. The USSR always supported a united and impartial Germany in the heart of Europe. The division of Germany was the plot by Churchill and Truman, and it was a byproduct of the Cold War. We have exposed in the pamphlet "The Distorters of History" which

**Continued on page 2**

## Dead Tiger

includes documents from the Information Office of the Council of Ministers of USSR in 1948, the cooperation of the US concerns such as Standard Oil, Esso, and General Motors with the Nazis. In the context of inter-imperialist quarrels, the German National TV, ARD, confirmed and added to these documents in one of its 11:30 PM programs. According to these documents, the company Opel, which was related to General Motors, made trucks in Brandenburg for the Nazis. Opel delivered 120 trucks (Opel Blitz) a day to the German army Wehrmacht. Opel made U2s for the Nazi army in Russelsheim. The engines for German submarines were manufactured by General Motors in the USA. The pro-fascist German company IG-Farben, which provided parts for the tanks used by the German army, sold chemically made rubber to the USA after Japan attacked Pearl Harbor and the US could no longer plunder natural rubber from Malaysia. We cannot go into details of cooperation between the Nazi Germany and the USA in these few pages. We recommend that our readers study our pamphlet "The Distorters of History", which exposes the deceitful conduct of the imperialists and shows their distortion of history.

At the time that the imperialists strengthened the Fascists, Nazis, and Phalanges in Italy, Germany, Spain, and Portugal, they used a different tone towards these countries. That time was the era of suppression of the worker- and communist movements from one side and the era of military and economic strengthening of the fascist states to attack USSR from another side. Premier Winston Churchill visited fascist Mussolini in the era of suppression of the worker-movement and stated his position in regard to hostility towards communism and the USSR:

"If I had been an Italian, I am sure I should have been entirely with you from the beginning to the end of your victorious struggle against the bestial appetites and passions of Leninism. But we in England do not have to resist the danger as strongly as you do. However, we will win the fight against communism and will suffocate it. I do not doubt this".

(Palme Dutt, Fascism and Social Revolution, 1935)

The strategy of decaying British imperialism was to mobilise the fresh forces of the Nazis, Fascists, and the Phalanges against the first victorious dictatorship of the proletariat in the USSR.

As Stalin put it, fascism was the natural response of the European bourgeoisie against the dictatorship of the proletariat in the USSR.

Churchill stated in support of the Italian fascist that:

"Apparently, your movement is in the interest of the entire international world. .... Italy has risen against the world's destructive forces. ... It seems that the rise of Italy is an antidote to the poison of USSR. No big state has risen, as this state has, for serious defence against the destructive Russian disease".

(Paraphrased from Hughes E., Winston Churchill, British Bulldog, His Career in war and Peace, 1955)

Churchill, after the suppression of the communist movement and of "cleansing" the metropolis countries from the threat of communism, stated the imperialist strategy as:

"Britain, France, Italy, and Germany must, after tolerating the wounds of the war time, cooperate in order to keep Europe alive". (Ibid)

Before Churchill's visit to Italy in 1927, the relation between Italy and Britain was so good that the British King honoured Mussolini with the highest British emblem. We should mention here that the imperialists' medals have no value or credibility and they serve only the imperialist deceitful propaganda. When Ceausescu of revisionist Romania quarreled with Khrushchevite revisionists of the Soviet Union, the British royal family honoured Ceausescu with a medal and revoked the medal in a formal statement as soon as Ceausescu was overthrown. Indeed, how farce and nauseating these propaganda shows are!

Churchill regarded Mussolini as a "great man and wise ruler" even in 1935. (Ibid)

The British and the US imperialists were happy that the fascist army had invaded the USSR. Churchill could not hide his pleasure for this invasion. Comrade Stalin had requested the Allied forces many times to open a new front in Europe against Nazi Germany in order to avoid the slaughter of millions of defenceless citizens, to stop the destruction of cities and human lives, and to stop the lengthening of the duration of the war. But the US and particularly Britain avoided doing so. Britain wanted to open a minor front in Balkan and to keep the pressure of the war on the USSR. When Stalin requested Britain in 1941 and 1942 to open a second front in Europe, Churchill rejected the request. The world public opinion and the anti-war movement put pressure on the Allies so that President Roosevelt, followed by Premier Churchill, obligated their respected countries to open the second front in Europe in 1942. This obligation was formally declared on June 11, 1942, but, as usual, this obligation of

**Continued on page 3**

## Dead Tiger

imperialists was on paper and it was worth not much.

The British postponed the implementation of their obligation which resulted in the death of thousands of Soviet people daily. Instead, the British opened a front in Africa to guarantee, especially after the end of the war, their colonial interest. They intended to preserve their army contingent headed by General Montgomery, who defeated the army of General Rommel in North Africa, and to use their army against the USSR after the end of the war. The documents on this matter and Churchill's telegraph to General Montgomery are available and the older generations recall the British conduct in this arena.

After victory in North Africa, Britain declared noisily this insignificant and minor event as "decisive operation of the ground forces to defend the interest of the Allies". The British do not repeat this ridiculous claim today anymore. Rather, they have substituted the landing on Normandy beaches and now celebrate its sixtieth anniversary.

Churchill, on his visit to the USSR, promised once again the opening of a second front in Europe in 1943. This promise was empty as usual, and Churchill was more interested in using the time for his own imperialist interest.

The Nazi army was badly defeated in the heroic and historical house to house battle of Stalingrad in October 1942. The battle of Stalingrad started in October 1942 in an ocean of fire, blood, and unparalleled struggle of the Soviet men and women and continued for 48 days with an intensity that history had never seen before. The battle was concluded with the victory of USSR over the Nazi army.

This was the first taste of defeat for the German fascist army, and the myth of invincibility of Nazi army which quickly won battles, or was allowed to win battles, came to an end. The victory over fascism in Stalingrad was the manifestation of the supremacy of socialism over fascist capitalism, and of progressive humanity over capitalist barbarism. The battle of Stalingrad was the epoch making battle of humanity and the turning point of the Second World War. The imperialist powers could not deny this point even a few generations ago.

The retreat of Nazis and Axis started on the day of defeat of SS army in Stalingrad. The extensive offence of the Red Army under the leadership of Comrade Stalin began in the heart of Europe.

Even the halting of military assistance of Allies to the USSR did not affect the final destiny of the war.

Churchill, who was very concerned about the Red Army's advance in Europe, distributed a secret memo among his war cabinet stating that:

"I am more thinking about Europe which is the cradle of civilisation of its nations. It will be very threatening if Russian barbarism destroys the civilisation and independence of the old European states. Even though talking about this matter is difficult, I believe that the family of European nations must form a front with a single goal, and the leadership of this front must be given to a council formed from the European states. In my opinion, this union must be formed".

(Paraphrased from Churchill W. S., Great Contemporaries) (Biographical Essays)

It was obvious that Nazi Germany, Fascist Italy, and the Phalangist Spain and Portugal would be members of this council.

It was this view of the imperialist powers and their lack of value for the human life that instigated the British imperialists to break their promises of opening the Second Front in 1943.

But the pressure of the advancement of the Red Army towards Europe, the defeat of fascism and its bourgeois allies in Eastern Europe including Hungary, Bulgaria, Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Yugoslavia, the rise of the worker- and communist movement, and the vast popularity of socialism led by the Bolshevik Party and Comrade Stalin forced the Americans and British to agree in Tehran Conference to the opening of the Second Front in May 1944. Churchill agreed to open the Second Front because he witnessed the extensive victory of the Red Army and the role of its powerful striking contingent in the destruction of the "mythical" Nazi army of Germany. The British imperialists witnessed the arrival of the fresh forces of the US imperialism which were equipped financially, materially, and militarily, and which would gain the most with the least lost. The British saw the possibility that their leadership role in the world would come to an end and that the fresh forces of the United States of America would control the European states and would gain influence in the old British colonies. The British saw that the defeat of the Nazi army was definite and, contrary to their hope and expectation, socialism was victorious in the liberation war. The British policy makers saw that they have no choices but to conveniently retreat and prepare for another fight. They saw

**Continued on page 4**

## Dead Tiger

That the postponement of the opening of the Second Front would not result in strengthening their imperialist positions, but in strengthening the position of socialism in the USSR.

The Second Front was opened in Europe not to inflict a heavy blow on Nazism. This blow was already inflicted in the battle of Stalingrad. The opening of the Second Front was necessary for the erection of barriers against the Red Army's advancement and the rise of worker- and communist movement in Western Europe. The US authorities spent 19 million dollars in broken Italy to strengthen and utilise the traditional mafia forces in Cecily to carry out terror against communists and to occupy Italy so that the communist forces do not seize political power. In Greece, the British imperialists and their allies suppressed the worker- and communist movement in an unequal war and installed their puppet monarchist regime. In Spain and Portugal too, USA and Britain supported militarily the fascist regimes in order to keep them in power. Supposedly WWII was not for the suppression of the fascist forces in the world! They outlawed the communist parties in Spain, Portugal, and Germany. In France, they promoted General De Gaulle, who had a secondary role in the liberation of France, as the national hero of the French people and put him in power by providing him with all sorts of assistance so that he could put the communists under surveillance. In Germany, the American and British authorities employed the Nazis to serve their interests and kept the suppressive state apparatus in power. They brought Hitler's intelligence organisations in Western and Eastern Europe under their services. The opening of the Second Front in Europe was a kick to the dead tiger of Nazism and was for the purpose of stopping the rise of communism and liberation movement. The policy of opening the Second Front was the continuation of the former policy with other means. And soon after WWII, the cold war and the brutal suppression of the communists started all over the world and the suppression has continued, especially ideologically, up to today.

In March 1947, the US government announced the "Truman Doctrine" and adopted the points proposed by Churchill as its formal foreign policy. Churchill gave a speech on March 4, 1946 at West Minister College in the state of Missouri. His speech was broadcasted widely and noisily and became the corner stone of policy of imperialists after WWII. Churchill used the same expression

against the USSR that was used by Gobbles for the first time on 25 February 1945 in the journal Das Reich. The term "Iron Curtain" was not invented by Churchill but by Gobbles.

Now, the head of Allied states, plus Germany, get together in Normandy to celebrate the day of release from Hitler's claws! Their show is a distortion of history because they did not have a decisive role in the salvation of humanity, but on the contrary, they contributed to the fascists in preparation of the Second World War. Millions of people became victims of the imperialists' sinister intentions, and now, the heads of the Allied states get together in a show to represent the 6<sup>th</sup> of June 1944 as the day of salvation of the world, and to cover Stalingrad with tons of dust, deceit, and lies and to eliminate it from the pages of history. The revisionists, who are the class enemy of the communists and allies of the imperialists, took the lead in this matter. They changed the name of the city Stalingrad to Volgograd and built a monument for the dead Nazi soldiers on the remains of millions of communists and Soviet citizens who heroically sacrificed their lives in Stalingrad. The role of President Putin is more disgraceful in this matter. The former "communists" of KGB calmly witnessed this historical distortion and kept their mouths shut. They did not even issue a statement to honour the sacrifice of 25 million Soviets who lost their lives in the WWII. To revisionists, supposedly history is the history that the Western imperialists picture!

The disgraceful show in Normandy tells us that the imperialists have intention to continue their past expansionist and deceitful "salvation" policy and that this is the policy that is followed today in Yugoslavia, Iraq, and Afghanistan.

This show teaches us that the imperialists and revisionists are allies. We cannot find a revisionist who exposes from a Marxist Leninist stand point the nature of the opening of the Second Front.

This show teaches us that all bourgeois, even the most liberal ones, are deceitful and liars. It is in the nature of all bourgeois to distort history against communists in order to preserve their interests and to publish and distribute publications in dozens of different languages in order to spread the distorted history.

This show teaches us that if we retell the truth one hundred more times and expose through documents the imperialists' intention in distortion of history, they will continue to repeat their lies. The bourgeois are aware of the psychological effect of bombardment of society with lies and

**Continued on page 5**

**Dead Tiger**

distortions. Using their power, financial positions, and force the ruling bourgeois repeat the lies many times to find enough people who believe their "irrefutable" facts.

This propaganda show teaches us that the class struggle is continued in all its form, and that the revolutionary Marxist-Leninists who are equipped with the scientific knowledge of class struggle, have the best immunity against this toxic propaganda. The Marxist-Leninists, by recognising the nature of this unequal war, resist the bombardment of minds with bourgeois ideological trash. The struggle that the Marxist-Leninists are waging is a long and slowly growing struggle. This struggle is proportional to the forces the communists can mobilise. However, it comes a day that we write and tell the history as it happened. To facilitate the arrival of this day, the communists of each land should join their Marxist-Leninist party and strengthen and contribute to the forces of the revolution. It is the organisation of the working class that registers and promotes the historical truths and brings hope to the masses for a better and brighter future, a future in which people determine their own destiny.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

**Who is?**

the Chinese, as the chivalrous British press does, we had better to recognize that this is a war pro aris et focis , a popular war for the maintenance of the Chinese nationality, with all its overbearing prejudice, stupidity, learned ignorance and pedantic barbarism if you like, but yet a popular war. And in a popular war the means used by the insurgent nation cannot be measured by the commonly recognized rules of regular warfare, nor by any other abstract standard, but by the degree of civilization only attained by the insurgent nation".

(Engels, Persia-China, the Second Opium War, New York Daily Tribune, May 20, 1857)

Marxist-Leninists, inspired by the teachings of Engels, evaluate the nature of the struggle of the Iraqi people. This evaluation is not based on the fight for short or long skirts for women, nor on the basis of the "humanitarian" or "advancing civilisation" claims of the imperialist occupiers, nor on the basis of European noble presses' praise for "civil society", "rights of citizenship", and "democracy and free elections". Instead, Marxist-Leninists evaluate the war in Iraq based on the current reality of the Iraqi society and on the aggressive and criminal nature of imperialist forces that want to extend the sphere of influence and bring humanity under its slavery-like domination. The issue is plunder, aggression, and the final condemnation of a nation to death. The issue is the existence of a nation and not the "freedom of sex" or "freedom for women to wear short skirts as the "Worker-communist party

of Iran" agitates. The resistance of the Iraqi people, in any form it takes, against the invaders and their domestic allies is permissible. Any "barbarism" that is waged by the Iraqi people is not more barbaric than dropping on the Iraqi people the US depleted uranium bombs which have contaminated the region with radio-active materials for billions of years to come. No barbarism is worse than the barbarity of plundering the resources of a nation so that it condemns the future generations to hunger and death. No barbarism is worse than imposing economic sanction on a nation so that a million children die. No barbarism is worse than the barbarism of bringing internationally indicted Al Chalabi to Iraq on the back of the US tanks and putting him in charge of the puppet Governing Council. No barbarism is worse than the barbarism of fabricating documents, lying, deceiving, and manipulating in order to dominate the Middle East for strategic goals. No barbarism is worse than the barbarism of not installing even one kilometer of drinking water pipes while thousands of kilometers of oil pipes are installed to plunder the oil resources of a nation. No barbarism is worse than the barbarism of slave-like torturing the "inferior" and "prestige less" people under the name of "human rights" and "respect for citizens". The list of crimes committed by the US imperialists and their allies is even longer than what we mentioned above.

Engels evaluated the war in China as a people's war to preserve a nation and stated that it was the British plundering policy that caused the public uprising of all Chinese against all foreigners and that it was the British policy that caused the outbreak of the war.

We too, evaluate the war of the Iraqi people against the occupying forces as a war to preserve the right of a nation to live, a nation that does not want to tolerate the yoke of slavery and imperialist domination on its back. We believe also that this war brings freedom from imperialist domination for the Iraqi people and that the international dimension of the war is even more significant and can help to defeat the world strategy of imperialism. This is a war of historical scale with deep and vast international dimensions. The defeat of imperialism in Iraq will not only be a regional defeat but a strategic defeat that changes the world political picture.

The defeat of imperialism in Iraq is also a disaster for the lackeys of the Iraqi Kurdish leadership that has become an ally in the destruction of the people of the region, of the state of Iraq, and consequently and willy-nilly of the destruction of the Kurdish people. The seeds of national enmity that the blind chauvinist Kurdish leaders have sown in the region will bear bitter fruits. The Kurdish leaders must realise before it is too late that the right of self determination of the Kurdish people must be sought in the framework of an independent Iraq and in friendship and cooperation with the other nations living in Iraq and not in collaboration with imperialism and Zionism. Any other policy will only scar the heads of the Kurdish leaders with the shameful mark of collaboration with imperialism and Zionism. National chauvinism makes every clear-sighted eye politically blind, and apparently, the Iraqi Kurdish leadership has lost all its political understanding at once. The struggle of the Iraqi people has just begun and it will continue until it has achieved freedom for the people of Iraq.

# **Toufan** International

## **The International Bulletin of the Party of Labour of Iran**

**No. 19**

**September 2004**

### **Who is Barbaric and Where are the Civilised?**

The old generation may remember Jomo Kenyatta, who led the struggle of the Kenyan people for liberation from domination by the British imperialism. Kenya was under the rule of the British imperialists for many years, and the British masters were plundering the land of Kenya and dominating the "uncivilised" and "wild" black Africans. After the Second World War when the back of decrepit British imperialism was broken and the old colonial system had collapsed, the colonialist could not rule Kenya by the old methods and could not cover up the massacre of Kenyans who were resisting the colonial rule.

Jomo Kenyatta had founded the underground organisation Mau Mau. The condition for the membership in the organisation was to kill several white British colonialist functionaries. There was not a single day when Kenyan did not kill a "civilised" white British master. The number of Kenyans joining Mau Mau's movement increased daily. The tools they used to carry out their operations were primitive and included axe, sword, bayonet, and other "inhumane", "uncivilised", and painful killing tools. A dozen Kenyans would attack a white British master and took his and his entire family's life. The British colonialists used "civilised" and "humane" methods for destroying Kenyans. A British master killed dozen of Kenyans at once by pushing on the trigger of his fire arm. The actions of one were "barbaric" and "bad nature", and of the other "humane" and in support of "civilisation". The "independent", "objective" and "chivalrous" British presses were full of reports on the barbarity of Kenyans and of innocence and rights of self-defence of "civilised" British masters who wanted to rescue their families from death. The imperialist film industries emphasised and assigned the barbarism to the Kenyans. In movie after movie, one can see the face of innocent and frightened families of the white Englishmen who were killed by a bunch of "cannibal" black Africans. However, the people of Kenya won their independence.

What went on in Kenya is only a small sample of what went on in other colonies. In China, the people appealed to the same "barbarity" to defeat the colonialists in order to rid themselves from the yoke of domination. The "civilised" savagery of the European colonialist barbarians could be and should be

responded to by the savagery of the people of colonies who were fighting against invaders, plunderers, expansionists, and dominators.

This was the struggle of the people of colonies against the foreign masters, and any intolerance and fanaticism that was used in these struggles was understandable. The struggle of the people of colonies cannot be proscribed under the pretext of the kind of tool or the kind of war they waged. On the contrary, the imperialist and colonialist invasions must be condemned regardless of the kind of arms or methods the invaders use. Friedrich Engels has said a lot in response to those who defended and justified colonialism under the pretext of "civilisation", in today's language, under the pretext of uprooting the backward "political Islam" by exporting "modernity" and "secularism" of the West. He stated about anti-feudal and anti-occupation Taiping movement in China, "...the mass of the people take an active, nay, a fanatical part in the struggle against the foreigners". Engels mentioned some of the methods and forms this struggle adopted: "...including the poisoning the bread of the European community at Hong Kong by wholesale,... and going with hidden arms on the board trading steamers and, when on journey, massacre the crews and European passengers and seize the boat". He stated in response to those who decorated their crimes with the flag of civilisation, and ridiculed them as "civilisation-mongers", that:

"The piratical policy of the British government has caused this universal outbreak of all Chinese against all foreigners...".

"The civilization-mongers who throw hot shells on a defenseless city and add rape to murder, may call the system cowardly, barbarous, atrocious: but what matters it to the Chinese if it be only successful? Since the British treat them as barbarians, they cannot deny them the full benefit of their barbarism".

"If their kidnappings, surprise, midnight massacre are what we call cowardly, the civilization-mongers should not forget that according to their own showing they could not stand against European means of destruction with their ordinary means of warfare". "Instead of moralizing on the horrible atrocities of

**Continued on page 5**

**Toufan International is published quarterly and includes selected articles from Toufan, the Central Organ of the Party of Labour of Iran.**

**Send all correspondence to :**

**Toufan**

**Postfach 103825**

**60108 Frankfurt , Germany**

**E-mail address: [Plitouf@hotmail.com](mailto:Plitouf@hotmail.com)**

**Fax: 069/96580346 (Germany)**

**Web Site : [www.toufan.org](http://www.toufan.org)**

**[toufan@toufan.org](mailto:toufan@toufan.org)**