

Only Dialectical Materialist Analysis of Every Phenomenon is Reliable

K. Ebrahim, November 29, 2013, Ranjbar,103, November 2013

Every year with the arrival of the anniversary of great October Revolution of 1917 in Russia, an occasion for celebrating this great historical event of the 20th century comes about, and the Iranian left pundits while praising it, also actively participate to commemorate this event (through their voice and pen). But while praising, their language of critiques, at the end give such understanding to the listeners and readers that “from the mountain of October Revolution just a throwaway weak and poor mice was born that did not last neither!” In these analysis, Instead of dealing with all aspects of the contradictions of Soviet society at the time, we do not hear, any talk about October Revolution’s achievements at all and instead, they put forward unilateral assessments against the Soviet proletarian government which instead of providing authentic experiences to advance the revolution in Iran and the world, only misleads the viewers and readers and simply speaking it results with no accomplishment except, intensifying theoretical eclecticism and, ultimately promoting fruitless revolution.

Disrespectful to the truth

The October Revolution was a worker’s revolution, led by the vanguard proletarian party, armed with the theory of scientific communism and creative in its applying with Russian conditions and solving many sizable and small existing contradictions one by one in that country and simultaneously confronting with many imperialist interferences.

Another revolution occurred in China as a semi-feudal, semi-colonial country, which usurped power 32 years after Russian October Revolution, in October 1949, again it was under the leadership of a vanguard proletarian party armed with revolutionary communist theory and practice in which with the leadership and the victory of New Democratic Revolution, the transition to the socialist revolution started.

Other successful revolutions like the ones that occurred in Albania, Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos, North Korea, the communist parties also in these countries under different names led the revolution.

Under the leadership of communist parties and organizations in many other countries, such as Iran, despite their weaknesses ay the time, the democratic or the workers revolutions were not successful.

Nearly in 100 years after the October Revolution in Russia, in no country of the world a worker’s revolution under quasi-Trotskyite’ view has ever been occurred and even adversaries like Trotskyists which declare their views as being the most correct , have not achieved any success at all.

Anybody who respects the efforts of the global working class struggles, must realize that these examples are sufficient to know that according to the teaching of scientific communism as well as honoring the proletarian revolutionary practice, would have to have faith in the necessity for the existence of the leadership of vanguard working class party and for its realization, try with all

power and sincerity not to maintain a position of having both “multi party” and “single party” solely for the sake of keeping everybody happy.

Backtracking from this viewpoint, including the claim that despite the existence of multiple communist parties in each country, the proletarian revolution can be advanced, is an extremely anti-dialectical/anti-communist perception that I will mention below.

Why a single party?

To guide a revolution in each country or the entire world requires the knowledge of the science of history, current situation, constant study of the contradictions of each society and also to be armed with correct strategy and tactics in advancing class struggle and life and death struggle against the ruling enemies. It is not necessary to prove that among every individual or groups of any class such quality cannot be found. Therefore, the

proletarian teachers from the outset in accordance to the perception of consciousness of the working class of its issues, said that there are three major sections: Advanced, moderate and backward regarding the working class were considered which was dialectical materialist perception of their conditions and primarily the organizational policy of the vanguard working class and with reliance on the advanced and then, attracting the moderates and finally together attracting the backwards.

Even the bourgeoisie for achieving their goals, realized the need for party building. But, with one difference: Working class which wants to eliminate self-alienation in the class world, wrote “Workers of the World Unite” on its banner and the bourgeoisie that under any circumstances wants to hold on to its ruling, took on the policy of “Divide and Rule” and these bourgeois parties without any fundamental differences among themselves, via their numbers, are the cause of alienation in society.

Believers to the necessity of the existence of various communist parties in each country must have the audacity to criticize the proletarian teachers and not deceitfully pose themselves as defenders of their views and in vain try to undermine scientific communism in their own petty-bourgeois advantage, attempt to disperse organizational work and in this manner to retain their loyalty to their revisionist views!!

Claims of Working Class Stratification

Some put forward a theoretical justification of the need to plan for various communist parties in each country to bring working class stratification and to consider this division of labor sufficient for the existence of multi working class parties.

In explaining these distinctions, they say, factors of consciousness, gender, race, nationality, religion, employment, unemployment, etc. are the kinds of differences that cannot be organized in one party.

In this claim the basis for the unity of the working class which is selling its labor force in supply and demand market of labor and capital and intense exploitation by the capitalist and finally no private ownership of the means of production and exchange, except being labor forces which form the materialist unity of the working class is ignored and instead, factors of superstructure are put forward. If we analyze all aspects of this type of claim, we reach to a point where the existence of working class is under question mark and similarly, denying the existence of other classes and reducing the human society to the level of lawless chaos!! Giving priority to

subjectivity over objectivity is pure idealism. Also, this is the lack of commitment to scientific communism's fundamental view points regarding the organized leadership of the class struggle of the workers and toilers.

The existence of a single party does not mean there is a sense of complete point of views unity within it. Even, on a daily basis, in dealing with tactics and in certain party strategies, differences would arise. Based on the true doctrine of democratic centralism, the communist party, seeks to peruse and address different views regarding policy, organization and practical method, which is advanced in accordance to majority decision and the minority has the right to retain its view within the party, but must implement the majority decision until it is proven which side has the correct views and if the minority view turns out to be correct then, the party would revise its decision and in this manner, the class struggle would be advanced in harmony and strong party unity and in practice, division within the rank of proletarian party's function does not occur.

But, this important principle would be violated by those who do not maintain the interests of the organizational unity of the working class within the party and prefer individualism. When the conflicts arise, they either undermine the majority views or split from the party. The result is factionalism, splitism, dissocialism and the weakening of the united rank of vanguard working class that prevails and the conditions for abuse by the capitalist system within the rank of working class would be created. A large

part of current organizational turmoil is caused by individualist's anarchism in the organizational arena and it is no more than the expression of the influence of the petty-bourgeois ideology within left political forces.

Revolution was Okay but, failed and inconclusive

Some of the left elements after exquisitely praising the working class in their achievements of the October Revolution, immediately bring about grounds for defeat, so that working class after a decade of its rule, has being dominated by capitalism, bring it down from its power.

Such a view, perceives the working class leader to such an extent being absolute that if the leader ever dies, the vanguards of the working class would not have the needed capabilities to guide the party, the class and eventually lose the society and immediately, without a stark struggle against bourgeoisie, surrender to capitalist system!!

This is a one sided petty-bourgeois perception of the complex phenomenon of the advancement of socialist revolution. It is certain that the struggle against the power of the workers' council whether by the local bourgeoisie, petite-bourgeoisie, and the feudal lords or whether by the imperialists, was launched from the next day of the victory of the October Revolution. Initially in the form of open civil war it was being advanced and then, with lack of success in this manner, destruction of fortress of socialism from within especially by the petite-bourgeoisie in the form of provoking bureaucracy and penetrating for domination within the party, the terror of Lenin, the undisputable leader of the October Revolution were carried out. Externally, the lack of revolutionary progress in the other capitalist countries along with the failure of labor uprisings, materialized the conditions for high concentration of global counterrevolutionary to knock out the nascent workers state.

This experience demonstrated that to what extends the role of united leadership, armed with the scientific communist theory, correct analysis of the situations, adopting the correct policies in continuation of revolution and the worker's power is important. But fueling conflicts, particularly

at the level of the CPSU leadership by those like Trotsky who was factionalist, created serious problems for implementation of party decisions.

Someone consider the cause of failure as inability to advance the rule of councils, without embracing the fact that the communist party functions far more aware and knowledgeable than councils, because it is both labor related and is armed with theory. In fact, we witnessed this case before the October Revolution in which the councils were after Mensheviks and the Social-Revolutionaries and the Bolsheviks were attempting to apply Lenin's April's Thesis which was finally accepted by the councils. This clearly showed that the council and the communist party must be like lips and teeth close to each other and do not disregard the guiding role of correct party policy and its ideology in advancing the revolution.

Iranian socialist critics do not care that the Soviet Union faced German fascism as a worker state and not as a bourgeois state. Hitler's fascism was after rivalry with other imperialist powers for dividing the world to their sphere of influence, and the Soviet Union did not have any sphere of influence. But, since the Soviet system was anti-capitalist system, for this reason, fascism brought the greatest imperialist counterrevolutionary military force in to war for smashing the Soviet Union. While, the imperialist powers tighten the grip against the German's expansion of its influence for gaining more territories in the world and tens of Nazi German's divisions had to pay attention to the west which they did not!?

These critics deny the destructive role of revisionism in corrupting the party and the usurpation of power in Soviet Union or, at least they do not bother to criticize it. This was revisionism which broke apart the unified worker's movement that was under the influence of social democracy in the capitalist countries during imperialist WWI and then, for destroying the Soviet Union, all the way collaborated with local imperialist bourgeoisie.

The genuine communists within the Communist Party of China and few other communist parties stood up against modern revisionism which was able to usurp the leadership within CPSU by resorting to coup and more than 50 years ago made it quite clear to the working class that revisionism is a right reactionary process and serves the bourgeoisie to restore its own state power. They specified that modern revisionism will also provoke split within the working class movement and their predictions were proven to be correct.

Therefore, it's no surprise that cause of outbreak of dispersion including invasions against the revolutionary proletarian theory and denying its achievements of the past 160 years, was the creation of distrust of its cause which resulted in excitation of all kinds of revisionist views like "councilism", "pluralism", "individualism" in the absence of organizational commitment, "human revolution" and desertion from acceptance of proletarian party building...etc.

There is a tendency these days that denies Socialist and New Democratic Revolutions. This tendency actually stems from infected petty-bourgeois world outlook which promotes absolutism to such an extent where they claim that in China, a country of 450 million people (of which 400 million were peasants) with the dominant rule of feudal relations and only with a few million workers, the worker's revolution must take place, this view creates split within the leadership of the Chinese communist party during the years 1925 -1930, and the Trotskyites were the holder of its banner ! Today, after over half a century, yet the quasi-Trotskyites reject also the New Democratic Revolutionary stage under the leadership of proletariat and with one sided perception of permanent revolution which Marx expressed, reject the history of the two great revolutions in Russia and China and under this pretext, in countries where bourgeois revolution still has not occurred, they are shouting for socialist revolution. It is equally meaningless and

utopian to say that the child at the beginning of its process of growth, to left weight or attend the university...etc.? Continuous growth of baby would not be the reason for not considering different stages of its growth!!

These tendencies toward absolutism have no connection to scientific and dialectical materialist view of the communists and it stems from petite-bourgeoisie's mentality which is rendered under the guise of left and extremism.

Since the communist motions are conscious and scientific, they believe that revisionism's theoretical dispersion have created such organizational dispersion and finally individualism and sectarianism among the communists that it becomes an obstacle in revolutionary progress of working class.

Despite what the left pundits with their viewpoint of denying reality, portray the working class global situations, is not so bad. The situation of the worker's and communist movement which has come out of hard and imposed defeat, is presently growing. The evil and reactionary nature of capitalist system is so widely exposed that not only among the working class, but among the widespread masses of people has brought awareness that is everyday increasing and the global capitalist system due to distrust of people has turned in to turbulences.

In such circumstances, it became necessary that the conscious forces within the working class to stand up against sectarianism and all kinds of negativism which are posed by the intellectual lefts, and warn them that the working class is not so one sided or as is prevalent among the people, is not "ingratitude" to negate its own achievements in the past 160 years and to submit itself to confused views of the petty-bourgeois and bourgeois.

October Revolution and the achievements of the socialist countries which at their pinnacle were the working class and its vanguard party, still will remain alive and the working class and the communist parties will learn from mistakes of these countries and with their revolutionary optimism will continue their united struggle.

"The future is bright and the road has many twists and turns, do not fear from difficulties and death" (Mao)