

**ARAB'S REGIME
ARAB'S ENEMY**

**Terrorist Orientalism
Politicized Religion
New Fedayeen**

Dr. ADEL SAMARA

2023

ARAB'S REGIME ARAB'S ENEMY

Dr. Adel Samara

First Published 2023

Al-Mashriq Ala'amil for Cultural & Development Studies.

Ramallah/Occupied Palestine. 2023

The Main Street

e-mail: adelsamara8@gmail.com

Tel: 2486676

Mobile: 0599113386

All Rights reserved.No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the publisher's prior permission.

Cover Design and Montag by: Salwa Omar Shreiteh

Al-Khalil Occupied Palestine.

Email:Walas_sh@yahoo.com

Cover picture: The moment when Iraqi journalist Montzer al-Zaidi through his shoe to the head of the imperialist George W Bush and his agent al-Malki the prime minister of Iraq at that time were trying to protect his master.

Abbreviations:

Counter-Revolution	CR
Development by Popular Protection	DbPP
Foreign Direct Investment	FDI
Forces of Islamic Religion	FIR
Globalized Capitalist Public Sector	GCPS
Intellectuals of Sixth Brigade	ISB
Internalization of Defeat	IoD
Islamic State in Iraq and Syria	ISIS
Multinational Corporations	MNC
Muslim Brothers	MB
Political Islam	PI
Politicized Islamic Religion	PIR
Politicized Religion	PR
Regimes of Politized Islamic Religion	RPIR
Terrorist Orientalist State	TOS
Terrorist Orientalism	TO
Weapons of Human Nihilism	WHN
Weapons of Mass Destruction	WMD
White Settler Colonial Countries	WSCC
Zionist Ashkenazi Entity	ZAE

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	6
Chapter One: Arab Homeland Between Imperialism, Self-colonizing/Imperializing, Class War, and Popular Liberation.....	15
Why Imperialism in Arab Homeland is Different	15
What is Imperialism.....	18
How Imperialism Works in the Arab Homeland.....	30
Not Only Ruling Regimes Serve Imperialism.....	50
Are The Gulf Entities Imperialist?	54
Imperialism, Terrorist Orientalism As Weapons of Human Nihilism ...	61
What Is To Be Done	67
Chapter Two.....	72
Terrorist Orientalism as a Special Version Against the Arab Nation ..	72
Terrorist Orientalism Begins by Manufacturing of al-Qaida.....	79
Expansion of Terrorist Orientalism.....	89
Alliance of Terrorist Orientalism, But, Who Uses the Other?.....	101
Chapter Three.....	105
Terrorist Orientalism in a State Form Using Marxism, Christianity, and Islam to Dismantle the Arab Homeland	105
Marx and Orientalism	109
Western Terrorism: Kidnapping Christianity and Swallows Islam	117

Terrorist Orientalism Statist Verison	
First: The Zionist Ashkenazi Entity, Subjugating Marx's Orientalism for Terrorist Orientalism	125
Second: The Islamic State for Iraq and Syria	139
Arab Spring of Terror	144
Chapter Four: Politicized Religion: Old and Global.....	149
"Israel" is the most Politicized Religious State in the Modern Age before Iran.....	158
Politicized Religion as a Global Phenomenon.....	161
Chapter Five: People's Choice, the New Palestinian Guerrillas...	168
Uninterrupted Extension and Accumulation	172
PA's Position and Other Parties.....	179
Enemy's Response	185
The Class Origin of the Phenomenon.....	188
Why is the New Fedayeen Fight Attractive?	189
Lessons Exploitation and Fruition	195
Appendix I.....	205
US War against Syria, US Leading World to Nuclear War	205
Appendix II.....	239
Terms and Definitions	239

Introduction

The Arab nation is targeted by two camps, external and internal. As for the external enemy who targets the Arab nation it is the Western capitalist/imperialist enemy on the one hand and Zionism on the other. The Western capitalist/imperialist enemy was and still targeting the Arab Homeland continuously for three hundred years, a war which is manifested in several aspects.

In addition to colonization, exploitation, and even plundering as colonialism's normal results and goals, there are relatively special or even unique aspects of colonial capitalist/imperialist wars against the Arab nation.

Following the false political independence of the Arab countries, colonialism appointed a traitor regime based on local comprador capitalist classes which maintain the peripheralization of the Arab countries and distorts their local economies to work according to the logic of the world capitalist system.

Most Arab rulers are dictators either in absolute monarchies or republics, while dictators as well

known historically are patriotic, Arab monarchies are agents for foreign countries.

Arab regimes are mainly not patriotic or nationalists and to a certain extent are fascists. While fascism all over the world is too patriotic, Arab fascists are agents!

Arab countries that struggle for economic development and built infant industries, Egypt for instance, have been subject since 1970 until today to the termination of industries built by the Egyptian nationalist progressive regime of Nasser.

Another passive uniqueness is the termination of development in addition to wealth plundering, unequal exchange, and capital transformation to the Western merchant and central banks, however, this is not in the form of exporting capital aiming for investment including Sovereign funds; but rather very fragile capitals because imperialism can confiscate it at any time.

Under such a situation where liberty and development are absent, a lot of Arab professionals immigrated to the West as a brain drain immigration. This immigration shows that the nation is losing the most important factors for development:

- The human power, and
- The produced local surplus.

The recent Mondial of the year 2022 in Qatar shows that even the best sport Arab players are absorbed by foreign teams, i.e. the French team is mainly of Arab and African young players, and the Arab ruling regimes are an internal enemy of the nation. Accordingly, the Arab nation suffers four forms of opium! While Marx wrote that "religion is the opium of peoples", which might apply to most world nations, the Arab nation suffers four different forms of opium.

1. Opium of regimes and forces of Politicized Islamic Religion (PIR) which uses religion as their "heaven manifesto", devoted to terminating the Arab nation through denying Arab nationalism and allies with imperialist enemies. It recruits armies of terrorists which destroy Iraq, Libya, Syria, Yemen, etc, killing millions of innocent citizens, while forces of PIR all over the world, i.e. Iran, Turkey, USA, etc, are very patriotic!
2. Opium of capitalist comprador as a social class terminating the infant local industries and liquidating the public sector. For example in Egypt to the extent that a redevelopment of the local economy became impossible, while, for instance, Russia under Pores Yeltsin was subjugated to internal devastation, but his

follower, V. Putin found a base to start and rebuild Russia.

3. The opium of *Iqlilmi* local bourgeois contradicts the Arab unity and goes against the role of the bourgeois all over history which strengthens the local market. The Arab bourgeois maintains the colonial partition of the Arab Homeland.
4. The media opium controlled by Arab regimes is devoted against Arab nationalism, development, unity, and liberty. While in most of the world, media is dominated by the local bourgeois, however, it is patriotic and never betrays the national cause.

This situation in Arab Homeland puts the masses under daily pressure making life unbearable and obliging each citizen to worry about her/his own daily life without having the time and ability to think and work jointly in social, class, and national struggle.

This deliberate fragmentation of the Arab Homeland paralyzes the Arab masses. A passive factor that made it possible for imperialists and local dependent regimes to maintain their plunder of the nation's wealth and smuggle it abroad. I call this process *Tajweef* hollowing popular consciousness to facilitate *Tagreef* sweeping of wealth.

The enemy, the Block of Counter-revolution (CR) fought jointly against the Arab nation and succeed in the last decade to destroy most of the Arab republics

in Iraq, Libya, Syria, and Yemen as mentioned above even though the regimes of those republics weren't that socialist and real secular ones. It must be noted that the tool for the destruction of those republics was mainly composed of Arab terrorists.

Even though Palestine is an Arab cause, not only Palestinian, most of the Arab regimes normalize with the ZAE ignoring the fact that the role and danger of the ZAE are against the Arab nation.

This book contains five interconnected chapters and an appendix, each chapter is led and connected to the rest and all chapters touch on the current life of the Arab nation.

The first chapter tackles imperialism in the Arab Homeland which is to a large extent different from imperialism in other countries or regions. The uniqueness of imperialism in the Arab Homeland manifests in a form that Arab ruling regimes are playing the role of imperialism over their own nation!

Chapter two is what I call Terrorist Orientalism (TO) which is special Orientalism in a terrorist manner designed against the Arab nation. It is different from the traditional forms of Western Orientalist wars because it is a joint war launched by a capitalist-imperialist center and the local ruling Arab regimes

and classes who are enemies of their own nation. Briefly, it is a war launched by all CR parties.

The third chapter shows how TR manifested in two State Forms. The first is the Zionist regime in Palestine supported by most of the international forces and even using Marxism to justify the creation of a white settler colonial entity at the cost of Palestinian people and devoted to terminating any Arab development and unity.

The second is ISIS manufactured by the counterrevolution's war against most of the Arab Homeland since 2011 which aimed at imposing a terrorist religious state, especially in Syria and Iraq. While the first form of terrorist state pretends that it will be a socialist state, the second pretends that it is the only Islamic state in history, i.e. swallows Islam.

The fourth chapter argues against politicized religion which is in both the Arab Homeland and the world, i.e., not limited to Arab Homeland. It is designed to prove that politicized religion is never limited to Islamic political forces, but it is an ideological current of politicized religion in the three Heavenly religions of Islam, Christianity, and Judaism on the one hand and earthly religions such as Hinduism on the other.

While the first four chapters explain the passive and destructive role of Arab ruling regimes and classes as part of CR on world, regional, and Arab scales, the fifth chapter is the opposite, i.e. it shows the response of people against CR, it is the new Palestinian *Fedayeen* fighting in very difficult circumstances in the occupied West Bank of Palestine.

As a new phenomenon that turned down the table and took the conflict back to its' roots and reasons against the Zionist Ashkenazi Entity (ZAE), the liberation of Palestine as a struggle for liberation vs the negotiations for settlement and compromise with the settler colonial ZAE. This phenomenon is a popular resistance against CR, imperialism, Zionism, dependent Arab regimes, and the forces of Islamic PIR groups.

While it is an adventurist step to analyze a very new, current, and direct struggle phenomenon whose future is not seen and whose' chances for continuity are unpredictable but its importance stems from being a route that shows what is the real path to liberation of Palestine and Arab Homeland. It is the negation of TO, self-imperializing, and politicized religion. As it is explained in this chapter, this phenomenon is and will be under heavy wars of termination aiming to block its expansion to the Arab Homeland as what has been done against the 1987 Palestinian Intifada. The

question is, to what extent this model will be disseminated and adopted by the new Arab revolutionary generations and forces?

To elaborate, the components of the book are internally connected and built on each other. Chapter One deals with the issue of imperialism in general and in the Arab Homeland in particular, and Chapter Two explains how Terrorist Orientalism built terrorist organizations of politicized religion devoted to the destruction of Arab republics, Chapter Three describes how Terrorist Orientalism built the two terrorist states of politicized religion: Israel and ISIS, Chapter Four discusses politicized religion on the world scale, and Chapter Five shows a path of resistance against Counter-Revolution starting from Palestine.

Finally, the reader will find that some questions or points have been repeated in several chapters because each chapter was written at a certain time and because some points are necessary to be repeated in more than one chapter to strengthen the argument. Most of the chapters have been published on *Kanaanonline.org*

Final Remarks

While the book is being prepared for publishing, two main events are taking place in the region.

The Iranian Saudi truce and the fierce conflict in the Zionist Entity between the moderate right and the far right were the ruling Ashkenazi far-right launched a coup de tat against the hegemony of the other Ashkenazi elite on the state. Unfortunately, it is not possible to delay the book's publishing.

Chapter One: Arab Homeland Between Imperialism, Self-colonizing/Imperializing, Class War, and Popular Liberation¹

Why Imperialism in Arab Homeland is Different

This argument deals mainly with the Arab Homeland out of the term MENA because I think it is a too loose term on the one hand and its first part ME has been coined by the British military colonialism at the beginning of the 20th century and later US imperialism coined the new abbreviation MENA. What mainly joins the so-called countries of MENA is their subjugation to Western continuous aggression in the capitalist stages of global aggression, i.e. colonialism, imperialism, and currently globalism. Some MENA countries are still subjugated especially most of the Arab Homeland despite the pretend that they and other ex-colonies entered the so-called Post-Colonial era.

¹I meant here that the situation of the Arab Homeland is that Arab ruling regimes and classes play the role of imperialism over their own nation.

The MENA name is mainly coined to ignore the Arab nations and Arab nationalism. Dealing with each Arab country as a separate “nation” avoids the fact that the Arab nation suffers the last and continuous aggression by the West for nearly three centuries².

In its' subjugation by imperialism, the Arab Homeland has many specificities which justify my intention to examine it separately. The Arab Homeland under imperialism suffers currently from:

1. Developing of unequal development³ between Arab countries adopted and imposed by Arab ruling classes to deepen Arab fragmentation.
2. Targeted by:
 - a. Hard invasion, military, as in the cases of Iraq, Libya, and Syria
 - b. Economic invasion through unequal exchange
 - c. Soft invasion, cultural invasion, and NGOs
 - d. Ideological invasion through intellectual sixth brigade

² In addition to imperialism, all forces, regimes, and sects of politicized Islamic religion, ignore Arab nation as well.

³ Adel Samara, Developing of Unequal Development, in Al-Mustaqbal Al-Arabi no 197 Issue of July 1995, pp.16-27.

e. An army of Terrorist Orientalism from the same nation and mainly against it.

3. Arab ruling regimes/classes strong enough to suppress and rule, mainly, on behalf of direct imperialist intervention and practicing internal wars between Arab countries aiming to terminate all common Arab national aspects.
4. The created Arab entities similar to the ZAE in both role and even structure⁴, i.e. Qatar and the United Arab Emirates which invade several Arab countries.

There are some similarities with other countries in the region, but the differences are more to the extent that justifies the concentration and specification of the case or even the uniqueness of the Arab Homeland.

Briefly, this contribution concentrates more on the Arab clientele regimes and classes who imperialize countries of their own nation as the main factor in the service of Western imperialism. This never ignores that Western imperialism colonizes the Arab Homeland and creates those dependent regimes that protect imperialism's aggressive interests and imperializes their own

⁴ The percentage of Arabs in UAE and Qatar is nearly 10%, less than the same percentage of Arabs in the ZAE.

countries for the sake of Western imperialism to the extent that the last never needs to deploy one tank or one soldier in those countries.

To tackle the issue of imperialism by concentrating more on internal factors is different because the case is different to a large extent.

What is Imperialism

Lenin's work on imperialism is still valid for the current progressive, critical, and class analysis of the world capitalist system, but in addition to that, we must consider the new world developments to build a practical and accurate analysis.

For instance, are there new forms of imperialism after Lenin's era, is the world's division of labor still as it was, and to what extent imperialism takes new forms according to geography, i.e., some peripheral countries became imperialists, lumpen-imperialism, mediator imperialism or semi-imperialism...etc.

We must define imperialism due to its new features that follow what has been written by Lenin.

Imperialism for Lenin is the following five points⁵:

1. The concentration of production and capital has developed to such a high stage that it has created monopolies that play a decisive role in economic life.

Imperialist monopolies have maintained their domination over economic, political, social, and cultural life in both center and periphery countries since Lenin's time until today but in a more complicated manner. Since the 1970s large-size production sites have been moved through Multinational Corporations (MNCs), not by states but with the support of states to peripheral countries. While for a long time, it was believed that the state is a tool in the service of the capitalist class, it became obvious that the state is oriented and used to serve MNCs.⁶ It might not be an exaggeration if we said that both have merged as two components of the same class.

To be clear we mean here by state, those in power who are ruling the state as society and

⁵ Lenin, *Imperialism, The Highest Stage of Capitalism*, Progressive Publishers, Moscow 1975, pp. 16-71.

⁶ In the imperialist war against Iraq in 1991 led by the US and its allies gave positions in the first queue of tanks to correspondences of military corporations to take pictures of destruction to examine the effectiveness of their weapons.

land of their own countries, the core countries. Additionally, they acquire/monopolize surplus value transferred from the periphery to the core, crystallized in the form of profit, and finally increased accumulation. Accordingly, capital accumulation, management, and decision-making are concentrated and decided in the core countries.

2. “The merging of banking capital with industrial capital, and the creation, based on this “finance capital,” of a financial oligarchy”.

The question here is where? Here geography plays a decisive role in that production sites of MNCs did not stay absolutely in the center. This made a change in the meaning and practice of the sovereignty of nations that is strengthened in the center and nearly uprooted in the periphery.

This phenomenon witnessed drastic change to the extent that while the center still controls most of the world capital, the capital is increasingly taking different shapes on the world scale:

- In the center it is highly financialized by speculation where money breeds money

in a non-productive process of accumulation which breeds numerous lazy capital! I mean here the accumulation of massive amounts of capital in safe heavens, i.e., the offshore islands where no taxes on the one hand and the same amounts of capital are uninvested in productive sectors on the other. I meant by lazy capital that it is uninvested in productive sectors but in speculation.

- Production sites concentrated more in the periphery to the extent that according to data from the International Labor Organization, the world's total workforce grew from 1.9 billion to 3.1 billion between 1980 and 2007. Of these people, 73% were from developing countries, with China and India accounting for 40%.⁷
- Since the time of Lenin, a change took place in the role and structure of the state in the center. The center falls after the boom from 1945-1963 into a crisis caused by fast technological

⁷ Forster, J. B., R.W. McChesney, and R. J. Jonna. 2012. "Global Labor Reserve Army and Neo-imperialism." [In Chinese.] Foreign Theoretical Trends, no. 6.

development and a hike in oil prices into stagflation, where Keynesian economics cannot work, and neoliberal ideas began taking over. The financial industry began a process of deregulation, and the basic forces controlling the operation of financial markets ceased to be the governments and became the markets themselves.

- However, behind markets, there were human beings, not an invisible hand, it is the capitalist class in its role of subjugating the state for its interests, and/or both were parts of the same class. In the global war against Iraq in 1991, the US military corporations sent special photographers to be placed in the front tanks of the enemy's convoys to picture the effectiveness of the US weapons on human beings, even before tanks.
- Regimes of the US and UK minimize the role of the state, minimizing the state for the sake of economic deregulation, privatization, and finally neo-liberalism. What stays in the state's hands is Keynesian militarism where the state, especially in the USA concentrates more

on military spending and assisting military corporations. This comparative advantage conditions the availability and supports two essential factors:

- a. A world market for weapons where the state strengthens itself in general, and
- b. Wars between states.

The collapse of the Soviet Union brought to the center a golden opportunity since imperialism's hand became free to attack a lot of countries changing the cold war into a hot and cold war.

3- “The export of capital distinguished from the export of commodities acquires exceptional importance”.

Exports witness mutual changes between final commodities, capital, and productive capital, they work in parallel, and none of them work alone but one overcomes the others in certain periods. In Lenin's time, capital export was the dominant one, but commodities continued to be exported. By the 1940s, the peripheral countries were exporting agricultural products to the center, an issue that Raul Prebisch wrote about, but later the center became the

exporter of manufactured and food products to the periphery. However, the most striking change started in the 1970s when the center started exporting productive working capital to the periphery. Those changes which took place after Lenin must be taken into consideration.

Export of capital witnessed several changes, the center minimized internal investment on the one hand and accordingly, it exported a huge size of lazy capital which bred through over accumulation crisis in the form of colonizing peripheral countries by buying land there, i.e., colonialism by owning, and some peripheral countries i.e. the rental economies of Arab Gulf became capital exporters, but not for productive investments.⁸

But again, capital export re-emphasizes Lenin's argument of capital export through both regimes and corporations. The transnational corporations established branches and affiliates around the world via foreign direct investment (FDI), the volume of which had expanded dramatically. Between 1980 and 2008, the number of global transnational companies

⁸ See Adel Samara Capital and Capitalized Covid-19 in Class War against Labor, It is a matter of Accumulation. In DIS-LEUR! BULLETIN DIINFORMATION 2021. Bureau D Information. ALBA GRANADA NORTH AFRICA COORDINATION, gnientalba@gmail.com

increased from 15,000 to 82,000. The number of overseas subsidiaries grew even faster, from 35,000 to 810,000. In 2017, an average of over 60% of the assets and sales of the world's 100 top non-financial transnational companies were located or achieved abroad. Foreign employees accounted for approximately 60% of the total staff.⁹

4- “The formation of international monopolist capitalist associations which share the world among themselves.”

“Monopolist capitalist associations, cartels, syndicates, and other trusts first divided the home market among themselves and obtained more or less complete profession of the industry of their own country. But under capitalism, the home market is inevitably bound up with the foreign market. Capitalism long ago created a world market, as the export of capital increased, and as the foreign and colonial connections and “spheres of influence” of the big monopolies’ associations expand in all ways, things “naturally” gravitated towards an international agreement among these

⁹ United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. 2018. World Investment Report 2018 – Investment and New Industrial Policies. See https://unctad.org/en/Pages/DIAE/World%20Investment%20Report/World_Investment_Report.aspx.

associations, and towards the formation of international cartels.

This is a new stage of the world's concentration of capital and production, incomparably higher than the preceding stages. Let us see how this super monopoly develops.”¹⁰

“The difficulty of competing against this trust, actually a single worldwide trust controlling a capital of several thousand million, with branches, agencies, representatives, connections, etc. In every corner of the world, is self-evident. But the division of the world between two powerful trusts does not preclude *redivision* if the relation of forces changed as a result of uneven development, war, bankruptcy, etc.”¹¹

But now, there are new partners like China and the rental Gulf Entities which monopolize much of the world's wealth despite the difference between the ways of spending and the size of each in terms of population and geography. This raises the question if those countries are imperialists; at least in wealth accumulation, they are partners.

¹⁰ Ibid, p. 63

¹¹ Ibid, p. 66

(5) “The territorial division of the whole world among the biggest capitalist powers is completed.”

“For the first time the world is completely divided up, so that in the future *only* redivision is possible, i.e., Territories can only pass from one, “owner” to another, instead of passing as ownerless territory to an “owner.”

“Hence, we are living in a peculiar epoch of world colonial policy, which is most closely connected with the “latest stage” in the development of capitalism”, with finance capital.”¹²

During the same time as Germany’s massacre in Namibia, the British colonizer Cecil Rhodes (1853-1902) came to southern Africa. He believed so much in British imperialism and promoted it. He is credited for saying

“To prevent civil war you must become an imperialist.”

“Colonial policy and imperialism existed before the latest stage of capitalism, and even before capitalism. Rome, founded on slavery, pursued a colonial policy, and practiced imperialism. But

¹² Ibid, p. 72

“general” disquisitions on imperialism, which ignore, or put into the background, the fundamental difference between socio-economic formations, inevitably turn into the most vapid banality or bragging, like the comparison: “Greater Rome and Greater Britain.”¹³

Even the capitalist colonial policy of *previous* stages of capitalism is essentially different from the colonial policy of finance capital.”¹⁴

“The more capitalism is developed the more strongly the shortage of raw materials is felt, the more intense the competition and the hunt for sources of raw materials throughout the whole world, the more the disparate the struggle for the acquisition of colonies.”¹⁵

It is completed during Lenin's time, but it has drastically changed and still changing as long as the balance of power between imperialisms has been changed for the sake of US imperialism, most of the colonies became politically “independent” in the form called post-colonialism. The relationship between the center and periphery took the form of colonial unequal exchange more than a military occupation even

13 C.P. Lucas, *Greater Rome and Greater Britain*, Oxford, 1912, or the Earl of Cromer's *Ancient and Modern Imperialism*, London, 1910.

14 Lenin *Imperialism*, p. 77.

15 *Ibid.*, p. 77.

though it relatively increased after the collapse of the USSR. The unequal exchange is a compromise from the peripheral comprador at the cost of their own countries, a development that transcends “the territorial division of the whole world among the biggest capitalist powers to a division/coalition between the capitalist classes in the center and the comprador capitalist classes in the periphery which I call Globalized Capitalist Public Sector (GCPS).

Lenin added: Imperialism, as a Special Stage of Capitalism

“But capitalism only became capitalist imperialism at a definite and very high stage of its development, when certain of its fundamental characteristics began to change into their opposites when the features of the epoch of transition from capitalism to a higher social and economic system had taken shape and revealed themselves in all spheres.”¹⁶

Lenin continues:

“If it were necessary to give the briefest possible definition of imperialism, we should have to say that imperialism is the monopoly stage of capitalism”.

My question is what next?

¹⁶ Ibid, p. 82.

How Imperialism Works in the Arab Homeland

It works in the form of fragmentation, imposing regimes of externalized interests to serve imperialism. The components and factors of imperialist manifestations in the Arab Homeland are highly intermingled to the extent that it is hard to separate the external from the internal ones especially according to the recent developments of that process in the form of both factors deep harmony, taking into consideration:

- The compromise and self-subjugation of Arab ruling classes to the colonial powers on the one hand, and
- Suppression of any local resistance on the other.

The last and continuous Western aggression and targeting of the Arab Homeland started before the era of imperialism, i.e. since three hundred years in parallel or as a result of Western European transformation into mercantile capitalism.

While colonial powers in many cases colonized but did not fragment colonies, like, Iran, Turkey, Ethiopia,

China, India...etc, the Arab nation which was occupied and nearly considered parts of the Ottoman colonization under the pretend of an “Islamic nation ***Ummah***”, has been under Western colonization artificially fragmented into entities each of them hardly able to stand by itself without depending on the imperial powers. Syria, for instance, has been internally fragmented into four entities including cutting Palestine for the Jewish settlers, the Zionist Ashkenazi Entity ZAE as cat paw against all Arab Homeland.

The ZAE as a settler colonial entity and a strategic investment by and for imperialism was the only case in modern world history which was supported jointly by imperialism, the socialist bloc, the world social democrats, and in a hidden manner by Arab clientele regimes!

The Arab-dependent regimes composed of figures, tribes, classes, etc, play the role of an internal factor in the service of colonization of their own countries at the cost of people’s interest in Arab unity. The colonial powers grant each part of them a “state on an artificially cut piece of geography” under the supervision of the same enemy who fragmented their same nation and until now they are calling imperialists “our allies or friends¹⁷”!

17 While British colonialism promised al-Hussein bin Ali to be the head of the Arab state, a promise which was never accomplished, his sons accepted the fragmentation of their Homeland where each one of them was appointed by the same imperialism as king on an entity separated from other pieces.

Another irony is that a lot of Arab intellectuals fall into the imperialist propaganda marketing the lie that those Arab clientele entities entered the so-called "Post-colonial" era!

Although capitalism during all its eras launches continuous wars against all nations on the globe including the Arab nation, I will start with the internal role of Arab ruling regimes and classes in strengthening imperialism in their own Homeland especially the recent and current role of those regimes.

Most of the Arab ruling regimes were imposed by colonial powers, and those who are not imposed had never been elected. Accordingly, the relationship between the ruler, the state, and the masses is a relationship of class struggle, albeit it is hot or not, but most of the time it is from one class against other classes, i.e. from the ruling class against all other classes, a war from one side because the other side still did not fight back properly. This low resistance of other classes encourages the rulers to continue following their interests from repression to betrayal in the form of an Open Door Policy mainly open for the West prioritizing the colonial interest, and their own interest over people's interest in an externalized orientation, but people's interest comes thirdly if it comes at all.

When people in several Arab countries rose in 2011 ***Intifadas***, the CR, which is already there, kidnaped the movement of angry masses who lack revolutionary leadership and turned it to the regimes and forces of politicized religion in a plan designed in the West that is why I called it Terrorist Orientalism (TO).

Accordingly, the Arab land and markets are subjugated to the neo-colonial interests which are protected by local regimes to the extent that the colonial powers became in no need to be there militarily except in military bases saved for the time of aggressive war against a sudden social revolution.

Those regimes, to maintain their interests and role, designed an internal policy of three socio-economic destructive mechanisms:

- ***Tajweef***, emptying of people's consciousness through repression, no liberties, no real opposition parties, no free press, and in some cases formal parliament.
- ***Tagreef***, shoveling wealth either through a Trickle-down economy or through non-productive spending, unequal exchange, armed unequal exchange,¹⁸ and lately through wars against each other.
- Developing of Unequal Development¹⁹ between Arab countries through very low internal Arab

¹⁸ For instance, the case of the Occupied West Bank and Gaza by the Zionist occupation.

¹⁹ Al-mustaqbal al-Arabi, ibid.

trade, maintaining more than 70% of external trade with the EU and more than 20% with the US imperialism²⁰ ignoring any economic Arab-Arab integration²¹, but maintaining continuous annual meetings for Ministries of Interior Affairs, Intelligence apparatuses to exchange information about opposition and militants. These intelligence services as repression tools relationship is the only aspect of Arab unity maintained by the ruling regimes!

That is why, Arab regimes lost the last century without building neither capitalist nor socialist even semi-developed economies. These deliberate policies breed two dangerous results:

- A feeling inside the rulers that they are enemies of the masses, the nation, a fact which pushes them for more oppression and more dependence on imperialism which means that there is no social peace in the Arab societies between Arab ruling regimes and the popular classes. Put another way, it is a divorce case between the formal ruler and the popular masses.

20 See Adel Samara, Perestroika, Gulf War and Arab Soviet Relationship, 1991. (Arabic)

21 <https://kanaanonline.org/2019/09/06/%d9%85%d8%b4%d9%83%d9%84%d8%a9->

[%d8%a7%d9%84%d8%aa%d9%83%d8%a7%d9%85%d9%84-%d8%a7%d9%84%d8%ad%d8%b6%d8%a7%d8%b1%d9%8a-](#)

[%d8%a7%d9%84%d8%b9%d8%b1%d8%a8%d9%8a-%d8%b6%d9%90%d8%af%d9%8a%d9%91%d9%8e%d8%a9/](#)

- This encourages many intellectuals and the formal media to disseminate propaganda that Arabs are inferior as a race and there is no light at the end of the tunnel.

But, despite all those counter factors, the masses' necessity to change those regimes launched the Arab Spring which failed and deviated to Arab Spring/Fall because of at least two factors:

First: The absence of a revolutionary leading party that was continuously suppressed through the policy of *Tajweef*. In fact, this absence began after the 1967 defeat when most Arab nationalist and communist parties either subjugated to the ruling comprador clientele regimes or even renegaded from their main ideology. One of the destructive results of this Arab liberation movement's departure and renegade against national, social, and class struggle is the flourishing of the PIR current.

Second: Because the CR is there, inside the countries stored under the skin of the system, well armed and well organized.

Most if not all Arab regimes were able to stand until and some of them even after the earthquake of Arab Spring/Fall because of two sources of power:

First: Those regimes were security regimes ruled by forces of police, and intelligence services maintaining

and even supporting sectarian, communal, and tribal factors which kept the societies divide and ready for internal clashes. They built various repressive apparatuses which control all aspects of social life buying the most developed technologies of control and repressive tools.

Second: Arab regimes were two camps in their external relations. Both camps were supported and protected by one of the two superpowers during the world cold war era:

First: Arab regimes of total dependence on imperialism, the Gulf regimes, monarchies, etc.

Second: Arab republican regimes who adopt "developmental policies, socialist strategies armed by the former USSR, trying to liberate Palestine and to achieve Arab unity. This camp was protected from new direct imperialism invasion by the mere existence of the Soviet Union.

After the disintegration of the Soviet Union, those regimes lost the external factor of protection and became depending on the internal factor alone which failed to protect the regimes in front of imperialism's direct invasion as the cases of Iraq and Libya on the one hand and Arab terrorism of Politicized Islamic Religion, i.e. Syria and Yemen on the other.

While the reactionary camp was not threatened neither by external destruction nor challenged by internal strong social protest as was the case of the progressive camp through the Arab Spring/Fall. However, this is not because the regimes there were strong, patriotic, democratic, or serving popular classes' interest, but to a large extent, because they still enjoy the two factors of protection at once: imperialist protection and local security fist.

Events show in an obvious manner that none of the Arab regimes' camps depended on internal and self-mechanisms for self-protection and continuity.

This strange dependency made many of those regimes vulnerable to serve imperialism to the extent that most of them play a role of a semi, tiny, "imperialism" in the imperialism large division of labor in the world's current era as we are currently witnessing:

- In 1967, Saudi King Faisal pegged US President Lyndon Johnson to uproot Nasser's regime in Egypt which led to the 1967's defeat and the occupation of the rest of Palestine, Egypt's Sinai, and Syrian Golan Heights.
- In 1991, the US led a "coalition" of 32 armies against Iraq because the Iraqi regime restore its' **Willayah** district no 19; Kuwait. Many Arab armies from the republics, Gulf, and other Monarchies participated in that aggression.

It must be noted firmly here that Arab regimes' participation in the war against another Arab state/land under the leadership of imperialist enemies put the cornerstone for:

- ✓ A series of Arab-Arab aggression on the one hand, and
 - ✓ What is more destructive is that this role is absolutely devoted to the uprooting of Arab common factors, Arab nationalism, and finally Arab unity.
- The war of 1991 against Iraq was the beginning of the 2003 war against Iraq which led to a total occupation and changed the regime from a nationalist dictatorship to the dictatorship of a joint corrupted sectarian Shia/SuSunnioalition under the supervision of the US occupier. This must never ignore that Saddam was a dictator on the one hand but other Arab rulers have never been freely elected.
 - 2011 The Arab League applies to the Security Council to occupy Libya. Most of the Western armies participate in the destruction of Libya in addition to Arab armies, Jordan, Qatar, UAE, etc.²² The former Egyptian minister of foreign affairs and later the General Secretary of the

²² <https://youtu.be/kg5Oapo-1Xc>

Arab League, Umro Mousa, apply to the Security Counsel of the United Nations to invade and occupy Libya.

- 2011 The Arab League decides to cancel Syria's membership and donate its' seat to the representative of the terrorist groups who are supported by all components of CR.²³
- In 2015, the Saudi monarchy and UAE launched a war of occupation against the Republic of Yemen supported, armed, and logistically guided by the US, UK, and other imperialisms.
- Saudi Arabia, UAE, and ZAE are supporting Ethiopia in its water war against Egypt by building the al-Nahda Dam and another 20 small Dams on the Blue Nile which will leave little amount of water for Egypt to suffer thirst and land desertification. Moreover, when the forces of the Tegraï rebellions became on the edge of liberation in Addis Ababa in 2022 the UAE sent dozens of drones to support the regime which led to the retreat of the Tegraï!

Without going into more details, all those wars are in the service of CR especially imperialism and ZAE. The role of Arab aggressive regimes is a self imperializing as a step in the Western imperialist structure.

²³ In the Arab league summit 2011 the PA gave its role as the chair of the Arab Summit to Qatar.

The costs of those wars are uncounted but they led to useless wealth, national self-suicide on the Arab case, and an unexpected donation for the accumulation structure of imperialism's economies, especially following the extended economic/financial crisis since 2008.

An irony in the Arab-Arab wars especially since 2010 is that all of those wars were according to imperialism's plan, however, without costing imperialism the soul of a single soldier.

As for the issue of Arab regimes self-imperializing and playing the role of semi-imperialism; Arab Gulf regimes started renting or buying land in African countries and the Arab country of Sudan created new agricultural colonies exploiting cheap local labor.

This gloomy picture tells that most of the Arab countries are unable or unwilling to transcend an era of backwardness, blocked development, dependency, internal wars, and total subjugation for imperialism and betraying the nation.

While this era did reach its' limit and became unable to produce other than more destruction and self-imperializing, the tool for change is still not available yet.

Capitalist, pre-capitalist semi-capitalism, and mixed social formations in most of the Arab countries took a

destructive route of capitalist development in comparison to most of the world's social formations.

In Western Europe, the white settler colonial capitalist settlements, the development of capitalism in Russia before the 1917 revolution, in India, and even in the Asian tigers took place in the manner of auto-centricity, control of surplus for the sake of accumulation under the leadership of local patriotic bourgeois. In recent decades the capitalist development of China, Turkey, and Iran for instance achieved by policies of relative control of local surplus. Even corruption in many countries, i.e. South Korea took the form of investment inside the country.

Due to their Open Door policies, or let's say total externalization, Arab regimes are corrupted, channeling the surplus abroad. This is the same policy of both, Arab countries of surplus and of deficit. The accumulated income following oil shock prices has been deposited in the formal and commercial banks of imperialist countries either for interest or in the hands of the US regime to build and expand the rental regime's infrastructure but not productive sites.²⁴

Until the 1990s, it wasn't understood: why the Gulf rental regimes accumulate huge amounts of weapons

²⁴ See John Perkins *Confessions of an Economic Hit Man, 2004*

especially because they were confirming that they are unable to protect themselves from imaginable Iran's threats to justify the existence of imperialist bases in their countries. The answer to that question came later with the Arab Fall/Spring when those weapons have been used for the internal destruction of Arab states and societies by Arab countries with semi-imperial roles, i.e. Saudi regime and Arab entities like Qatar and UAE, in addition to the financing, training and recruiting terrorists for the organizations of PIR, i.e. as a product of Terrorist Orientalism (TO).

Only in Arab countries most of the surplus has been spoiled, especially since 1991 for internal and in-between wars led by and serving the interests of the center of imperialism.

As self-imperializing and externally oriented interests, Arab regimes never try to grasp the moment of weakness of imperialist fist, i.e. following the financial/economic crisis especially in the center of the world system to achieve some level of development taking into consideration that many Arab countries acquire money liquidity in an era of capital shortage in many countries in the world.

Another challenge is the Russian defense war against NATO expansion, Ukraine's regime, and its alliance with neo-Nazism and Zionists as an opportunity for Arab regimes to exploit the moment and put pressure

on imperialism to at least minimize its support to the ZAE.

What is taking place is the opposite, i.e. Qatar stands in support of Europe against Russia by providing it with export oil and Gas to enable Europe to boycott Russia's oil and to support the fascist regime in Ukraine. Jordan, UAE, and the new regime in Tunisia attended the imperialist conference of 42 regimes in support of the Ukrainian regime against Russia. The Saudi regime acts as neutral towards the conflict in Ukraine but in fact, waiting until the US president donates that regime a phone call!

Many people expect that Saudi and even other Arab regimes might depart from their relationship with the US. However, the history of those regimes argues against that optimism, and even if it happens, it might be followed by dependency on China and Russia. In fact, even if the Arab regimes become progressive, patriotic, and nationalist nothing will really change as long as they are twenty fragmented regimes.

It is important for Arab Marxists, nationalists, and progressive organizations and parties to be aware of these Arab regimes i.e. to not be cheated by the false declarations of some Arab rulers who pretend that they are neutral towards the war in Ukraine because the fact is that they are in the imperialist camp.

Those countries burnt their surplus, including the larger amounts which accumulated currently following the higher prices of oil because of the war in Ukraine either in buying weapons from the center, launching internal wars, and “saving” the rest in Western banks. When the world fell into Covid19 pandemic agricultural production diminished on a world scale. Arab countries became one of the most desperate for food imports not to say medication needs. Similarly, and more problematic is the case of Arab countries during the war in Ukraine following the Russian siege on Ukraine ports which stopped the export of Ukraine wheat. Arab countries are the most desperate for wheat and other foodstuffs.

Arab countries' desperate needs for food were never limited to the problem of the inability to import food due to the war in Ukraine, but it is essentially rooted in the failure of those regimes in developing productive sectors inside their own countries especially the almost absence of Arab economic integration. For instance, if Arab regimes of surplus invest in the large and fertile land of Arab countries of shortage like Sudan; most of their food shortage will end. However, what is taking place is that Arab renter countries bought cheap lands in Sudan establishing agricultural colonies for themselves, and leaving the Sudanese in poverty and famine!

While the center outsources production as an alternative to inside investment, Arab regimes never invest internally for producing basic needs. Moreover, their outsourcing is in agriculture in the poor countries of Africa practicing the most exploitative form of colonialism.²⁵ Arab regimes and bourgeois invest in shaky and dangerous areas, i.e. western companies where there is no guarantee that they, the Arabs, can withdraw their wealth especially if the anti-imperialist political change took place in any country.

In comparison to China, albeit of the differences in the definition of the Chinese regime after Maoism which its' leaders call "Socialism by Chinese Characteristics" while many writers insist that it is a capitalist regime; I concentrate on the difference between Arab and Chinese management of capital. China opened its economy for FDI and gave lip service to corrupted Chinese to block capital from fleeing abroad. This policy facilitates a high percentage of growth.

Contrarily, the policies of Arab regimes, especially in the Gulf, where they acquire a lot of surpluses but they lack political national decisions aiming for development. Those regimes did not adopt internal development policies, but expanded imports for uncontrollable consumption, exporting capital to be accumulated in foreign banks and companies and,

25 Land Grabbing and Its Implications for Sudanese-Views From a Scholar | Pulitzer Center
<https://pulitzercenter.org/stories/land-grabbing-and-its-implications-sudanese-views-scholar> <https://landmatrix.org/observatory/africa/>

lately, investing in land rent or purchase in foreign countries avoiding any real investment in other Arab countries.²⁶ What is very catastrophic is the Gulf regimes spoiling trillions of dollars to destroy Syria.

The aforementioned policies of the ruling classes in the Arab Homeland in service of imperialism externally and clientele for imperialism internally led to social fragmentation, chaos, unemployment, and extreme poverty.

Briefly, the situation is a downward dialectic between the ruling regimes and the deteriorated Arab national liberation movement, which led to the social Internalization of Defeat (IoD). This was crystallized in avoiding political parties, cursing **Uroba**, falling into communalism, sectarianism, **Qutriya**, exaggerating the power of, ZAE, and obliging citizens to look for sequin into the lower social fabric tissues, tribes, and even extended families.

This social deterioration provide the PIR with a perfect environment to jump into power supported by imperialism.

This situation pushed vital issues to the back of people who lacked proper leadership to lead them for the liberation of occupied Arab land, not Palestine only, Arab unity, development, women's liberation, emancipation, etc. Accordingly, a lot of the masses

²⁶ Their investment in agriculture in Sudan is an exemption and it is not intended to fit into the joint Arab investment and integration policy.

were watching TV while Baghdad was falling into the hands of imperialist aggression asking God to help defeat infidels and going to the bed for sleeping and/or breeding!

What fed and expanded this decline is the huge amounts of rent in the Gulf regimes whose politics are absolutely anti-Arab. The only aspect of their Arabism is the language discourse of huge media apparatuses which encourage consumption, praying, appreciating the rulers, marketing enemies' products buying Western media programs devoted against socialism and communism, and slowly, indirectly, and in some cases openly normalizing with the ZAE.

The deviation of people far from national security and development, most Arab societies became nonproductive. Part of the trick was that the Arab countries of surplus i.e. the rental regimes were subsidizing the deficit of the countries of deficit. The assistance to the countries of deficit²⁷ went for the corrupted regimes, financing the trade deficit but not for development, a policy which led to two main problems:

- Lack of food security and sovereignty, and

²⁷ In the DAVOS conference 2023 the Saudi minister of economics declares that his country will stop donating money and will adopt loans' policy. But when the earthquake in February 2023 took place in Syria and Turkey, the Saudis assisted Turkey and paid its regime \$5 billion as loan guarantees.

- Higher birth rates which led to the accumulation of more people who need work and imported not produced food.

Higher unemployment means more poverty and more subjugation to the regime looking for jobs. This in the final analysis encourages nepotism and corruption as means that strengthen the regimes as long as each citizen is looking for her/his personal and family protection. This is what I meant by IoD on the social scale.

Most of the Arab regimes adopt structural adjustment imposed by imperialist financial institutions; apply IMF's prescriptions that give loans and insist as a priority to restore its money through loan repayment and its interests obliging the regime to minimize subsidies for food, education, and medication.

As for FDI, it is not oriented to productive sectors but to services and projects of fast return which already did not invest in projects which need a lot of capital and produce food and basic needs.

Again, as mentioned earlier, this environment encourages the Western regimes to expand the number, budgets, and independent agenda of NGOs that apply foreign and destructive policies.

While Arab surplus in the era of the Cold War was oriented to the West, it is the same until now.

Additionally, most of the Arab regimes still maintain an economic relationship with the West and even refuse China's better offers. Clear examples are Iraq and Lebanon. While Arab regimes avoid trade with China, many European countries, before the Ukraine war, expanded their economic exchange with China! "This is evidenced by China's massive bilateral relationships with Iran and Russia. China is also Europe's, Latin America's, and Africa's largest trading partner".²⁸

The Ukraine war uncovers to what extent Europe was dependent on Russia's oil and gas and Chinese products, but the US plan of extending the Ukrainian war and obliging Europe to be part of that war against Russia aimed to re-dominate Europe and terminate any possibility of independent Europe using the false propaganda of Russian threat against Europe.

28 Off the Rails: New Report by Corporate-Funded Think-Tank Reveals How Profit-Driven Motives Drive New Cold War against China. By Danny Haiphong, June 5, 2021 |Source: silknsteel.podbean.com.

Not Only Ruling Regimes Serve Imperialism

The life experience taught that for any militant or even ordinary citizen to be immune must start her/his life as patriotic before becoming a nationalist, communist, socialist, and especially a politician. Through this right beginning, any ideology will never be able to deviate her/him to be against peoples' rights or popular classes. Following this suggestion, path, or approach, militants will reproduce theories and practices to be appropriate for the local or national environment and not against any other nation.

Many people who adopt revolutionary ideologies fail to devote it properly and because of that they fall into a dilemma against their own nation and continue pretending that they are the elite and the only understandable of the nation's or popular classes' interests and fate.

This opens for a critique of several internal factors which serve the CR's success albeit deliberately or not:

First: While all communist parties, maybe all over the globe, are patriotic or nationalist, most of the Arab communist parties were and are relatively still ignoring the Arab nation and standing against Arab nationalism. Some of them believe that each Arab country is a separate nation, which weakens the Arab revolution and supports the clientele regimes, and in the final analysis, supports the Western propaganda that there is no Arab nation. The irony here is that those communists' mistakes fall indirectly and unintentionally into the imperialist Zionist goal.²⁹

Second; The position of the regimes, parties, and organizations of the Politicized Islamic Religion PIR especially the eldest and large party -the Muslim Brothers- are absolutely against Arab nationalism preaching for *Ummat* of Islam. Without arguing that religion never gathers all nations into one nation. The irony is that all groups of PIR in Islamic, Christian, and even the ZAE countries are very patriotic and nationalist, i.e. in Turkey, and Iran except the Arab PIR.

This current is the most dangerous against the nation because its' ideology is easier to be accepted by the masses especially in the absence of socialist education as long as the communists fail to be

29 This led us to refer to the fact that the USSR failed to understand the nature of the Zionist settler state in Palestine. In fact, its' position fits into Eurocentricism as long as accepts an eviction of a people for the sake of settlers on the one hand and expects that an entity created by imperialism will be developed into a socialist system! See also, Adel Samara

Kana'an – The e-Bulletin Volume XXII – Issue 6256 10 April 2022, Communist Boys of NATO are Building the “Wailing Wall” in Defense of Ukrainian Fascism!

popular taking into consideration their position against Arab nationalism and unity and recognizing the ZAE since 1948 **Nakba**.

I will avoid arguing that this current PIR since its' mere beginning started by or under the supervision of imperialism, but it became clear in the last ten years of Arab Spring/Fall that this current stands hand in hand with CR war against most of the Arab countries on the one hand and that the PIR terrorist organizations "Terrorist Orientalism" has been established by the intelligence apparatuses of the west.³⁰ (See later)

Third: The Fascist sectarian communalism separatist currents argue for tiny entities. While the aforementioned two currents related to largely expected supporters which enable them to relatively depend on their own masses, however, this third current has no chance but to be dependent and agents to the CR.

Here we have another irony, while Fascism, Communalism, etc, all over the world are very nationalist, however, they are in the Arab Homeland agents for imperialism and/or Zionism!

Fourth: The various currents of intellectuals, i.e. the organic intellectuals of the ruling classes, intellectual comprador and dependent on the colonial/imperialist powers, organic intellectuals of the clergy

³⁰https://www.facebook.com/messenger_media/?thread_id=773969383&attachment_id=227845086013950&message_id=mid.%24cAABa9X41K7SBUXDXPF71CuO5tALs

apparatuses, organic intellectuals of the revisionist communists, organic intellectuals of imperialism under the covers of liberalism, modernism, post-modernism, Gender, anarchism, Trotskyism, etc.

All of those ideological currents are against Arab nations and in the end, they weaken the struggle of revolutionary currents for liberation, unity, and socialism. They strengthen the ruling regimes that are in the service of imperialism.

The most extremist current of Intellectuals is the intellectuals of Sixth Brigade ISB: Those are various currents, i.e. liberals, post-modernists, gender, and sexual orientation, etc. Those currents or elites are arguing against, nationalism, communism, class struggle, preaching for “peace” to justify normalization with the ZAE and dependency on imperialism, etc.

This current insists on democracy as a cure for all problems in the Arab Homeland ignoring development, guerrilla warfare, national liberation, socialism, and communism. In the Arab countries, those people concentrate their activities against the countries which stand out of imperialist domination, China, Russia, Syria, and Iran. They accuse the regimes of those countries as despotic, dictatorial, and undemocratic. They never re-evaluate Western political democracy, especially its' current deterioration and how it became a tool to strengthen

bourgeois dictatorship in the center and its' wars against many nations.³¹

Fifth: NGOs. It became well known all over the world that non-governmental organizations, like Terrorist Orientalism, are designed in the center of imperialism as tools for color revolutions to topple the regimes which are still out of imperialist hegemony, on the one hand, and to weaken even those regimes which are dominated by imperialism through NGOs targeting groups in the society without permission from the ruling regimes.

NGOs play a destructive and obvious role against Arab republics, especially in Libya and Syria and currently in Lebanon and the Occupied Palestine³², where academics suggest NGOs should watch, examine and evaluate PA's practice, I respond that NGOs and the PA must be watched by the people.

Are The Gulf Entities Imperialists?

It is an adventure to ask such a question at least according to Lenin's five characteristics of imperialism which were discussed at the beginning

31 When US and UK were preparing war against Iraq in 1990-91 mass demonstrations took place in a lot of cities in the West, but the regimes launched the war, and when the regimes themselves declare later that the war was based on false reasons, none of the warmongers questioned. On the other hand, Arab intellectuals who supported that war never apologize.

32 See Adel Samara Critique to Rima Hamami, Kanaan, no 88 January 1998, p.p. 25-37.

of this chapter. But we must consider changes in the world in the century that followed Lenin's work.

Lenin defined imperialism as a relationship of economic exploitation leading to unequal distribution of wealth and power on a global scale. This enlarges his definition of imperialism as controlling substantial amounts of capital and capital export.

The case in hand, i.e., the rental Gulf entities control enormous capital and export capital as well. We cannot ignore this similarity, but at the same time, we cannot emphasize that they are imperialists depending on those similarities alone.

Lenin also argues that imperialism is characterized by "high monopoly profits" or "super profits" through the plunder of the entire world. Here the Gulf entities fail to be called imperialists because of four shortages:

- Their wealth never stems from a developed productive process in historical and current times, the source of their wealth stems from oil rent which is discovered, extracted, and even refined by imperialism.³³

³³ In the imperialist British academia there is a saying stated: "How come our oil existed in their land"!

- Their wealth was originally never acquired from external plunder. And,
- It is not so obvious until today if their colonializing of land in poor countries in Africa will breed many surplus or super profits.
- Most of the areas of the Gulf regimes' export of capital are not productive, and it is not oriented to be productive, but their exported capital is devoted to terror to serve imperialism's division of labor, i.e., it is burning capital in support of terror devoted to burning human beings.

On another level, it is also interesting to note that, for Lenin, imperialism as a "world-historical phenomenon" has to be based on the exploitation of the majority of the world population by a "handful of exceptionally rich and powerful states."

This never applied to the Gulf regimes in terms of exploitation, the Gulf regimes did not control their own capital rent, and they lack an independent national political decision. The Gulf regimes are not strong countries in terms of social and even demographic structures. For example, in some regimes, Arabs are less than 10 percent of the

population, moreover, they are dependent and vulnerable, lacking Internal/social demographic cohesion. The only similarity with Lenin's point is the Gulf's tiny population, but it is very tiny.

What one extracts from the case of Gulf regimes is that it is very deformed in form of social structure, they are dependent on the one hand and aggressive and colonizers on the other. They are rich but not productive.

Many Arab Marxist and nationalist militants were worried about the future of the Gulf countries because the majority of their populations were not Arabs and mistreated in addition to the fact that the regime's policies are against any form of Arab unity. The Arab Spring/Fall uncover the particularly important and dangerous role of those regimes. I will not return to their clientele role for imperialism, but I will deal only here with their situation and role in the light of a theorization of Marx in terms of militarism.

Marx's perspective on military spending as "non-productive waste of part of the social product" in tandem with its growing imperialist status.

While Marx's point here is highly applicable to Arab clientele states in the Gulf with some

differences in the case of the social product. Because those regimes' money liquidity is originally rental, and the money spent burnt on the military is for import and aggression but not invested in producing weapons. The Gulf military spending is in fact against the Arab nation itself which is a unique form of self-suicide. It is an active absorption for the imperialist weapons industry which serves imperialist two important goals:

- Large accumulation, and
- International domination.

Marx's point is applicable in the theoretical/human short run more than in the practical and long run. This form of spending first of all is a class one; it is by and for the corporatist owners. It is burning the Gulf wealth on the one hand and launching massacres against other parts of the Arab nation, but it is profitable for the owners, the producers of weapons. In fact, even in the long run, imperialist investment in weapons is profitable. It is the tool of colonial wars that subsidizes the capitalists' losses in wars through plundering, working-class exploitation, and unequal exchange, war is the most profitable field for capitalism.

Military spending led to war expansion which means an opening of new markets and more profit. It is an

expansion of employment of the local and other workers who are employed in this sector. It is a tool to subjugate and gain allies in many countries that open their markets for imperialist military and civil exports.

To take the imperialist military spending/donations to the ZAE for example which are strategic investments, it is not profitable in the short run or direct glance, but considering the role of the ZAE in blocking Arab development and maintaining Arab dependency it is super profitable.

As noted above, Marx is right from a humanitarian point of view and the protection of nature, an argument that imperialism never listens to.

To elaborate, Gulf military spending in the form of capital burning/waste, and aggression against other Arab countries in a form of semi imperialism is going hand in hand with Zionist aggression and blocking the development of Arab countries and finally, both Gulf and Zionist regimes are agents for imperialism, albeit in different forms.

The normalization between many Arab regimes, especially the Gulf regimes with the ZAE and their joint aggression against Syria, Libya, Yemen, Iraq, and for sure Palestine as part of imperialism's plan against the Arab nation led us to open the discussion towards other lumpen or semi Middle East imperialisms especially their aggression against the Arab nation.

The Arab Homeland is under vital threat from three countries, two of them from the so-called Middle East, Turkey, and the ZAE in addition to Ethiopia.³⁴ Mayada Azzoqi argues that both Turkey and Ethiopia are launching water wars against the Arab nation serving the ZAE.³⁵

- Turkey's aggression in terms of renewing its colonialism even in a settler form in addition to its' water war against Syria and Iraq
- Ethiopia's water war against Egypt and Sudan, and
- For sure the ZAE in all possible aspects.

All of those problems strengthen and justify our argument that dealing with imperialism in the Arab Homeland separately is more accurate than dealing with all MENA countries as one group. Iran is a case in between, it is pro-Arab in Lebanon, but in Iraq, it is the second plunder of Iraqi wealth after the US.

³⁴ Iran is an old neighboring Arab state, it is not currently at war against the Arab nation, and it supports Arab resistance, but at the same time supports the Iraqi regime which was appointed by the occupier USA!

³⁵ See M.Azzoqi: www.observerme.net

<https://www.observerme.net/2021/07/%D8%A7%D9%86%D8%AA%D9%87%D8%A7%D9%83%D8%A7%D8%AA-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D9%85%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%A7%D8%A6%D9%8A-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%B1%D8%A8%D9%8A-%D9%84%D8%B5%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AD-%D8%A7%D8%B3/>

%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D9%85%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%A7%D8%A6%D9%8A-

%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%B1%D8%A8%D9%8A-%D9%84%D8%B5%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AD-%D8%A7%D8%B3/

Imperialism, Terrorist Orientalism As Weapons of Human Nihilism

Many writers were and still are praising the late non-aligned movement, which goes in parallel with the so-called post-colonialism theories which are mere theories but practically rootless.

Economics and politics are class issues that never represent all society in any social formation, even when a nation is at war or under colonization, some of the classes are against social/national consensus led by its' class interest articulated with the colonizer. That is why it compromises with the enemy, i.e. betrays the nation. In fact, a real post-colonialism must start with Development by Popular Protection (DbPP) which must lead to de-linking.

In most Arab regimes, the so-called Post-Colonial era never took place. There are forms of colonialism, neo-colonialism which became self colonizing and self imperialize, even in the cases of Iraq and Libya it is

direct colonialism on the one hand and imposing regimes of PIR as agents for imperialism on the other. Following the military invasion of Iraq in 1991; the US and EU imposed an economic war, siege, and boycott against the Iraqi people. Accordingly, all Arab countries committed despite the fact that it is a war against a part of the Arab Homeland based on false justification, against the same Arab nation, and despite that those countries call themselves "independent countries", i.e. they are in the era of Post-Colonialism!

While writing this part, in the last ten days of August 2021, the leader of the Lebanese party Hizbullah declared that an Iranian ship of Fuel was on its' way to Lebanon. This was a step toward breaking the US, EU, and Arab regimes' siege against Lebanon. The US ambassador in Lebanon met the Lebanese president and told him that the government of her country:

"Allow Jordan to export electricity to Lebanon through Syria and allows Egypt to export Gas to Lebanon through Syria as well". Does that mean other than that the US president is the real ruler of such Arab countries on the one hand and that those Arab countries are colonies for imperialism?

Until the writing of this part 2022 no Gas reached Lebanon, because the US Department changed its mind. The US permit to its agents to bring gas from

Egypt to Lebanon was a trick to expand the publicity of US Lebanese agents for the coming elections. The elections took place in May 2022 but the Gas never came!

Again, this recalls the discussion of false independence, let's take a giant and unified country India, the opposite of the divided Arab nation, as a good example where its regime when "independence" was declared, and its prime minister Nehru considered one of the leaders of the non-aligned movement, the agreements of his government with the British colonialism negate all the propaganda about independence. *Suniti Kumar Ghosh wrote in 1947:*

"The essence of neo-colonialism or semi-colonialism lies in subordinating the dependent country's economy, politics, and military strategy, to the economy and global strategy of imperialism."³⁶

The applied strategy of Imperialism and its' Arab regimes are clientele on the one hand and imperialize each other on the other, which might be summarized into two parts separated and mixed at the same time:

36 The Transfer of Power: Real or Formal? August 15, 1947 -- Suniti Kumar Ghosh* No. 43

(July 2007): <https://www.rupe-india.org/43/ghosh.html>. "...the fact is", writes Robin D.G. Kelley, "While colonialism in its formal sense might have been dismantled, the colonial state was not."¹¹³ Imperialism today, as Thomas Balogh said, does not require overt political domination to enforce its rule.¹¹⁴ Instead of directly administering a dependent country, it dominates it through local agents and using the levers of capital (both direct investment and 'aid', a euphemism for loan capital), technology, military hardware, etc. Besides, the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund, and other international institutions, set up on the initiative of U.S. imperialism, play the role of the colonial administration of enforcing the rules of the imperialist game. The essence of neo-colonialism or semi-colonialism lies in subordinating the dependent country's economy, politics, and military strategy, to the economy and global strategy of imperialism.

- Division of labor
- Joint execution and results.

In the last/current decade, wars against the Arab Homeland have been conducted as follows: western imperialism did not launch ground aggression but attacked from the sky and the Arab ruling regimes execute the war on the ground by several means; mainly the terrorists' war. Then, the execution is separated in the form of a division of labor, but the result is jointly strengthening their domination and interests.

Let us look at a unique mechanism of joint benefits between imperialism and local rental and comprador regimes in the Arab Homeland.

The rental regimes live in a parasitic manner on endowed wealth; the rent, which was discovered and extracted by the foreign companies, those regimes of rent assists other Arab comprador regimes but not for development. Those forms of Arab regimes are capitalist comprador regimes.

The main mechanism of economic and social/political work of these regimes might be summarized as follows:

First: those comprador regimes use the pumped wealth to import most of the societies' needs from imperialist countries. They are like a pipe pumping

their nation's wealth and channeling it back to the imperialist economies.

Second: the current wave of targeting the Arab Homeland since 1991 against Iraq, and until today is crystallized by recruiting poor and simple people, arming them, paying them relatively high salaries, training them, encouraging them sexually, feeding them with very extreme ideology, i.e. PIR, and letting them kill blindly. Through this mission, those people are Weapons of Human Nihilism (WHN).

The continuation of this policy fits into the criminal ideas of Robert Malthus and Henry Kissinger which were designed to minimize human beings in the South³⁷ as much as possible by controlling their wealth.

It is an adoption renewing and expanding criminal Kissinger's quote of the 1970s on famine:

“Who controls the food supply controls the people – the quote goes on saying, “Who controls the energy can control whole continents; who controls money can control the world.”

While criminal imperialists target people of the south in general and Africa in particular, as mentioned in the footnote video's link, their main field of practical genocide is the Arab nation, through fragmenting

³⁷<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0?ui=2&ik=cb6cc82c4d&attid=0.1&permmsgid=msg-a.r-8956444725444359734&th=17b67de5a932175c&view=att&disp=safe&realattid=17b67ddc06d2e781d1b1>

each country, minimizing each new entity to be smaller than the ZAE in terms of geography and population and launching the war of Terrorist Orientalism.

This criminal strategy was executed jointly by:

- The center of imperialism
- Arab dependent regimes
- Forces of Politicized Islamic Religion
- Regional semi-imperialism of Turkey, Ethiopia, and especially the ZAE.

I prefer the term Weapons of Human Nihilism (WHN) over Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) because nuclear weapons are against man, places, and nature, but WHN is designed against people aiming to gain land and wealth after that. Iraq, Libya, Syria, and Afghanistan are current examples, human beings are suffocated like insects, but oil is protected. The number of killed Libyans by NATO raids is still not counted, infrastructure and cities were destroyed, but oil fields were maintained safely. In Iraq, a great civilization has been wiped out and stolen, but oil is still safe and its money is stored in US banks!

At the same time, no development policies designed by the ruling regimes finally or even directly push the young unemployed to carry weapons against their

society. WHN is a joint policy by imperialism and Arab ruling regimes.

What Is To Be Done

One of Lenin's works: "Who are the Friends of the People", but the Arab's situation encourages us to ask: Where are the friends of the people? For sure, it is the people themselves.

The aforementioned question is due to the large and increased number and forms of enemies, especially when the ruling classes and their organic/engaged intellectuals are enemies of the people.

The hard question here is: To fight whom first, the local/internal enemies or the imperialists and Zionists? Or is the clash with any; is a clash with the other as long as the enemies are on one front? Or, the answer depends on what form of struggle, i.e., military, guerrilla, urban /city war, cultural struggle, etc.

Any liberation struggle depends on the place, time, available means, and self-power.

That is why the issue is to resist, which means letting any group, class, party, etc. contribute according to

their ability, but under a joint and clear slogan which is:

First: How to regain the masses, the popular classes after they were nearly occupied by either the camp of internalization of defeat or the hegemony of the ideology of PIR.

Second: How to reach the final goal by DbPP leading to de-linking and socialism.

If our argument that the imperialists are not on the ground directly, or hidden physically, in most of the Arab Homeland, and those in the field are the regimes, classes, and intellectuals of CR, this supports the opinion that the revolution must target them.

Since most of the Arab nationalist and communist parties loD following the 1967 defeat and later the disintegration of the socialist bloc, have renegade, compromised the regimes, or got tired, those parties left the stage for the regimes and organizations of PIR encouraged, supported by imperialism, and allied with the local regimes who grasped the moment and filled the space.

The revolutionaries as parties or intellectuals must work hard on the intellectual/cultural aspects to strengthen people's critical consciousness. They must use all available means including, but especially, the popular media machine which is a direct relationship

with the masses on the ground, i.e., schools, universities, theatres, public transportation, mosques, etc. The revolutionaries must consider the fact that clientele regimes have lots of money, facilities, and imperialist Zionist support which enables them to cover the scene. Accordingly, the revolutionaries must struggle secretly. They must use social media without uncovering their organizational structure and cadres. They should not believe in the formal liberties and democracy of the ruling clientele regimes.

On the class level, revolutionaries must work between and for the majority of the people, the popular classes educating them and re-educating them against the regimes and capitalism.

They must avoid confronting or targeting normal and simple believers but the forces of PIR who use religion for class interests and their alliance with clientele regimes and imperialism. The social struggle must avoid the trap of religious conflict. The socio-political struggle of revolutionaries must consider the fact and importance of boycotting the CR interests, products, and cultural programs. By CR here we mean its three components:

- Triad as Amin wrote: the US, EU, and Japan as the center of imperialism.
- The ZAE, and
- The dependent Arab regimes.

This boycotting will and must lead the revolutionary parties to adopt DbPP as a popular developmental productive economy separated from the formal economy, and the world market and continue this strategy as an important part of the people's war until being able to pressurize the regime to adopt de-linking from the world capitalist market or until being able to change that regime. DbPP is a national/class war for real liberation and independence that transcend all **Qutri** regimes and fight to achieve the main two Arab goals:

- The buildup of a central Arab United Socialist State, the state of unity, and
- Achieve the central Arab cause, which is the liberation of all occupied Arab areas, mainly Palestine.

The means of achieving the two main goals are not only cultural, economic, and peaceful, but they must be supported by two forms of struggle:

First: Dismantling the joints of the oppressor's state by throwing stones against all interests of foreign regimes, companies, cultural centers, etc. Moreover, doing the same against repressive regimes' centers and figures, provoking agitating people in schools, the market, and social events to boycott them. Those activities oblige the regime to be on continuous alert to protect itself and the interests of its master and make its security apparatuses very busy and tired.

This activity is a training to build popular liberation committees and enable the revolutionaries to reach the stage of declaring civil disobedience.

Second: The military struggle in its two forms, Guerilla, and urban cities struggle.

The accumulation of the aforementioned means of struggle prepares the people for accepting and adopting either democratic revolution or socialist revolution directly, all depending on the proper circumstances.

Chapter Two

Terrorist Orientalism as a Special Version Against the Arab Nation

Far from examining in detail the tools which enable the capitalist West to look like a symbol of humanity, while in fact its hands and mouth leak with other nations' blood, it is the duty of critical minds and revolutionary forces all over the globe, but especially in peripheral countries, to conduct a political, economic, cultural, theoretical pedagogy for the masses in their countries to explain what is the real face and content of the western capitalism, colonialism, imperialism, and globalism. I will use the term west from now on.

It is really difficult to follow and uncover the hidden agendas of the ruling regimes and classes in the West. Additionally, it is sensitive to base and build our analysis, critique, and challenge to the West

depending on the content of their released documents after 30 years of events, because the real truth never and will never be proclaimed unless a revolution conquers the bourgeois dictatorship as did the 1917 Bolshevik revolution when Lenin uncovered the content of Sykes-Picot conspiracy against the Arab nation.

However, despite the importance of Lenin's great uncover of that conspiracy, Arab reactionary and tribal "elite" directly adopted and applied that conspiracy/plan, and from that time until today most of the Arab regimes and a lot of externalized intellectuals, academics, and political analysts consider the same west as an ally!

Depending on what is leaked, we are relatively able to uncover the reality of some events and expect some of the enemy's plans to be aware as much as possible.

The reality of many Western crimes was limited to the confession of some imperialist leaders who confess, sometimes, that they lied when launching a war against other nations and accordingly express their sorrow. While the issues are not if they lied or expressed their sorrow and even sadness, the issues are:

- a. The lives and losses of the nations that have been destroyed.

- b. The silence of the West's own laws who must take them to court. And,
- c. The silence of the so-called world public opinion on that as well.

Some of the imperialist leaders did not even feel sorry and provided a worthless reason behind their decision to launch wars against another nation, as in the case of the US ex-president G.W.Buch who said that God told him to occupy Iraq!

One of the rare cases that an imperialist leader expresses his regret for leading a catastrophic war against innocent people pretending that he shared that war of terror to rescue the people of a country, i.e. Iraq, from a tyrannical regime. However, the result was that Tony Blair (the UK ex-prime minister) who participated in that war against Iraq, said that the country fell into the hands of a terrorist organization which is ISIS.

In fact, most of this book is devoted to uncovering the fact that not only terror is manufactured by the same imperialist Western regimes, but also the sectarian regime installed in Iraq following the occupation of the country in 2003. The goal of the Western occupation of Iraq never was against the Baa'th regime, nor for democracy, it is for Iraq's oil, and for implanting internal civil war between sectarian leaderships Shia' a and Sunna on one hand, and between Iraqi Arabs

and Iraqi Kurds on the other. This is without explaining the destruction of Iraq was also for the benefit of ZAE as well.

Nevertheless, what is important is far from Blair's lies. Because imperialism never invades any country for a human mission but for plunder, stealing, and appointing a puppet regime and ends with an unequal exchange unless the country's people resist imperialism and achieve real independence. Even if the country succeeds in expelling imperialism, this doesn't mean that imperialism's crime and plunder should be left without restoring the stolen wealth, and dignity.

Yet, what is impossible to be restored are the lives of the innocent millions of human beings which will never be wiped or forgotten by sorrow and regret, it must stay forever as a position against enemies of humanity, against capitalism.

In fact, Blair's speech stems from an orientalist way of thinking, which believes that the Arabs are emotional and naive people and he can silence them with some clever simple words. It is in fact as Edward Said wrote that the Orientalists believe that they are able, and they have the right to reshape the Orient according to the shape which they drew for it.³⁸

38https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=1733691900296028&id=100009657040467&sfnsn=mo

While the Western bourgeois machine highly succeeds in the world public opinion's brainwashing maintaining the "rosy" picture of Western imperialism, the resistance of progressive people, militants, writers, etc. did a good job of challenging the Western media. But this resistance needs a lot of work to uncover and overcome the bourgeois lies.

Of the good writings against the bourgeois machine are:

William Blum 2000: *Rouge State: A Guide to the World's Only Super Power*. Common Courage Press, Monrone ME 04951.

Jenny Pearce 1982, *U.S. Intervention in Central America and the Caribbean*. Latin American Bureau.

Michael Parenti 1995. *Against Empire: A Brilliant Exposee" of the Brutal Realities of U.S. Global Domination*, City Lights Books, San Francisco.

Vincent Bevins, 2020 "The Jakarta Method: Washington's Anticommunist Crusade and the Mass Murder Program that Shaped Our World", Public Affairs Publishing.

While a lot of US and other West crimes mentioned in those books became known, more remain widely overlooked, precisely because the CIA's secret interventions were so successful. Those huge crimes were easily hidden due to the very effective bourgeois

media machine on the one hand and the compromise of many clientele regimes, political parties, and intellectuals in the periphery on the other.

During the 1960s and 1970s, the Communist bloc suffered a beginning of deterioration which ended with the triumph of capital over labor on the world scale. A fact that encourages capital to substitute the cold war for cold and hot wars, thanks to the existence of the USSR which relatively tied the hands of imperialism between the 1920s-1980s.

The Soviet Union's intervention to support the Afghani revolution was a turning point that encourages the Counter Revolution's CR direct and/or obvious intervention against many countries and exploitation to disintegrate the Soviet Union itself through designing what I termed the TO (see below).

The Arab nation wasn't excluded from Western global terror either through direct military aggression, coup de-tats, the assassination of some leaders, unequal exchange, unequal development, etc, which might be summarized as means to acquire accumulation.

Without going too deep into the historical conflict between the Arab nation and Western Europe, it is worth referring to Frankish Feudalism aggression which was finally defeated and their settlements terminated. The Arab Homeland for 300 years was targeted by Western Frankish capitalism, i.e from Feudalist Crossades to Capitalist Crossades,

crystallized in various forms of war either one by one or all at once, i.e. military, cultural, economic and political, etc., which I prefer to call them Orientalist wars designed and targeted the Arab nation. The last decades show that the West designed a special new version of Orientalism, which I prefer to call in this book TO.

While this version of orientalism was designed and planned in the West, however, as noted earlier, it was fortunate to find local clients who supported it with local terrorists, financial needs, religious theorization by local regimes, intellectuals, and political parties who politicize and ideologies Islamic religion. The most feature of TO is that local clients did all that the West needs without the West's direct intervention in the destruction of many Arab countries, while other forms of Orientalism mainly depended on Western people, the army, scientists, politicians, historians, etc., with some local cooperation and collaborators either by force or as agents.

Terrorist Orientalism Begins by Manufacturing of al-Qaida

In the middle of the 1980s, I was living in London preparing my Ph.D dissertation at Birkbeck, University of London. I worked as an economic editor for *al-Arab Daily* in London itself. Many Arab Mujahedeen came to this newspaper's office welcomed by an Egyptian Islamist journalist Muhammad Mahfouz. They have visas to enter Britain Airports and tickets to continue to Afghanistan to fight against the USSR. They were from several Arab countries including Palestine, but the story was as follows:

“The King of Saudi Arabia and Saudi Prince Turki Al-Faisal received the Director of Saudi Intelligence with Pakistani General Akhtar Abdul Rahman, head of the Pakistani Intelligence Services:

"Most of the talk there was about its northwestern neighbor, Afghanistan, which had been invaded months earlier by the Soviets. Muslim freedom fighters, Mujahedeen, and Afghan warriors were

putting up fierce resistance and Pakistan was supporting them”.³⁹

“ The Saudi envoy from the Saudi intelligence service, Ahmed Badib, to Pakistan for the same purpose met with Pakistani President Muhammad Zia al-Haq.⁴⁰

Less than six months after the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, Saudi Foreign Minister and Turki al-Faisal's older brother, Prince Saud al-Faisal, announced that the fundraising drive for ordinary Saudi citizens had raised more than 81.3 million Saudi riyals (22.1 million dollars). In May 1980, the Saud delivers the cheques to the Secretary-General of the Islamic Conference in Islamabad. The money was to help Afghan refugees. But on that date also, his brother Turki, the commander of the General Intelligence, had signed a secret agreement for a much larger sum - but only for the purchase of weapons”.⁴¹

“Since he took over Saudi intelligence responsibilities in 1977, Prince Turki has funneled huge sums of money to fight clandestine wars. In the mid-seventies, Saudi Arabia was a founding member of the Safari Club, which was the idea of Alexandre de Merencher,

39 See Robert Lacey's book: The Kingdom from within, the Modern History of Saudi Arabia, Kings, the Religious Establishment, the Liberals and the Extremists. Translated into Arabic by Khalid bin Abdul Rahman Al-Awad. Al-Mesbar Center for Studies and Research, third edition 2011, p. 103

40 p. 106

41 Ibid, p.109.

the gentle head of French intelligence that supplied Saudi Arabia with gas that ended the siege of Mecca Al-haram Al-Makki by Juhaiman. The fear that spread due to Soviet and Cuban ambitions in post-colonial Africa, and the American impotence after the Watergate scandal in underground work, was the main motive for offering a proposal by the adventurer Merencher to the father of Prince Turki, King Faisal.

“His “suggestion,” as Prince Turki says, was that “because of the American friends’ exit from the game and their inability to carry out covert operations at this critical time, it has become incumbent upon us, the countries with the same orientation, to drive the communists out of Africa with money, weapons, soldiers - and any other kind of tricks.” Calling it “Safari Club” was a bit of a joke for Merencher, but the point was too dangerous”.⁴²

“The French spy has prepared everything. The French Agency will provide technical support, equipment, and expertise, while it will provide Morocco and Egypt with weapons, and Saudi Arabia will provide the money. The head of French intelligence asked the Shah to join – the issue which revealed early on the club's activities when the Shah of Iran fled Tehran in 1979 leaves behind the documents without tearing. Until that time, the club's

⁴² Ibid p. 109.

performance was distinguished by many achievements. In March 1977, Moroccan soldiers (supported and armed by Saudi Arabia) repelled a Cuban-Angolan attack that was intended to overthrow Mobutu Seso Seko in Zaire, and Somali President Mohamed Ziyad Berri was bribed to avoid welcoming the Soviets by providing Egyptian weapons worth more than 75 million dollars (paid from Saudi Arabia), and the Saudi money enabled Chad and Sudan to distance themselves a little from Muammar Gaddafi".⁴³

"We used to do this for America," says Prince Turki, "but we were doing it for ourselves too. Saudi Arabia, from ancient times, saw Marxism as a hateful philosophy and a curse on people and religion. We saw it as our duty to fight the Soviet Union wherever its threat came." Now Marxist ideological thought and Russian weapons threaten Afghanistan - and with it the entire Gulf region. Zia al-Haq was carrying a dramatic red triangle and placing it on the map of Afghanistan to show how the Soviets aspired to drive a wedge in the region and rush together to achieve the goal of building a historic Russian port in the warm waters. Zia-ul-Haq took out his triangle to show it to William Casey, who was appointed by Ronald Reagan as the new head of the CIA when he arrived

⁴³ Ibid p. 110

in Islamabad in 1981, but Casey does not need to learn the lesson.

Former President Jimmy Carter laid out US policy a year before he went in his federal address weeks after Soviet tanks rolled into Afghanistan. "Let our position be clear. Any attempt by an external force to control the Arab Gulf region is tantamount to an attack on the interests of the United States of America, and it will be repelled by all necessary means, including military force".⁴⁴

Before that, in February of 1980, Carter agreed to a secret program that would put his ideas into practice - a secret agreement between Saudi Arabia and the United States to share equal levels, dollar for dollar, to support the Afghan fighters and draw the Soviets into their "Vietnam of their own." The two countries spent more than \$3 billion each, according to Rachel Bronson, a reference in US-Saudi relations, in a cooperative agreement that turned out to be a world-changer. It was an unimaginable partnership half a century ago, when the two countries, America, and Saudi Arabia, which are far and different from each other, decided to approach each other for the first time".⁴⁵

This long quotation uncovers the most passive uniqueness of dependent, traitor, and spying regimes

⁴⁴ Ibid p. 111

⁴⁵ Ibid 111.

since those Arab regimes were used by imperialism as a paw for CR against any nation including their own nation.

The Saudis said that we are doing that for America, but also we are doing that for ourselves, we are against Marxism and the USSR. The real meaning of this saying is that they are tools for Counter-Revolution which means that they are against their own nation, the Arab nation, and humanity as well.

Following the terrorist orientalism's war against Syria and Iraq started in 1911, and in her electoral campaign the former US Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton said:

“The people we are fighting today we funded twenty years ago, we coordinated with Pakistani forces and Saudi Arabia and other Arab countries they brought their Wahabi brand against the USSR”.⁴⁶

What strengthens the criminal role of those Arab regimes is the compromised role of many Arab well-known intellectuals who visit or are invited to the Gulf countries to speak on democracy, development, and human rights and to receive rewards from this or that Gulf Sheikh! Those visits did contribute to showing that the situation there is fine and the regimes are well. The role of those intellectuals is a betrayal of the masses' consciousness and harming any

⁴⁶ <https://www.tiktok.com/t/ZTRshf2pg/>

development of critical consciousness, Arab unity, or even the need for any change in the internal situations of those countries. Those renegade intellectuals better called Intellectuals of Sixth Brigade (ISB) are the organic “intellectuals/Gramsci” of the CR.

Most of those Arab-dependent regimes became rude enough to declare that they are conducting a holy war, Jihad against republican Arab regimes to “democratize” them. This is the core reason behind the role of Arab regimes in Terrorist Orientalism.

That is why, Arab revolutionaries who are fighting against normalization with the ZAE, must devote and apply the same struggle against Arab regimes and all regimes in the world that are supporting those regimes and the ZAE.⁴⁷

There are many dependent, capitalist, exploitative, undemocratic regimes in the periphery, but they are not traitors, they prefer their own class interests over other social classes, but they did not betray their homeland. Many countries in the world are corrupted as well, i.e. South Korea but its bourgeois class reinvests the stolen money by corruption inside the

⁴⁷ Egypt, UAE, Morocco, Bahrain, Jordan, and Saudi Arabia’s regimes who normalized with ZAE did not protest against the Zionist massacres in Jenin refugees camp but condemned a military operation in Jerusalem by Khairy Alqam in February 2023 which took place one day after Jenin massacre. This reflects the extent to which those regimes never consider the Palestinian and even their people’s public opinion.

country and industrializes the economy even if it is export-oriented.

Arab regimes of Saudi Arabia, and the rest of the Gulf entities, for instance at least since the second half of the 20th century were and still are tools for CR blocking the development of any country the West needs to block, standing against Arab development and unity.

Additionally, those regimes cover their role of treason under the Islamic umbrella as if they are the protectors of Islam. As noted above, Arab regimes of Saudi Arabia, Jordan UAE, Qatar Bahrain, Kuwait, Morocco, etc recruit youths, finance them, pay the costs of training them, provide them with imported Western weapons, and send them to destroy other Arab progressive republics under the pretense that they are protecting Islam.

In fact, those regimes were established by the colonial powers as in the case of the Zionist settler colonial entity Israel. Since they were established at the beginning of the 20th century, their main role was to fight Arab nationalism, unity, and socialism. That is why their role against USSR in Afghanistan wasn't new or strange and their role in the so-called Arab Spring is a continuity of their old role.

All Arab regimes of CR did in fact waste the two important factors of development for the sake of expanding terror:

They recruit youths who are the best human power for production to be terrorists after re-educating them about politicized religion which considers every Muslim a Kafer if she/he did not follow Wahabism and/or their own fundamentalism such as Salafism. And they spent a lot of money liquidity which should have been devoted to development.

Those passive developments subjected the Arab Homeland to two forms of Politicized Islamic Religion, the first by Iran's revolution under Khomeini who decided to export Islamic "revolution". His target was the close Arab countries to Iran, i.e Iraq, but not the close Islamic country, i.e. Turkey! This is really strange given that Turkey is a member of the NATO which is led by the USA as the great Satan in Khomeini's speeches and an ally with the ZAE which is cancer in Palestine also according to Khomeini's speeches as well. In addition, the fact that Turkey is a secular regime and a place where a lot of sexual "freedom" contradicts Khomeini's form of Islam!

The second form of Politicized Islamic Religion is composed of two ideologies:

The Wahabi trend was led by the Saudi regime and, the Salafi trend was led by Muslim Brothers based in Qatar and Turkey.

In fact, exporting revolution is never a revolutionary action. All those forms of export are not revolutionary by any means, and all of them are led by sectarian leaders, the Shia' and Suna. The Iranian Islamic revolution is progressive in terms of throwing the Shah regime which was appointed by Imperialism and closing the embassy of the Zionist Ashkenazi Entity. The Suni form is an export of backwardness and dependency.

Both exporters are targeting Arab countries despite the fact that most of those countries populations are Muslims and the rest are Arab Christians.

If both exporters are real believers in Islam, and looking for protecting real Islam, they must target Turkey because of the reasons mentioned above.

While Sunni's export of backwardness is for the sake of imperialism and Zionism, Iran's export of revolution is motivated by national and sectarian hegemony where Islam is used as a cover for penetration and hegemony.

If we look at the politics of those Arab regimes were they reached now? They are still agents of imperialism, normalizing with the ZAE as the enemy of the Arab nation. Moreover, their countries are

threatened by famine as it became clear during Covid19 and the effects of the Ukraine war on international trade lines. War in Ukraine uncovers how fragile most of the Arab countries are, lacking basic needs, especially food, and unable to tolerate the new high prices of imported food.

Expansion of Terrorist Orientalism

Following the defeat of the USSR in Afghanistan, the camp of terrorist orientalism continued their criminal victory by continuing the war against the Arab nation, especially the republics. The camp expanded to contain many other Arab regimes and political Islamist parties, in addition to Turkey and ZAE.

While the US and Saudi terror in Afghanistan against USSR was to a certain extent obvious, the US, France, "Israel" and Saudi Arabia's terror against Algeria was hidden. It is right that in both cases terror was launched by organizations of PIR, but the forces

behind the terror against Algeria were relatively hidden.

The role of the West in both cases and other cases justifies our conclusion that the West launches a new wave of Orientalism which is the wave of Terrorist Orientalism planned in the West, devoted to the protection of the West's interests but both human and financial power are from the orient and devoted against the orient itself.

As noted above, other versions of Orientalism were Western in terms of planning, interests, military power, intellectuals, politicians, etc. The role of the locals was always marginal and passive or at least they did not participate.

The following speech by a Kuwaiti intellectual confirms what has been mentioned earlier about Afghanistan on the one hand and emphasizes that we are witnessing new or different orientalism from the classic ones, i.e. the locals play a big and decisive role, that extends to the level of imperializing their own countries on behalf of imperialism and for the sake of imperialism itself.

“May 10, 2017, Dr. Abdul Hamid Dashti, a former deputy in the Kuwaiti National Assembly wrote on his Facebook page:

“I hope you read this story.

If you want to know how terrorism is controlled, see the answer of the former Algerian Foreign Minister, "Benflis," how did Algeria get rid of the terrorism that killed it until the late nineties?

Dr. Abdul Hamid Dashti:

Question submitted to Mr. Benflis

How did you manage in Algeria to eradicate the phenomenon of violence and murder, which lasted for many years?

Mr. Benflis looked at me and took a deep breath and with a slight sigh, rose from his seat and asked me to walk together along the shore...

He said:

One day, President Bu-Tafliqa summoned me to his headquarters, and I found the American ambassador with him, along with three other people, who turned out to be from the American CIA.

Bu-Tafliqa asked me to listen to what they said. The American ambassador began by saying:

Do you wish, gentlemen, to end the state of violence and murder that you have in Algeria?

President Bu-Tafliqa replied:

Of course, with no doubt.

The ambassador continued:

Well, we can end this situation for you quickly, but to be clear we have clear conditions that you must agree to in advance.

Bu-Tafliqa informed him of his approval and asked him to continue...

The ambassador said:

Firstly: You must deposit the proceeds of your oil sales with us in America⁴⁸... secondly: You must deposit the proceeds of gas sales in France. Third: Not supporting the Palestinian resistance... Fourthly: Not supporting Iran and Hezbollah... Fifthly: There is no objection to the formation of an Islamic government, and it must be similar to what Turkey has... President Bu-Tafliqa agreed to these conditions, hoping to get Algeria out of the state of murder and chaos that was pummeling the country.....

The American ambassador continued:

Well, we all do our part to talk to all the parties involved to let them know our agreements.

Bu-Tafliqa asked:

And who are those parties?

And the ambassador replied:

France, Israel, and Saudi Arabia!

48 In 1970 Kissinger was still on the National Security Council. He asked those who worked with him: How can we maintain our dominance over the planet when we are running a deficit?

How do we recycle the surplus? Paul Volker wrote as a young man says:

"It is not important to recycle the local surplus, but to recycle the world's surplus."

After Nixon's shock, the young Volker suggested the following:

It is not important who recycles the surplus, but rather who recycles the surpluses of others.

That is why, following the Oil prices shock in 1973, Kissinger agreed with Saudi Arabia to sell its oil exclusively in dollars, in return for America providing it with security protection and establishing infrastructure projects for it, provided that the oil surpluses in America are monitored. This is reinforced by John Perkins in his book "Confessions of an Economic Hitman".

We were shocked by this. Bu-Tafliaq asked: What is the relationship of these countries with what is happening to us?

The ambassador replied, with a yellow smile on his face:

It is Saudi Arabia that finances the purchase of arms from Israel, and Israel sends them to France and France in turn and through some venal Algerian army officers who deal with them, deliver them to extremist Islamic groups...

To our astonishment, the ambassador continued:

We will inform France and Israel of our agreement, and you have to send someone from your side to speak to King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia, as it will be easier to inform him through you due to the difficulty of understanding with him.

Subsequently, Bu-Tafliaq asked me to travel to Saudi Arabia for this purpose.....

I arrived in Saudi Arabia after prior arrangements, said Benflis, and I met King Abdullah and explained to him the agreement that had been made with the American side and that they, the Americans, asked the rest of the parties to stop supporting the militants, and now Saudi Arabia must stop funding arms.

My conversation with the King of Saudi Arabia took several hours without him agreeing and insisting on his position. Then I called the American ambassador

and informed him about the Saudi king's commitment and his disagreement with this agreement.

The ambassador replied:

It's okay, wait a little bit; I will personally call the king... It didn't take a few minutes until the king called me while he patted his chest saying:

Preach, Preach.

Several days later, the support and funding for the terrorists stopped, and our armed forces were provided by the Americans with the coordinates of their locations and whereabouts, as our armed forces eliminated them within a short period.

Story ended. This is the story I heard directly from my friend and not about and about.

Do you know how our Arab countries are destroyed?

How are such matters dealt with?

This is far from what is happening now in Syria, Iraq, Yemen, and Libya”.

Yes, it is far from and similar to what is happening in Syria. It is different from what is happening in Syria in terms that it is not secret, it is a terrorist war, a war of PIR groups led by the West, Turkey Saudi Arabia, Qatar, UAE, and the ZAE on the open. At the mere beginning of the events in Syria, the US ambassador was visiting Syrian cities agitating against the regime! Yet, it is similar in terms of who is behind it, i.e. the same regimes of the West led by the USA and

include Saudi Arabia, France, and “Israel”, in addition to Turkey, UAE, Qatar, Bahrain, Morocco, and Jordan. This is Orientalist Terrorism.

Unfortunately, former president, Bu-Taflia who ruled from 1999-2019 learned the lesson of betrayal to his own country, but now by corruption!

The social protests against Bu-Taflia prevented him from a new candidacy and A. Taboon has been elected as president of Algeria.

President A. Taboon declared on 21-01-2023 that the authorities found an amount of \$ 36 billion in the home of one family, and restored \$ 20 billion of smuggled wealth to European banks. Those are parts of the people’s stolen money during the rule of ex-president Bu-Taflia from 1999-2019.⁴⁹

As I argued earlier, most Arab regimes did betray their nation. I am providing some other facts to support my argument.

“In an interview, the “Israeli” Historian Avi Shalim confirms that Jordan’s King Abdullah the First was part of a compromise with British imperialism and the leaders of the Zionist settlers in Palestine, where he

49 - AlmaghribAlarabi <https://almaghribalarabi.com/%d8%a3%d9%87%d9%85->

%d8%a7%d9%84%d8%a3%d8%ae%d8%a8%d8%a7%d8%b1/%d8%a7%d9%84%d8%b3%d9%84%d8%b7%d8%a7%d8%aa-

%d8%a7%d9%84%d8%ac%d8%b2%d8%a7%d8%a6%d8%b1%d9%8a%d8%a9-%d8%aa%d8%b9%d8%ab%d8%b1-

%d8%b9%d9%84%d9%89-%d9%85%d8%a8%d9%84%d8%ba-%d9%8a%d9%82%d8%af%d8%b1-%d8%a8/

agreed to the division of Palestine between the Zionists and his regime”.

The irony is that the same king sent part of his troops to fight the Zionist settlers when they evicted nearly one million Palestinians from their Homeland in 1948. Later, Abdullah al Tal the leader of the Jordanian battalion which defended East Jerusalem in the 1948 war against the Zionist settlers wrote in his memory that it was a conspiracy between the king and the Zionists.⁵⁰

The current King Abdullah of Jordan told the US enemy about the places of Iraqi weapons! And told the US that Iraq owns WMD and helped the US army in Iraq, since 2022, US forces in Jordan are in huge numbers.⁵¹

The former Qatari foreign minister, Hamad Bin Jasem, said that the Arab Gulf regimes paid \$ 2 trillion supported by USA and Turkey in the event called Arab Spring that started in 2011 to destroy Arab republican regimes.⁵²

One might believe that both aforementioned stories contain exaggeration, especially the speech of Dr.

50 <https://youtu.be/PzsZ5fojHh0>

51 From American Soldier by Tommy Frank

Alaa Alfazza <https://youtu.be/FOFY5oTgkw>

52 <https://www.facebook.com/abdelkadar.djelmoudi/videos/2395903370585171>.

Bin Jasem also said that the Qatari regime spent \$ 132 billion to terminate the Syrian regime, but when opening the video it tells: This video is not available in your country.

<https://youtu.be/7KSnEhOYR24>

Dashti, but the current role of the US embassy in Lebanon confirms what the stories are telling.⁵³

When the Lebanese Israeli agent Omar Fakhori returned to Lebanon through Beirut airport in 2021, the Lebanese security forces captured him, but because his lawyer pretend that he is sick the security forces put him in a hospital under their control. Later, a special US Helicopter took him from the hospital to the US embassy and from there to the US itself. This is despite the existence of a strong resistance party Hezbollah. However, the shame is that none of the Lebanese judges or security staff who have a relation with the issue did resign!

In Lebanon's May 2022 elections, the US and Saudi ambassadors interfered politically and financially in these elections and visited many places agitating against Hezbollah.

This form of foreign interference from the Western enemies, the US and France, and the obvious cooperation from the local regimes and forces emphasize that this form of relationship as terrorist orientalism is different from previous orientalisms in its local tools, content, and role. It is even perfectly camouflaged through huge media domination to the extent that is accepted by the popular masses!

53 While I am writing this part there was an ad that the US Ambassador in Jordan will lecture in a cultural center against media deviation!.

During the first days of January 2011 popular protests in Cairo called a “revolution”, the US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton entered between the masses in Maidan al-Tahrir as a supporter of the protestors! Hillary’s orientalist mentality and actions are expected, but the strange is that the masses did not kick her ass. This is a sign of the absence of revolutionary leadership of the masses.⁵⁴

Hillary Clinton was on the top of the US enemies against Arab republics. She stood for military aggression against Syria to support “Israel” during the Barack Obama administration.

To build the picture properly, we must note that Hillary represents the role of the Orientalist, but Sheikh Yousef Qaradawi represent the role of PIR as the head of Muslim scientists to complete the alliance between Orientalism and politicized religion in their project of spreading terror into Arab Homeland. Qaradawi, like Hillary, entered Maidan al-Tahreer in Cairo and prayed with the crowds as Imam. This interference was a message in support to the Muslim Brothers as a party of Politicized Islamic Religion who jumped on the movement and took power under the elected president Mohamad Murci who failed to satisfy neither the masses’ demands nor the US policy despite the fact that he declared his deep

⁵⁴ This simple Egyptian masses, reminds me of how Palestinian masses in Ramallah welcome Oslo Declaration of Principals 1993 put olive branches on the Israeli military cars.

friendship with the ZAE/Israel's president Shimon Peres in his well-known telegram.

Qaradawi supports what has been discussed before, the terrorist Islamists in Algiers, 1991-2000 what has been called the Black Tenth. His support uncover the alliance between PIR and imperialist orientalism of the US and France, other Arab-dependent regimes, and the ZAE where Terrorist Orientalism took place.

There are many videos of Qaradawi praising Syrian President Bashar al-Assad before the 2011 terrorist war against Syria, while he himself after the war started attacking the same Syrian regime and pegging the US to occupy Syria even if the war of aggression cost one-third of the Syrian population.⁵⁵

As for the collaboration of organizations of politicized religion with imperialism in destroying Arab republics, for instance, the Tunisian al-Nahda Brotherhood political party is a striking example, especially through recruiting terrorists of both sexes and sending them to fight in Syria against the state under the pretense that their terror is oriented against the regime. Women were recruited under the call for Jihad al-Nikah.⁵⁶

55 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OnuiRBjN4BA&fbclid=IwAR0DzsuV0hS3RSy7GfpGzVg0nt0eW1QkaaS7Hx1tTyDEFqzZAO-f2s8O1E>.

https://l.facebook.com/l.php?u=https%3A%2F%2Fyoutu.be%2F0y_uJ7ugSoU%3Ffbclid%3DIwAR3K4XCwZOWVy1YBFMmbAMCCvqtLhFT1rgUZecaRFYBr6N8icMCf6fRnssw&h=AT3cZJ9gHIPvK_RDUaSG9Tb-1bUJLYTa_f4fYkw4ZS9htgA6lHYQIN4p1J7ahBSgqWXbGlm_VvbfNngDPOLS-ZMQrOY8Zr5qbrfGurTHUWlzoLRCJI3AUcdexnquoi5GVunbGkF4qzONvG5C

Following the decrease of war against Syria, Terrorist Orientalism made a priority for economic war against Syria designed and imposed by the criminal USA state department and applied by the clientele of Arab regimes. Accordingly, a lot of young terrorists from many countries including Tunisia decided to return to their countries carrying with them several diseases and the human problem of women who bore children or were pregnant through Jihad al-Nikah. It was difficult to attribute the children to whom because many male terrorists practiced sex with the same female terrorist on the same day!⁵⁷

According to (website: <http://bit.ly/promoalghad>) an investigation into the networks of transporting Tunisian youth to hotbeds of tension has started. Will those involved be held accountable? This started on August 11, 2021, and depends on the decision of President Kais Saied to overthrow al-Nahda rule on 25 July 2021 who was behind this form of war against Syria.

According to observers, President Kais Saied is preparing to start holding accountable all the parties that harmed the interests of Tunisians with unprecedented popular support, which makes him compelled to open all files, including deportation to the hotbeds of fighting.

⁵⁷ Jihad al-Nikah is a declaration by Muslim Shaikhs who support terrorists' war against Syria that Muslim women must volunteer to devote their bodies to the terrorists as participation in Jihad.

Completion of the necessary investigations into it, especially in light of the weak influence of the al-Nahda movement is in the noted video below, which contains more details said by the guests from Tunisia.⁵⁸

The video is an interview with experts on political issues in al-Maghreb al-Arabi, especially in Tunisia. It explains that there was an agreement between the al-Nahda party and former president Beji Caid Essebsi to send fighters to fight in Syria. Those who agreed to go to Syria were paid \$3 to 4 thousand each, in addition to their monthly salary, most of them were from poor families.⁵⁹

Alliance of Terrorist Orientalism, But, Who Uses the Other?

⁵⁸ https://youtu.be/H_UMiUSVYj0

⁵⁹ The money paid to the transferred terrorists to Syria was paid from Turkey and the ex-Tunisian president al-Marzoky was encouraging this process. https://youtu.be/H_UMiUSVYj0

(see below related details on Tunisian terrorists against Syria in the video of Richard Black and in details in Appendix D)

As the West is the direct founder and protector of most of the Arab regimes since the beginning of the 20th century, which declared by the end of that century that the social basis of the Arab regimes must enlarge. Following the Oslo Accords, in the conference for Middle East and North Africa in Casablanca 1995 the US delegate declared the need of enlarging the social basis of Arab regimes. It is enough to realize that Arab rulers are mere puppets where the US has always the "right" to decide their shape and/or reshape.

It seems that what was declared on the enlargement of the ruling regimes in the Arab Homeland meant by the West was to add liberals and politicians to the ruling regimes especially those ruled by armies. But, the secret agenda was to support PIR parties to dominate and rule in the Arab Homeland.

The first application of the expansion of the social base of the Arab ruling elite was the civil/internal/social war in Algeria, where the PIR was about to defeat the regime, as noted above.

However, because Algeria's regime defeated the terrorists by the end of the century, it seems that the West delayed the application of its new plan to be renewed on a large scale and on the open in parallel with the "Arab Spring" where the Terrorist Orientalism alliance launched wars against Arab republics.

Exploiting the massive uprising in Egypt against the military rule of Hosni Mubarak, the US decided not to keep Mubarak and concentrate its support on the Muslim fundamentalists especially the Muslim Brotherhoods in Egypt, Syria, Libya, and Tunisia.

But the failure of Muhammad Mursi's presidency in Egypt led the US to return to the army by supporting Abdulfattah al-Sisi in his half coup de-tats. While in other Arab countries, the US maintains its support of dependent regimes of the Gulf.

When Tunisian president Kais Saied dissolved the cabinet and parliament in Tunisia on 24 July 2021, the US did not show rejection for that despite the cries of the West's puppet ex-president al-Munsef al-Marzouki.

The core of our argument here is that the West, especially the US is the leader in Terrorist Orientalism using the other "allies" according to its needs and plans, a position showing that it is not an alliance but a master and a tool.

Colonial Richard Black might support our argument, he said:

"One of the things that we did as we allied ourselves with Al Qaida, and on and off with ISIS; I mean, we fought ISIS in a very serious way, but at the same time, we often employed them to use against the Syrian government. So, it's kind of a love-hate. But

we have always worked with terrorists. They were the core.” (See Appendix I)

All of the terrorist organizations especially the terrorists of PIR either organizations or regimes are designed and led by the West, especially the USA. The difference between all of them and ISIS lies in two points:

First: ISIS was planning to have a state, i.e., to not be, like al-Qaida, a mobile one moved by the masters from one place to another.

Second: Because ISIS was looking to establish a state, it must finance itself.

But the master wants ISIS like the others, that is why the US army was striking ISIS to maintain it into the designed plan by Washington and this is what happened.

Even today, the US is using ISIS against the Syrian regime, and at the same time assassinating any ISIS leader who tries to practice independently from the plans of the US army in Syria and Iraq.

In fact, ISIS and other terrorist groups have no other choice but to accept the US form of the alliance because they have no place to go. They are even ready to be mobilized from Syria to Ukraine or countries in Africa. In the end, all terrorists fall to their knees applying US commands.

Chapter Three

Terrorist Orientalism in a State Form Using Marxism, Christianity, and Islam to Dismantle the Arab Homeland

Edward Said's distinguished work *Orientalism* (1978), was followed by a debate in the academic and cultural circles in the capitalist West even more than its influence in the political sphere. This is because the political instance has its own deliberate colonial goal, i.e. the old and continuous targeting of the Arab Homeland. The book was published during an era when the culture became largely dominant in Western academia and politics were oriented and designed to camouflage the neo-colonial role in the Orient, benefiting from the strive of cultural Marxists (Lukacs, Kursh, Gramsci...) leftist i.e. Frankfurt school, liberal and later feminist writers who were trying to avoid and negate the historical materialist approach.

In such an environment, culture became a Trojan horse to invade the East for the sake of colonial interests during the so-called post-colonial era.

The main issue that *Orientalism* focuses on was and still is that the West invasion of the Orient is motivated by two goals:

- To understand all aspects of the history and life of the Orient, for sure each form of Orientalism has its own goal.
- According to Said, Orientalism absorbs the Orient and re-creates, and reproduces an image of the Orient as it wants the East to be. This is the main affair of the formal, colonial, and cultural Orientalists.

At this level, several dangers threaten the Orient taking into consideration that the West's re-creation of an image for the Orient is aimed at designing a plan and strategic vision to dominate and rule it. The colonial essence of Orientalism is crystallized in military, economic, and cultural colonialism which never stopped and will not stop voluntarily. Those forms of colonialism are interdependent and even exchange their roles, i.e. while military colonialism was the first, it paved the way for other economic and cultural forms.

The so-called post-colonial era witnessed neo-colonialism which inherited the old direction form of colonialism and took the form of dependency in political, economic, and cultural instances. The so-called post-colonial era confirms what Said argued

that the West re-creates the Orient according to its own image.⁶⁰ This was and still is represented through the two weak components of Orient societies that collude with the colonialists:

- The political elite/crust or comprador capitalism.
- The intellectual elite/crust or the Sixth Intellectual Brigade (SIB).

Both of them are externalized, **Qutri**⁶¹, and to a large extent sectarian. A fact that puts them in contradiction with the ambitions of the nation for real independence, development, and freedom. The most harmed by those two elites are the popular classes which sacrifice for independence. Those classes did not get their share in rule and wealth in the so-called post-colonial era.

As long as economic and political dependency exists they exchange roles. On many occasions, the cultural instance plays the role of “marketing” the economic one through the expansion of cultural invasion to the minds of people especially promoting consumerism. This paved the way for a deeper unequal exchange since the Orient is backward at least at the level of industrial production and later in agriculture as well on the

⁶⁰ Said here indirectly, but for different goal, benefitted from the Communist Manifesto which argues that the developed capitalist countries will oblige other nations to follow them.

⁶¹ Qutri is a political trend represents a class and political groups who are anti-Arab unity.

one hand, and is deeply affected by consumerism on the other.

Unequal exchange in the Arab Homeland was based and cemented in the last decades by an alliance between:

- The capitalist class in the core capitalist countries as the center of financial, administration, and decision-making of the world economy; and
- The comprador capitalist class in the periphery.

This alliance comprises the components of the Globalized Capitalist Public Sector GCPS,⁶² following the disintegration of the socialist camp where the world market became a monopoly for this alliance but in a trickle-down manner.

⁶² Adel Samara, *Epidemic of Globalization: Ventures in World Order, Arab Nation and Zionism*, Chapter One, Palestine Research and Publishing Foundation, Glendale, CA. USA, 2001.

Marx and Orientalism

Many arguments and critiques have been devoted to placing Marx with or anti-Orientalism. Some apologies, some criticize and others defend. While each group has its logic and ammunition, the worst is the Zionist opportunists who used both Marx and Orientalism as ammunition to justify and support the first Terrorist Orientalist State Project at the heart of the Arab Homeland which is the Zionist Ashkenazi (ZAE). The vivid examples of those opportunists are the Zionists Ber Borochof, Shlomo Avineri, and Noam Chomsky.

But, before dealing with their arguments, it is important to note that we must differentiate between three levels of the Marxism of Marx himself which are:

- Marxism as a scientific and revolutionary philosophy of historical materialism aiming to uproot capitalism and change the world. And,
- Marx's analysis is close to the theory but might lead to wrong results especially as long as the

available information is little about this or that subject, i.e. the Asiatic Mode of Production. And,

- Deduction/prophecy might deviate more from theory than in the case of analysis.

It is worth mentioning that Orientalism is a formal Western state capitalist project more than a “neutral” academic and cultural one, it came different and even negation to Marx’s analysis and expectations which are based on his enthusiastic argument that advanced nations will drag and oblige the backward ones according to their own style of development dominated by the capitalist mode of production. (*The Communist Manifesto*).

Marx and Engels were motivated by the revolutionary thrust for capitalization of the world in general as the shortest route to transcend capitalism itself and embarking towards socialism. Their optimism led them to believe that the industrial bourgeois revolution will breed revolutionary change in the form of capitalizing the rest of the world despite the pain caused by the capitalization process, i.e. transformation deserves the sacrifice. Their revolutionary romanticism led them to a relative fall into Hegelian racist position against undeveloped, i.e. unindustrialized nations whom he defined as nations

without history.⁶³ This Hegelian influence trapped Marx and Engels into two problems:

- An optimistic expectation of the historical and human role of developed capitalist nations (Europeans), and,
- Eurocentric position towards other nations.

One of the factors that encouraged Marx and Engels to fall to that wrong conclusion is the experience of White Settler Capitalist Colonial countries which were artificially created by and followed capitalist Europe.

What Marx and Engels ignored, or did not know, is that those new capitalist formations dominated by the capitalist mode of production were planted by Europeans after the termination and even genocide extermination of the aboriginal people. The white settlers brought with them the European level of industrial capitalist development, in addition to the fact that the core capitalist countries were plundering non-European colonies and investing in the White Settler Capitalist Colonies, i.e. from India to North America and from taxing the Palestinian peasantry economy for the Zionist settler's industrialized economy during the British occupation of Palestine 1917-1945.

⁶³ See the harsh polemic attack by Engels against Gales in Scotland, Bretons in France, Basques in Spain, ...etc in K. Marx and F. Engels, Collected Works, Vol . 8, p. 234. Quated in Ronald Monck, The Difficult Dialogue, Marxism and Nationalism, Zed Books, 1986, p. 12.

The sole version of white settler colonialism after Marx's time was ZAE which is the first version of Orientalist Terrorism (OT) in the form of a deliberate plan to inject a foreign terrorist state, an enemy one, into the heart of the Arab Homeland.⁶⁴ This will be discussed later.

Other than White Settler Capitalist Colonies WSCC, the role of the capitalist West towards poor and colonized nations was plundering wealth, blocking development and alliance with the most reactionary groups or classes in those countries enabling them to rule by repression. The most obvious example is the Arab Oil renter rulers.

Engels called the revolution of Abd-el-Kader in Algiers against French colonialism a struggle of the desperate case of barbarian society and he praised the French invasion as "an important and happy fact in the progress of civilization".⁶⁵

"Upon the whole, it is, in our opinion, very fortunate that the Arabian chief [Abd-el-Kader] has been taken. The struggle of the Beduins was a hopeless one, and though how brutal soldiers, like Bugeaud, have carried on the war is highly blamable, the conquest of Algiers is an important and fortunate fact for the progress of civilization. .. And the conquest of Algiers has already forced the Beys of Tunis and Tripoli, and

⁶⁴ In Palestine, the British colonialism was taxing Palestinian peasants heavily to strengthen the infrastructure of Jewish settlements.

⁶⁵ Feuer Lewis, Sm (ed), Marx and Engels: Basic Writings on Politics and Philosophy (London, Fontana Books, 1971 p. 489), Quoted in Ternier, Brian, Marx and the End of Orientalism, Arabic, Arabic Research association, Beirut, 1981, p. 12.

even the Emperor of Morocco, to enter the road of civilization.”⁶⁶ Engels’ discourse here is reformist, diplomatic, and wishful thinking more than revolutionary or even scientific.

Marx and Engels passed away many decades before Algeria defeated the French settler colonialism which exploited, plundered, and blocked the development of that country. France, in the sixties of the 20th century, decided to “donate” Tunisia and Morocco their independence because it was worried that the Algerian revolution will radicalize the other two *Maghrib* Arabi countries which might create a revolutionary bloc there. The Tangier Conference /Protocol (April 1958) was a copy of or a second Sykes-Picot plan for the ***Maghrib*** which divided the Arab ***Mashriq***.

Showing his pleasure in the white settler United States’ occupation of Mexican land; Engels describes the Mexicans as “Cow thieves”.

Engels and to a large extent Marx were ridden by the desire of capitalizing on the whole world at any price. The enthusiastic and poetic language of *The Communist Manifesto* confirms my point. “The bourgeoisie, by the rapid improvement of all instruments of production, by the immensely facilitated means of communications, draws all nations, even the most barbarians, into civilization.

66 Marx & Engels, *Basic Workings on Politics and Philosophy*, ed by Lewis S. Feuer, Anchor Books, 1989, p.p. 450-51.

The cheap prices of its commodities are the heavy artillery with which it batters down all Chinese walls, with which it forces the barbarians' intensely obtainable hatred of foreigners to capitulate. It compels all nations, on pain of extinction, to adopt the bourgeois mode of production; it compels them to introduce what it calls civilization into their modesty, i.e., to become bourgeois themselves. In a word, it creates a world after its own image.”⁶⁷

Strangely, *The Communist Manifesto* considers other nations as barbarians! The Manifesto of Marx and Engels derides other nations even though Marx and Engels themselves criticized the brutality of colonialism. This shows that they were under the tension of praising capitalism's progress and criticizing its brutality at the same time.

“England, it is true, in causing a social revolution in Hindustan, was actuated only by the vilest interests, and was stupid in her manner of enforcing them. But that is not the question. The question is: Can mankind fulfill its destiny without a fundamental revolution in the social state of Asia? If not, whatever may have been the crimes of England, she was the unconscious tool of history in bringing about that revolution.

Then, whatever bitterness the spectacle of the crumbling of an ancient world may have for our

67. Marx and Engels, *The Communist Manifesto*, ed. By Samuel H. Beer, Appleton-Country-Crofts, Inc, New York, 1955, p. 14.

personal feelings, we have the right, in point of history, to exclaim with Goethe:

*[“Since they thus have swelled our joy,
Should such torments grieve us, then?
Doth not Timur’s rule destroy Myriad souls of living
men?”*⁶⁸

In fact, Marx was right in saying that his journalistic writings on the Asiatic Mode of Production (AMP) causes him a headache, “These journalistic garbage disturbing me, but the pure scientific works totally different”⁶⁹. Unfortunately, this never wiped his and Engel’s failure to avoid the previous mistakes.

Despite Marx’s definition of his journalistic writings as garbage, he and Engels failed to comprehend why colonized nations hated foreigners. It is because foreigners here are the colonial powers.⁷⁰

According to Turner, Althusser simplified and rejected Marx’s journalist writings on colonialism as transitional analysis which was replaced by scientific analysis of the mature Marx.⁷¹ This never wiped the falls and mistakes on the one hand, but at the same time proves that Marx and Engels are human beings,

⁶⁸ Marx & Engels, *Basic Workings on Politics and Philosophy*, Ed by Lewis S. Feuer, Anchor Books, 1989, p. p. 480-481.

⁶⁹ Turner, 1981, *opcit*, p. 43, quoted in McLellan, David, *Karl Marx: His Life and Thought*, London, Macmillan 1973, p. 284.

⁷⁰ One can’t by any means compare George W Bush by Marx and Engels, but Bush in justifying US’s brutal war against Iraq in 2003, said the other nations hate us because of our mode of life.

⁷¹ Turner , 1981, *opcit*, P.13.

albeit they were genius and revolutionaries. They might fall into the wrong positions and reach the wrong conclusions.

Marxism was never limited to Marx himself. Marxism is all the theoretical and revolutionary materialist theorization, struggle, and achievements which follow Marx/Engels's works until today and the future. Bolshevist revolution and Lenin's understanding and support of colonized nations' struggle for freedom and independence and recently the theorists of the World System School (Frank, Amin, Wallerstein, and Arrighi) especially the theorization of center/periphery contradictions did in fact develop Marxist analysis and cured it of disease of Orientalism and Euro-centrism. It is a great failure and shame that Althusser himself stands for French colonialism against Algiers. And it is an irony as well, that Turner did not criticize this Althusser's collusion.

Western Terrorism: Kidnapping Christianity and Swallows Islam

In his book, *Black Athens*, Bernal challenges the Western false pretense that the Greek civilization is a Western one. His work is a challenge to the whole edifice on which Western modernization is built on⁷².

Samir Amin (1931 – 2018) wrote as well that Europe kidnapped Christianity and reproduced it as if it was originally European. The goal is to show that Europe is the mother of every human civilization and that the rest of the world must subject and follow. This approach is the best and least expensive way of making each European a racist, proud of her/his race and color, which made her/him ready to plunder, and kill others for any reason, i.e. millions in the Philippines, Algeria, Vietnam, Iraq, Libya etc., “dragging” those peoples for democracy marketed by the white man who as a prophet sent by a “Civil Society” to civilize and democratize them!⁷³

⁷² *Black Athena: The Afroasiatic Roots of Classical Civilization*, its three volumes first published in 1987, 1991, and 2006 respectively, is a controversial book by [Martin Bernal](#) proposing an alternative hypothesis on the origins of [ancient Greece](#) and classical civilization. Bernal's thesis discusses the perception of ancient Greece in relation to Greece's African and Asiatic neighbors, especially the [ancient Egyptians](#) and [Phoenicians](#) who, he believes, [colonized ancient Greece](#). From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.

⁷³ See Masad Arbid, in Arabic (America al-Ukhra fi oioun Mughtarb Arabi) The Other America, Dar Fada'at, Amman, 2013.

But what is interesting for us here is deeper. It is the changing of Christianity from a religion of peace to a capitalistic religion that justifies and even encourages bloody colonialism. It became a:

- Western capitalist religion
- A tool for colonialism.

In the white settler colonial countries WSCC, the white settlers not only slaughtered the original nations but fought each other fiercely. The Boer War in South Africa and the British/French/ American wars in North America are well known. By the name of Christianity, the white settlers in South Africa and the United States pretended that those countries are promised land⁷⁴, granted to them by God, and according to God's promise they slaughtered the "barbaric" native peoples. The westernization and capitalization of Christianity breeds the worst and most dangerous politicized religious sect Judeo-Christianity and at the political level the neo-conservatives.⁷⁵

Kidnapping Christianity and the production of its new versions were the bridge to attack Islam, swallow it, and reproduce it in a terrorist Islamic version.

⁷⁴ This is a coping of the Jewish myth that God promised them to occupy Palestine.

⁷⁵ This video is a good explanation of the development and content of Christian Zionism in terms of its role in supporting the ZAE in all levels and by any means.

<https://youtu.be/FPGIgz1zC-4>

see also Adel Samara, al-Muhafiziyah al-Jadidah, Zilal yahu/sihiyo/troteskiyah, al-Mashriq/al-A'amil Center for Cultural and development Studies, Ramallah 2014.

The terrorist version of Islam came in parallel with Orientalism and colonialism. Its beginning was a joint work between British colonialism and Bin Abdul Wahhab in Hejaz.⁷⁶ It is important to note that the mother of Evangelism is Britain.

Wahhabi rejection of any Muslim sect which did not share their ideology is contradictory to the long history and tradition of Islam even towards non-Muslims. The bloody history of Wahhabism is, in fact, borrowed from British colonialism. This relationship and even alliance is the base and the beginning of Terrorist Orientalism TO. It is more than cultural Orientalism which is marketing Western culture and lifestyle including consumerism. It is the creation of an internal force, a local one, with no mercy towards anybody, supported and commanded by colonial powers and devoted to fighting Arab nationalism under the banner of Islam.

Any careful reading of the history of the Saudi regime reveals that it is a regime that is in continuous alliance/collaboration with colonialism to terminate Arab nationalism.

What follows are some clear facts (it is analyzed widely before):

- In 1967, Saudi King Faisal encouraged US imperialism to terminate Naser's regime in Egypt;

76 Saudi Dr. Abdullah Mohammad Sindi, Britain, The Rise of Wahhabism and the House. www.Kanaanonline.org.

- The Saudi regime financed al-Qaida since its foundation;⁷⁷
- The Saudi regime was and still is interested in getting rid of its two main surpluses by exporting them as terror against the Arab nation, especially against Arab secular regimes and even against countries in a terrorist project sponsored by the “civilized” West. These two surpluses are:
 - The oil rent surplus, i.e. people's wealth!, and
 - The educated Wahhabi terrorist surplus, i.e. people's labor power!

The point is that imperialists swallow and occupy Islam even kidnap it from its origin as an Arab religion to humanity. This form of occupation transcends and is different from the kidnapping of Christianity. It is not an adoption of Islam. It is a process of turning it from religion to ideology and educating Muslims inside Islamic countries to hate others and to enjoy bloodshedding. They created an Islam that is full of hatred and terror and that is oriented against any other Islamic sect. It is an Islam manufactured in intelligence, academic, and Orientalist Western circles and then reoriented against Arab and Islamic

⁷⁷ Robert Lacy, *The Kingdom from Inside*, translated to Arabic by Khalid bin Abdulrahman al-A'wad, al-Masar Center for Research, Dubai, 2011

countries to practice terror on behalf and for the interest of foreign enemies. This process of re-orientation is the new version of Orientalism, Terrorist Orientalism (TO).

While Wahhabism was designed by British intelligence, al-Qaida, as noted before, was initiated by French intelligence director Alexander de Mernche, welcomed by the US Department, and financed by the Saudi regime. The same regime which had, for decades, educated, financed, and graduated local Saudi youths as Wahhabism and recruits, educates, and finances many Muslim youths in a large army of fanatics from several countries to invade the Arab Homeland in a wave that is similar to those of the Frankish/Crusade wars and even with the similar slogan. For example, the Crusaders pretended that their invasion was to protect Jesus tomb, and al-Qaida, ISIS, and their articulations pretend that they are fighting to rescue Islam. Terror is a project which is designed to get rid of the youth labor force, instead of employing them for production and development.

The main difference between Terrorist Orientalism and the traditional ones is that most of the forces of the new TO are from the Orient itself, and their goal is to destroy their own societies, i.e. not even to colonize.

Cultural Orientalism targeted local cultures and discourses of other nations to lure and encourage them to consume Western commodities on the one hand, and to feed the feeling of inferiority on the other. All of this serves the main goal which is to enable the capitalist center to easily plunder wealth by several means.

Western colonialism, whether it is Orientalism or not, recruits local reactionary and comprador classes and local Intellectual of Sixth Brigade (ISB) and has reached the edge of pushing Arabs out of history as Fawzi Mansour wrote⁷⁸. But TO is embarking on a project aiming at the general genocide of the Arab nation. The mastermind that is operating them is located in the West and its local forces are:

- Regimes of Politicized Islamic Religion (RPIR); and
- Forces of Politicized Islamic Religion (FPIR).

Accordingly, ISIS is not the only terrorist group, all regimes and forces of politicized Islam that ally themselves with imperialism and Zionism are the same, the difference between al-Qaida, al-Nusra, and ISIS is only in the degree.

In its best case, the TO is leading the Arab Homeland to be more divided into tiny, corrupt, dependent, and sectarian entities fighting each

78

The Arab world : nation, state, and democracy by Mansour, Fawzy Publication date 1992

other. This form of the regime is the best for the ZAE which will be the strongest in military and economy and might be the largest in both, population and geography in the Arab Homeland.

The irony is that TO is supported by large sectors of forces which are traditionally against Orientalism in general, i.e. those forces are from nationalists, Naserists, Marxists, Trotskyites, and Islamists from Arab and other countries. Otherwise, how come a list of intellectuals from several countries wrote and signed declarations in support of the so-called revolution in Syria?⁷⁹

I will refer only to the late Marxist Sadeq al-Azm, the Syrian intellectual who wrote extensively since the 1960s a critique of religious thought. He was protected by the same Syrian secular regime which he stands against in favor of Counter-Revolution CR and OT! The same al-Azm who criticized the late Edward Said's compromise with US imperialism⁸⁰ later stands in the same CR front led by the US against Syria since 2011.

In fact, al-Azm's deterioration started much earlier and before the CR war against Syria. While wild globalism was at its peak in controlling the world, especially the 13 years of criminal siege and

⁷⁹ Solidarity with Syria, April 29, 2013, <http://pulsemedia.org/2013/04/29/solidarity-with-syria/>

⁸⁰ In his book, *Orientalism*, Said wrote, "The Arab world today is an intellectual, political, and cultural satellite of the United States. This is not in itself something to be lamented; the specific form of the satellite relationship, however, is". Azm concentrates on this paragraph rightly criticizing Said in his article *Orientalism and Orientalism in reserve*, See *Khamsin*, no 8, 1981. Ithaka press, p.p. 5-26.

sanctions against Iraq (1990-2003) and a few years before occupying Baghdad. Al-Azm early on praised Globalism.⁸¹ It is important to note that many Arab intellectuals deteriorated after the collapse of the Soviet Union to play the role of organic intellectuals of the CR.

⁸¹ Mufid Helmi, Global Challenges and the Necessities of Arab Economic Integration, in A-Nahj, Winter of 1999, p. 120, Quoted in Ma Al-Awalamah (What is Globalization), by Sadik Jalal Al-Azm and Hasan Hanafi ., (Arabic) Damascus 1999 p.p. 200-201.

Terrorist Orientalism Statist Verison First: The Zionist Ashkenazi Entity, Subjugating Marx's Orientalism for Terrorist Orientalism

Traditional Orientalism took several forms; cultural, political, economic, and intellectual. All those aspects were imposed from outside and that is why they were mobile.

The new Orientalism is similar but is also different from the traditional one. It is similar to traditional Orientalism in terms of its colonial and aggressive content designed and controlled by a foreign state. But it is different in several aspects especially in terms of its goal to become a state. This form of Orientalism breeds two forms of terrorist states:

- The Zionist Ashkenazi Entity, and
- Seventy years later, the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS).

These two forms of state are similar in terms of being motivated by a special understanding of religion. Their human ammunition must be from one religion, one from Jews and the other from Muslims. The

difference between the two is in the source of human ammunition.

For the ZAE, human ammunition is collected and recruited from all over the world from several nations nearly like the number of the United Nations. They are purely settlers supported by imperialism recruited mainly from the Jewish middle classes and led by capitalist bureaucrats and intellectual elite.

For al-Qaida, al-Nusra, and ISIS, the human ammunition collected from nearly a hundred Arab and foreign Islamic and Western societies, recruited from poorer social sectors, peasants, workers, lumpen-proletariat, vagabonds, criminals, prisoners etc, led in the field by terror experts or dissident local officers some from the ex-Baa'thist ruling party in Iraq, while their high-rank leadership is the imperialist intelligence circles. As noted earlier, technically, they are manufactured in the West, but humanly, they are local, and financially they are supported by local renter regimes which were themselves created and supported by colonialism and now by imperialism. That is why those regimes are to a large extent the same as those pure terrorist organizations.

As both forms of TO states are manufactured in the West and mainly target Arab Homeland, their main concentration is directed towards Palestine, Iraq, Libya, and Greater Syria. That is why the ZAE was

injected into Palestine and ISIS in Iraq and Syria. Both states complement each other, they do not clash against each other, but rather they cooperate.

This argument will not indulge itself in discussing the well-known and long historical terrorism of the settler ZAE which was, in the first place, injected by mere force into the homeland of another people, the Palestinian people.

As the subject of the chapter, but even the book, is TO, I will limit myself to few examples of how Zionist sociologists Ber Borochof, Shlomo Avineri, and Noam Chomsky distort facts and theorize for terrorism in a Marxist/humanist/Orientalist manner.

Their argument is a typical colonial distortion of facts, scorn human minds, dreaming to monopolize information forever, and entrenched behind the great theory, i.e. Marxism.

Borochof (1881-1917) argued from a Zionist “proletariat” perspective. It is really an intrusion to the proletariat to submerge it with Zionism. It is a very strange repellent. The proletariat from a Marxist perspective is a revolutionary socialist class. There is nothing in common between this class and the settler colonial movement, Zionism. Settler colonialism is a terror in itself. When it is oriented by the capitalist West toward the Orient, it became the TO project. So,

what is in common between those settlers and the proletariat? Those forms of settlers are an army on a terrorist mission even if they will work as workers or peasants inside the occupied Palestine. They committed massacres in Palestine, evicted its people, and after that did some industrial/agricultural work in land of others. Part of them are wage earners exploited as workers⁸², but they themselves and their exploiters are stealing others' land and lives. This is a criminal proletarianization.

Borochov (1937)⁸³ argued that “each nation stows a social system in a pyramid form: a large base of peasants and proletariat on the ground and factions of medical doctors, lawyers, intellectuals and clerks in the top. But Judaism is unique in that its triangle is deformed (theory of upside down triangle) where a narrow base of peasants and proletariat support a large top of professionals”. Borochov argues that: “Judaism will never be socialist unless it is proletarian (from the proletariat). The peculiar description of the Jewish working class is its small size and its participation in commerce and distribution, not in productive industry. This peculiarity has resulted from

82 This embellish never ended with people like Borochov. When I finished my Master's dissertation in 1986, I gave a copy to the leftist Zed Books publishing house for publishing. After a month they sent me a reply that it is publishable, but they have one condition which is to turn Chapter 5 upside down, i.e. to show that the Israeli and Palestinian proletariat are struggling jointly! Which is not real at all, I refused. I kept the reply, but when I felt my life is in danger because I stand against those who assassinated Naji el-Ali, I decided to stop my study and return to the occupied Palestine. I gave my documents to Irish comrade Topy Shelly, and later he sent them with the Israeli advocate Lia Tsemel, a lot of the papers were lost including Zed's letter.

83 Borochov Ber, *Nationalism, and the Class Struggle: a Marxian Approach to the Jewish Problem* (New York, 1937).

the peculiar historical role of Judaism as a nation with no country, homeland".⁸⁴

While confirming the several peculiarities of Jews, Borochov made a u-turn against all that he confirmed and justified the Jewish stealing of Palestine just to make a state for the so-called Jewish proletariat which never existed as a class in a Jewish nation or land. What is the wisdom behind all these embellished discourses other than racism, orientalism, and deformed Marxism produced by a sick and terrorist mind and psyche?

Again Borochov pretends that Jews of the world constitute a single nation and defines a nation as: "a social group that has developed on the basis of common conditions of production and... which is, moreover, united by a consciousness of affinity based on a common historical past". After that, he states that the most general conditions of production ...is the national territory" and hence the national territory is "the positive foundation" on which a nation is able to develop a national life of its own. But, "the Jews lack this positive foundation" because they are extraterritorial and constitute an anomalous nation. Their national existence, therefore, is determined by this purely negative factor, the absence of a national territory".⁸⁵

⁸⁴ Turner, 1981, *ibid.*, p. 11.

⁸⁵ *The Other Israel, The radical Case Against Zionism*, ed by, Arie Bober, Anchor Books, 1972, p. 145.

His argument is strange enough, if the “nation is a social group developed on the basis of common conditions of production”, i.e. the domination of a mode of production, and in the age of modern nationalism it is the capitalist mode of production, and if the national territory is a positive foundation for any nation, how come Borochov had changed /crooked these logical and historical facts to describe Jews as a nation since they are the opposite! i.e. how come the lack of all factors of a nation became suitable enough to make the Jews a nation?

But, even more, where is the Jewish nation in history? What is peculiar is Borochov's way of thinking. There is a Jewish religion. And as long as the Jewish workers are scattered all over the world within the working classes of the nations to whom the Jewish workers belong, then they are not a national class. They are parts of each class in each country they live in. The same is for Jewish peasants, lawyers, etc. Accordingly, why they shouldn't be part of the struggle of the proletariat in the country to which they belong and live in?

Borochov continues:

“ ... This means that the proletarianization of the Jewish nation needs a geographic solution”. The question is, from a theoretical point of view, is there a possibility for the proletarianization of a “nation” all

classes of a nation? This, in addition to the fact that is it a historical necessity the proletarianizing Jews by any means and price and at the cost of other nations? Is the fate of world socialism hangs on the role of Jews who are not a nation, but not on all world nations? Is it necessary to make the Jews a proletariat class, that imperialism must conquer another nation and steal their homeland? Is this way of thinking other than racist?

Borochov is not shy of his racism when he wrote: "The geographic dimension of Jewish socialism wasn't a colonial case because those whom he calls the "original population of Palestine" lacks culture and national identity. Based on that, Borochov became able to use this argument to deduce Zionism not from the interests of all Jews but from the concrete needs of the Jewish working class and for the protection of Zionism from being accused of colonial domination over Palestine".⁸⁶

The "Marxist/socialist" Borochov declares his racism saying that those whom he calls the "original population of Palestine" lacks culture and national identity! This pretense was either because he was ignorant or he lied and adopt the colonial propaganda against Palestinians and most of the people in the periphery.

⁸⁶ Turner, 1981, *ibid.*, p. 11.

But, for the sake of discussion, let's accept the racist propaganda that Jews are genius and that the fate of the world socialist revolution is conditioned by the creation of a state for the Jewish proletariat. Is the ZAE an obvious challenge? The fact and tragedy are that the Jews, either proletariat or bourgeois or both were never able to occupy Palestine without the support of imperialism and that is why the ZAE is a state of agents for imperialism, and in comparison to Arabs, it is only the ruling regimes who are the agents for imperialism. What is shameful is that the three main political, and ideological currents in the world, communism, international socialism, and liberal capitalism, never reached a consensus except in their criminal support of the case of the ZAE creation.

I wonder, why Turner (1981) failed to call Borochov's analysis a racist colonial one, considering that it has no relation to any form of socialism. The polite position of Turner also blocked him from naming Hegel's position towards what he calls "Nations without history" a racist position and Borochov refuge to cover himself by the racist position of the big, but racist, thinker!

I will not argue here in support of the fact that the Palestinians were a part of the Arab nation which has a deep history, identity, and culture. It is well known now. Many studies prove that Palestine - when

Borochov from the Zionist Jewish left, and Herzl from the Zionist Jewish right wrote their lies that Palestine was nearly empty and desert, to gain the support of imperialism as much as possible and to lure Jews to settle in Palestine – had rich agricultural products and manufacturing a lot of commodities. Palestine had a trade exchange with Europe and Egypt.⁸⁷

Anyways, the result of manufacturing a “socialist Israel” in Palestine is a crime and a lie by many socialists. The crime and racism of the Western socialists were never less than that of the Western bourgeois.

In fact, the non-socialist Herzl was much more open, frank, and obvious in his racism than the “socialists” Borochov and others when he put it bluntly: “The Jewish state will be a barrier between Eastern Barbarism and civilized Europe”.

From our understanding of this state, it is not only a watchdog for imperialists’ interests in the Arab Homeland, it is a state of agents from top to bottom.⁸⁸

It is commanded by imperialism to play a certain role against any form of progress in the region. This is a dangerous terrorist role.

Althusser wasn’t better than Turner in terms of heading directly to the right position.

⁸⁷ See, Scholtz Alexander, 1982, The Economic Development in Palestine, Journal of Palestine Studies, no 10 (3) p.p. 35-58.

⁸⁸ After one week of the Zionist war against Lebanon in 2006, the Zionist regime try to stop the war, but the US department insisted to did not stop it, but after 32 days of the war, the US department declares the end of the war, without consulting “Israeli prime minister” whose secretary awaken him and told him that the war stopped!

“Althusser argues for the rejection of Marx - Engels’ journalism writings on colonialism as mere transitional analysis which was, after a short time, replaced by scientific analysis in mature Marx⁸⁹ .

According to Turner, Shlomo Avineri follows Hegel’s writings. Of course, Avineri will never find better racist support than that of a great philosopher like Hegel.

Turner wrote:

“Hegel in history of Eastern state is in general historical because he is a mere repetition of the huge destruction⁹⁰” Hegel squeezes history in the borders of European modernization or Europe’s history. He starts from and ends in Europe.

What is really strange is that Bukharin wrote that “imperialism is necessary because it is an agitator of history”. This is provocative enough. It is similar to the writings of many in recent decades who support globalism! The catastrophic result of imperialism and globalism all over the world is more than clear. It is important to note, just for comparison that Hobson, the liberal, warned us of imperialism in 1895, i.e. twenty-one years before Bukharin.

Turner quotes Avineri:

“Opportunities for the re-shaping the structure of Asiatic Societies, its total integration inside bourgeois society and finally later in the socialist is increasing.

⁸⁹ Turner, *ibid*, p. 13.

⁹⁰ Hegel 1956, p. 106”. See Ronald Munk, *The Difficult Dialogue: Marxism and Nationalism*, Zed Books, 1986, p. 22.

This related to as much as possible of direct European domination over those societies”⁹¹

Turner continued:

“ Avineri integrates between Borochov’s geographic solution of the Jewish Question and Hegel’s perspective on the historical process, for the sake of diagnosing the Israeli society and Zionist socialism. The tragedy of the Arab/Israeli confrontation lies in the fact that it represents a conflict between two national social movements where Jewish nationalism alone is radical and progressive. The Jewish national movement was built on a political struggle for self-determination and social revolution for Jewish society, while the Arab nationalist movement is nearly political, and did not conduct a social Arab revolution until now.”⁹²

Avineri did not even try to hide behind the dirty lie, “Positive Colonialism”. He never dealt with the fact that ZAE is a settler colonial white one! He wrote about ZAE as if it is a state in Palestine that existed since the beginning of life on Earth. What a sneaky mind and psychology!

It is worth noting in this context that until now, there are Jewish, Arab, and Palestinian intellectuals who follow Borochov’s description of Zionism.

⁹¹ Avineri, Shlomo, (ed), Karl Marx on Colonialism and Modernization (New York, Garden City, Doubleday, 1968, p. 18) quoted in Turner, *opcit*, p. 38.

⁹² Avineri, Shlomo, “The Palestinians and Israel” *Commentary*, vol, 49 (1970) pp. 34. Quoted in Turner, *opcit*, p. 38.

Noam Chomsky is another example of Borochov. Chomsky, as an old Zionist, yearns for the old version of Zionism as if it started by a nation inside its own homeland. Chomsky limits himself to criticizing Zionist policies in the 1967 occupation, occupation of the West Bank and Gaza 1967, neglecting deliberately the first and the main occupation of most of Palestine in 1948.⁹³

Noam Chomsky looks like a *Salafi* Zionist when he wrote:

“What I said was that I remain a Zionist in the sense of Zionism in the 1940s. Zionism has changed. That does not mean my views have”⁹⁴.

What was Zionism in the 1940s? It was a white settler colonial gang depending on British imperialism to conquer Palestine and evict its people. It was and still is an inferior colonial tool for the superior colonial center. What was Chomsky expecting Zionism to be as long as it started as settlers of others' homelands? Is there any other route of settler colonial ideology and regime? In fact, Zionism is worse, it pretends that it is a secular ideology, but at the same time, it stems

93 See, The DV Poetry Page Rejoinder to Criticism of Chomsky: Asset or Liability? by Jeremy R. Hammond / July 24th, 2010

94 Axis of Logic. Noah Cohen, “Noam Chomsky and ‘Left’ Apologetics for Injustice in Palestine”, August 23 >

http://www.axisoflogic.com/artman/publish/article_11169.shtml12004,

Noam Chomsky was interviewed by Justin Pdour and Stephen Shalom. March. 3, 2004:

<http://www.zmag.org/content/showarticle.cfm?ItemID=5240>

from the big Jewish myth that God promised Jews the land of Palestine. Any politician or writer who did not negate Zionism's false secularism since its project stems from a religious myth is in fact colluding with it.⁹⁵

The same is for the Palestinian Azmi Bishara, who said about Zionism that, "I was accustomed to looking at it as a colonial movement by itself or essentially, but through my readings of Zionist literature, I am sure that it is more complex than that. It looks at itself as a renaissance movement, a liberation movement, and because of that, it was in a tense situation between its image about itself and its practices"⁹⁶. That is why it is not strange that the Palestinian Bishara enters the Zionist parliament and later ended up in Qatar the clientele Emirate which plays the same role as the ZAE against the Arab Homeland, especially against Syria, and wastes \$ 132 billion for the creation of ISIS. In fact, there are today large Arab and Palestinian Zionist currents and regimes that follow the racist Borochof through normalizing with the ZAE.

While Zionism pretends that it is a secular ideology and most of the world accepted that pretense, the

⁹⁵ See Adel Samara's article, It is not if Chomsky is different from Rotchioldor Merdouch? It is Arab inferior intellectualism, in Kana'an – The e-Bulletin, Volume XV – Issue 3721, 13 January 2015.

⁹⁶ Azmi Bishara Interviewed by Larry Dervner, in Jerusalem Post, (Ya Allah... Prime Minister, 29 February 1997. Quoted by Adel Samara, Bi-National State, Cultural Autonomy and State for All its Citizens are Zionist Projects, in Kanaan Quarterly no 85, p.p. 33-50.

recent developments in the year 2023 inside the ZAE confirm our argument that it is a fundamentalist regime and even a state as I noted above as long as it builds justification of the occupation of Palestine by the Torah myth.

The last elections in the ZAE brought to power the far-right coalition led by [Benjamin Netanyahu](#), Bezalel Smotrich, and [Itamar Ben Gvir](#) who replace the right coalition and decide to concentrate power in the hands of the government including the role of the supreme court. The new coalition made a coup-de tat against the so-called secular regime.

This regime was influenced by the **Influential Rabbi Who Wants to Turn Israel Into an Iran-style Fundamentalist State.**⁹⁷

⁹⁷ https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/2023-03-24/ty-article-magazine/.highlight/the-influential-rabbi-who-wants-to-turn-israel-into-an-iran-style-fundamentalist-state/00000187-0fe2-d4ca-aff-1fea051b0000?utm_source=App_Share&utm_medium=iOS_Native&fbclid=IwAR2Un5e_MuQozb4gDquyyE9WVuPFrhrINqjKgi1HDeTC03bM85SwicqqQuA

The radical theocratic vision of Rabbi Yitzchak Ginsburgh aims to uproot secular Zionism, crush the courts, subjugate the army and, in general, bring down the State of Israel as we know it, warns Prof. Motti Inbari, a scholar of Jewish fundamentalism. Ginsburgh said that he want Israel to be a theological/religious state like Iran.

And David Hearst

When Zionism splits: Israel and the warning from colonial history | Middle East Eye, 26 January 2023

Second: The Islamic State for Iraq and Syria

A complete century separates the creation of the first Terrorist Orientalist state ZAE and the second ISIS. As I noted above, the gravel holder of both states is the imperialist center, while the sources of their human ammunition are different.

As for al-Qaida/al-Nusrah/ ISIS, its creation is a form of division of labor between Saudi Arabia and imperialism in their joint project designed to terminate Arab liberation, development, and unity movement. The same project is jointly between imperialism and the ZAE. In fact, all those gravel holders of ZAE and ISIS terrorist Orientalist states are themselves terrorist regimes but different in terms of degree. The goal of all is the same, the disintegration of the Arab Homeland.

To begin with, I refer to Sindi's important article on the roots of Wahhabi ideology as an ideology that believes in the sword. Until the defeat of the Arab liberation movement in 1967 represented by secular regimes of Egypt, Syria, Iraq, and Algiers on the one hand, and the first oil shock prices in 1973 on the

other, Saudi Arabia as the center of all forces of both, regimes and forces of Politicized Islamic Religion (PIR) was offense/war against Arab liberation, development, and unity movement.

What put Regimes and Forces of Politicized Islamic Religion in a critical situation is the victory of the revolution in North Yemen (1963) and Egypt's role in that including the mobilization of thousands of its army to that country to fight the Saudi aggression against Yemen. In that situation, the nationalist Egyptian regime became very close to oil fields. From a nationalist point of view, oil is a national, not a **Qutri** wealth. But for the Saudis, it is a family property in addition to the fact that guerrilla fighters reached the edge of victory over British colonialism in South Yemen. All those factors cemented the CR alliance to defeat the Arab liberation movement as fast as possible. This was crystallized by the cry of Saudi King Faisal to US President Johnson to defeat Nasser. This took place in 1967 in the so-called Six Days War between Arabs and ZAE, which is in fact between Arabs and the CR camp.

Following the 1967 defeat and the first oil shock in 1973, Saudi Arabia got rid of its Arabic enemy Naserism and Baa'htism on the one hand and acquired a huge money liquidity devoted to terminating Arab nationalism on the other. Moreover, it acted on spreading Wahhabi and Muslim Brothers

(MB) ideology to fill the gap lost after the defeated Arab liberation movement with its nationalist and communist forces.

As for US imperialism, oil shocks and skyrocketing oil prices became a challenge in terms of finding channels to re-stealing the accumulated money liquidity from the hands of Gulf regimes, especially Saudi Arabia.

Two means were invented:

First, The US plan was to persuade Saudi rulers that it is to their advantage to build a large network of highways, roads, new buildings, bridges etc. Saudi regime accepted the US suggestion/plan⁹⁸, which meant the oil rent surplus has to be transferred to US banks to cover the cost US companies conducting the huge project.⁹⁹ As I noted before, this money was a huge support for the US war budget.

Second, which is more interesting here, is the so-called *Jihad* against Communism which followed the Soviet intervention in Afghanistan based on the demand of the “socialist” regime there.

The US found it as a golden opportunity to launch a war of attrition against the USSR which was already suffering economic problems. The top political advisor of US national security in 1978 *Zbigniew Brzezinski* who insisted on the creation and support of *al-Mujahidin* expected that the Soviet intervention in

⁹⁸ See John Perkins, *Confession of a Hit-Man*, New York: Plume (Penguin Group), 2006, Ch 16, in Arabic translation, by Bassam Abu Ghazaleh,

⁹⁹ *Ibid.*

Afghanistan will lead to its collapse. Many fall into exaggeration to consider his expectation as a prophecy! In fact, it is logical to expect that taking into consideration the economic hardships of the USSR after the two Oil shocks (1973 and 1985) and the role of Saudi Arabia to serve US wars against the USSR by bringing oil prices down as it did on several occasions.

The joint US-Saudi coalition against Afghani's new regime wasn't a secret or not expected. The most obvious evidence is the creation of *al-Qaida*, as detailed before. The importance of that never came from the hesitation of the United States to repeat its bitter lesson in Vietnam, or from the available huge Saudi financial resources. The importance came, in fact, from the available source of youths educated by Wahhabism from Saudi and many Arab and Islamic countries, especially after the defeat of the Arab liberation movement. Those young people were ready to fight the *Kuffar* (infidels) and the Soviets as communists and Christians in *Diar al-Islam*. The imperialist weapons and the Saudi money have no value in the absence of human factors. This human factor has been educated and fed by the Saudi regime since 1973 in all Arab and Islamic countries under the cover of charity, ***Daa'wah***, building mosques, and publishing extreme books in huge quantities. Later, after the defeat of the USSR in

Afghanistan, *Mujahidin* became a regular army whose profession is the war which means that they shouldn't return to Saudi Arabia, i.e. new role must be created for them.

Many thought that the CR creates a new devil after the collapse of communism which is Islam. This generalization is baseless. In fact, the alliance between imperialism and PIR has been strengthened and what I call the imperialist swallowing of Islam to reproduce it in Terrorist Orientalism, but even in different forms, Iranian, American, Turkish, Zionist, ISIS etc, but all of them are in the hands of imperialism and benefiting ZAE. The real war of CR was against the Arab Homeland especially Iraq and later Libya, Yemen, and Syria as we see at present. Islam was used to hide the real goal of imperialism which is to terminate any progressive aspect in the region. After the defeat and collapse of the USSR, the CR designed two forms of wars:

First, re-orient the human idle surplus *Mujahidin* armies to use the Wahhabi version of Islam, to fight other Muslim sects inside the Arab Homeland.

Second: build an alliance with Muslim Brothers (MB) to inherit as much as possible of Arab regimes.

Arab Spring of Terror

Many still call it Arab Spring. I wrote in my book¹⁰⁰ in early 2011 that it is the mere beginning of the revolution, but the CR jumped on its neck and subjugated it. The CR could do that because it is well entrenched inside the Arab society on the one hand, and this Arab *Intifadas* lacked a strong leading revolutionary party/s and clear revolutionary class theory. It is understandable why it lost the battle as long as the goal was vague.

The NATO destruction of Libya and recruiting terrorists from 83 states to destroy Syria and inject a new form of state "Terrorist Orientalist" ISIS state is typical of the injection of the settler ZAE in Palestine through recruiting settlers from more than a hundred nations to Palestine. Those settlers of both terrorist states are trained, armed, fed, and financed by the same alliance of terrorists: ZAE, US, EU, and Arab renter regimes.

100 Adel Samara:Thawrah Mudadah Revolution, Premises, or Revolution, Dar Fada'at Amman, 2012.

The cost of weapons, salaries, training, logistic facilities, cars, tanks, artillery etc., to ISIS is not easy to be acquired by guerilla fighters who are naturally poor and disinherited. And if all those are available, the persons and/or equipment can't possibly reach Syria or Iraq without facilities and open borders from Turkey, Jordan, Lebanon, and ZAE. All those facilities, access, and conditions were easily available for ISIS as the first guerilla group moving from one continent to another by airplane! The main difference between the mother al-Qaida and ISIS daughter as noted earlier is that:

- Al-Qaida is a mobile terrorist organization, but ISIS as a branch of al-Qaida is trying to build a state for itself on a fixed space (land).
- While al-Qaida depends financially on the CR regimes, ISIS is trying in addition to that to have its own fixed resources.

ISIS tactics and goal is similar to that of ZAE.

This difference explains why al-Qaida lasted that long, but ISIS might be used for a shorter period or adapt according to the CR's plan.

This is the main reason that the leadership of terror is building a new coalition to replace ISIS after it served

its mission and tried to work for its own. This will be the fate of ZAE when its job and role are finished.¹⁰¹

Kurdistan Iraq, Turkey, and Western oil companies were facilitating for ISIS, before its' nearly defeat to sell the stolen Syrian and Iraqi crude oil, part of it bought by ZAE. All regimes who support ISIS were and still are supporting ZAE. Today, the US supports the Syrian separatist Kurds who occupy Syrian oil fields and the US stealing that oil and smuggling it through Iraqi Kurdistan and Turkey.

Again, the question is: Will imperialism keep ISIS for a long time like ZAE, or will it kill it for another form of clientele state(s)? Will imperialism allow ISIS or any similar agent to terminate the Saudi regime and even all Gulf regimes?

But, these questions or any similar ones are not the main issues.

The main issue for imperialism (the CR) is to keep destroying the Arab Homeland by any means or agents and stay open for any scenario, and any agent to find out what is best.

Many reports noted that the leadership of al-Qaida and ISIS were in US prisons in Guantanamo, Abu Ghraib, etc. When ISIS occupied al-Mosel in Iraq in June 2014, a lot of the forces were Sunni from the dismantled Iraqi army and the renegade Baa'thist leaders. Some of the Muslim Brothers' leadership

101 In fact, the CR uses ISIS at certain times for certain goals, which is why sometimes encourages ISIS to attack even civilian Syrians, and sometimes beats ISIS.

have a direct relationship with the US and support ISIS against Syria. Turkey's assistance, training, open borders, and airports were offered/provided to all terrorist organizations in Syria including ISIS. On several occasions, many leaders of the Syrian armed "opposition" uncovered their relationship with ZAE. The last is the direct ZAE assistance to *Jabhit al-Nusra* "Al-Qaida branch in Syria", which occupies the border lines between Syria and its occupied part by ZAE in Golan Heights.

All those facts tell us that those different forms of armed organizations have been built by three partners, the CR, ZAE, and local renegades, and did not simultaneously fall from the sky. Due to this argument, it is acceptable that this form of Islam has been swallowed by the West and reproduced and exported to here led by a leadership with two faces:

- A beard and black traditional clothes reading Qur'an, and
- Western hats on heads thinking and acting according to plans and commands from Western politicians, intelligence, and military experts' plans.

That is why, it is reasonable to consider them Terrorist Orientalism driven to build a state of their own serving the interests of imperialism and the Saudi/Qatari goal against Arab nationalism and finally

benefiting ZAE. But for sure, the terrorist CR will never let them work for themselves as long as they are usable, the lord will keep them. Imperialism will not strengthen them to occupy the Gulf, but to destroy Syria, Iraq, Yemen, Libya, Egypt, etc, i.e. the Arab Homeland.

What remains is the hard and open question: How can we face and defeat both?

Chapter Four

Politicized Religion: Old and Global

One of the biggest delusions largely circulated and recently used terms is Political Islam (PI) as a term targeting one religion by itself in an era of global cultural collapse into a very dangerous decay manifested in an increasingly politicizing of religion on a world scale.

It is astonishing and paradoxical that, while humanity is developing in technology, science, dissemination of information, etc, it is sinking into wars, racism, discrimination, hunger etc. Politicized Islamic Religion (PIR) is one of the most dangerous pathologies of the world today. To attribute PR to Islam only is really a deliberate targeting of the real version of Islam as an Arab. The irony here is that those who are targeting Islam are regimes, intellectuals, academics, and intelligence services whom themselves believe in and practice the same dangerous and dirty crime. It seems right that it is in

today's world, more capitalist domination is truly at the cost of the retreat of real collective consciousness, the retreat of philosophy, and the flourishing of PR regimes, currents, organizations, etc.

The large campaign of the bourgeois media machine against the forces of PI is very dangerous and intentionally hides and camouflages the real motives and powers behind these criminal forces which are the ruling capitalist regimes in the West, and even before, those in the East. Because western capitalism is the main supporter of regimes of PR, first in "Israel", the Zionist Ashkenazi Entity (ZAE), and later regimes of PR in the Arab Gulf countries. This collusion confirms and uncovers the deep and strong, relationship between capitalism and PR.

It is in fact an obvious hypocrisy to have the same Saudi Islamic regime launches a "holy" war against Muslims, and at the same time lead a dialogue between religions! It is good news that the Austrian regime decided recently to close a Saudi center for religious dialogue in its capital.

The phenomenon of PR has been expanded, motivated, and cultivated by political/economic interest, but covered by religious and cultural discourse, at least since feudalism, which means that

PR is manufactured by politicians. Feudal Europe launched a “Holy” war against the Arab Homeland under the cover of protecting Christ’s’ tomb! Does it deserve that? And who knows if it is there. While the reason behind that was European rulers’ strife to get rid of surplus princes who look for fiefs for themselves and the kings who were trying to get rid of the surplus population. Later, this surplus was expelled to the Americas and Priest Malthus suggested carrying on wars and disease to decrease population growth. However, the current Western regimes more and more believe in decreasing the population of countries of the periphery, especially the Arab people. The criminal former US Secretary of State and Judaist Henry Kissinger bluntly repeated that.

The current deep relationship between Western regimes, especially those of the US, and the Arab rulers of the regimes of PR and the top leaders of terrorist Islamic PR organizations fit into our analysis: that the mere existence of Arab people is targeted. The terrorist leadership of Arab PR organizations and parties is, in fact as I noted above, has a local chin directed towards the simple and naive terrorists they lead, and a hat oriented towards their Western/Zionist masters.

Political Islam Originally is not Islamic Religion

The point of departure of this argument is that all religions are negating each other. That is why, this is not historical research on the origin and development of PR, but discussing some observations on the most effective and modern ideas and roles for this dirty and criminal phenomenon. The most dangerous and effective role of PR took place during the current era of globalism; a fact that confirms the deep alliance between capitalism, especially in times of crisis, and terror. In the modern era, i.e. globalism, the counter-revolution (CR) camp invests in contradictions of religions, deepens their subjugation to capitalism and creates al-Qaida against the progressive regime in Afghanistan, labeled PR as if it is only an Islamic phenomenon. The creation of al-Qaida opened the new and most dangerous era of bloody sectarian wars in history.

Despite the fact, that Arab regimes of PR are directly indulged in this historical crime, the other components of CR play double face towards this phenomenon: On the one hand, the western rulers of CR pretend that

they are fighting Islamic terrorists i.e. forces of PR, and on the other, the same CR support Arab regimes which recruit, educate and finance the forces of PR!

This led us to inquire if the phenomenon is only Islamic or global.

It is really global.

While school textbooks and church reference praise Martin Luther as a reformist, others can consider him an enemy of humanity, and an early servant of exploitation and racism.

During the crystallization of Merchant capitalism, Martin Luther (1483-1546) emerges and shows high political theological interest in Jews during the conflict between Catholicism and Protestantism. From here, we might be able to follow the relationship between Anglicanism and Judaism which was crystallized later in granting the Jews Balfour declaration in 1917 which supported, financed, and armed until today the Jewish occupation of Palestine.

What encouraged Britain to support Jews following the victory of Mercantilist Protestant Britain by the end of the 16th century, 1688 over the Catholicism of Spain and Portugal, were the Jews who migrated to Britain and established the first bank in history in Holland.¹⁰²

¹⁰² See Mohamed Weld Elmai, The non-Jewish Origin of Zionism, published in The Arab World Geographer, Vol 5, No 1 (2002) pp 34-52,

Translated in Kanaan, no 113, April 2003, pp. 29-60, and Terrorist Orientalism in a State Form .Using Marxism, Christianity, and Islam to

Dismantle Arab Homeland, by Adel Samara, Kana'an e-Bulletin, Volume XV – Issues 3781- 3782, 24 March 2015.

Luther might be considered the godfather of Hegel and Hegelian left who ended as Eurocentric and politicized religion. Hegel squeezes history within the borders of European modernization or in the history of Europe. He starts from Europe and ends in it.

Hegel and Max Weber are the first who used the term Judeo-Christian heritage to make a unification base of modern mind and soul, but excluding Islam. This is an early foundation for neo-conservatism.

In the name of Christianity, the white settlers in South Africa and the US pretended that those countries are promised land¹⁰³, granted to them by God, and according to God's promise they slaughtered the "barbaric" native peoples. The westernization and capitalization of Christianity breeds the worst and most dangerous politicized religious sect Judeo-Christianity and at the political level the neo-conservatives.¹⁰⁴ This Judeo-Christianity is the incubator of the Zionist Ashkenazi Entity¹⁰⁵ which claims that God donated Palestine to the Jews as if he is a landlord today, the Jewish settlers are burning Palestinian wheat fields and even homes in the occupied West Bank.¹⁰⁶

103 This is a coping of the Jewish myth that God promised them to occupy Palestine.

104 Adel Samara, al-Muhafiziyah al-Jadidah, Zilal yahu/sihiyo/troteskiyah, al-Mashriq/al-A'amil Center for Cultural and development Studies, Ramallah 2014.

105 Weld Elmai, ibid,

106 https://l.facebook.com/l.php?u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.middleeasteye.net%2Fnews%2Fburning-country-israeli-settlers-torch-palestinian-fields-over-eid-holiday&h=AT08_JdRDExHZBr2chaXb8sbgOpyq5cefQB8cSpEYlw3Ma4vTBVy-8ks4nATBDswCq5tEXzTBA5Zt9y_g4Q9rnqOFz2bhBjrEcYqxIuu7Rv3L54IB7jA1JM-SfK-qKxvNoIR

Jewish/Zionist “Marxism” is Different and Even Strange!

While Zionist ideology (see later) fabricates and pairs secularism with religion, Jewish “Marxists” fabricate Marxism in the service of Judaism.

To repeat some of the previous chapters, Ber Borochov (1881-1917) argued in 1937¹⁰⁷, “that each nation stows a social system in a pyramid form: a large base of peasants and proletariat on the ground and factions of medical doctors, lawyers, intellectuals and clerks in the top. But Judaism is unique in that that its triangle is deformed (theory of upside down triangle) where a narrow base of peasants and proletariat support a large top of professionals”. Borochov argued that Judaism will never be socialist unless it is proletarian (from the proletariat). The peculiar description of the Jewish working class is its small size, its participation in commerce and distribution, and not in productive industry. This peculiarity has resulted from the peculiar historical

107 Borochov Ber, Nationalism, and the Class Struggle: a Marxian Approach to the Jewish Problem (New York, 1937).

role of Judaism as a nation with no country, homeland".¹⁰⁸

Borochov argued from a Zionist "proletariat" perspective. It is really an intrusion to the proletariat to submerge it with Zionism. It is a very strange repellent. The proletariat from a Marxist perspective is a revolutionary socialist class. There is nothing in common between this class and the settler colonial movement, Zionism. Settler colonialism is a terror in itself. When it is oriented by the capitalist West towards the Orient, it became a terrorist project".

It is really a meaningless and criminal way of thinking by Borochov who recognizes Judaism as a nation with no country, or homeland to justify the Jewish occupation of others' Homeland! In fact, without a homeland, there is no nation on the one hand, and religion is part of the culture, which is not a main factor in building a nation. Despite all of that, the Zionist, Jewish "Marxist" Borochov is asking the world to accept all the fragile and deformed cases of Jews, to accept his demand from the world to let the Jews by the name of religion evict other people from their Homeland, just to allow the upside down Jewish triangle to become a normal one, and to enable the Jews to breed proletariat in the land of others. He justifies all those crimes and deviations just to facilitate the transformation of Jews to be normal

108 Turner, Brian, Marx and the End of Orientalism, Arabic, Arabic Research association, Beirut, 1981, p.11.

people! Here we might grasp the most terrorist way of thinking which is a strong base for PR. Borochoy did not tell us: What will happen to humanity if Jews will not be socialists?

“Israel” is the most Politicized Religious State in the Modern Age before Iran

The Western media, politics, and academia pretend that Iran is a PR state, ignoring the fact that the ZAE, Israel, is the first PR even before its injection in Palestine and the eviction of Palestinian people from their Homeland.

The West's irony is in its' compromise with ZAE which declares openly that its state is the state of Jews, while Iran never calls itself the state of one religion or one race, but calls itself the Islamic Republic of Iran. The regime of PR in the ZAE did not suppress other ideologies as long as the currents of those ideologies are not against Zionism, but in Iran, no other permitted ideology!

In fact, Zionism was able to fabricate a pairing of the myth and history despite the fact that both are negating each other. In that fabrication and pairing, Zionism pretends that the current ZAE, which was gathered from more than a hundred nations from all

over the globe, is a direct extension of the old Hebrew kingdom and that the white Jews are decedents of the old Jews from 3000 years ago! However, a lot of new historical and archeological research shows that there was never a Jewish kingdom in Palestine, but in Yemen and Hejaz.

Nevertheless, far from engaging in the relationship between the new Jews, and the international Jews, the biggest Zionist lie is that it started and pretend to be a secular ideology, as its main founder Herzl and political leader Ben-Gurion claimed, while ZAE declares itself as a state, exceptional and exclusive for Jews.

ZAE does not hesitate in confirming the compromise between Zionist secularism and religion. It is not only in the name of the state as “The State of Jews”, but in practical matters since its early years.

“Ben-Gurion ... when workers went on strike in Rotenberg’s Electricity Company in Haifa, effectively eliminating the risk of contending ideologies ... the autonomy of religious education, though, was left intact”.¹⁰⁹

While experience shows that there is no real difference between left and right politics in ZAE, especially from the standpoint that it is a capitalist

¹⁰⁹ The Global Political Economy of Israel, Jonathan Nitzan & Shimshon Bichler, Pluto Press, 2002:104.

settler colonial and racist regime, one might grasp two interesting points:

- In a settler colonial regime, there is no chance for the real left
- Most of the entity is moving more and more towards fascism as noted at the end of the previous chapter.

One of the recent examples of the internal contradictions of ZAE is the speech of an academic, liberal, and former minister in its regime Amnon Rubinstein, who comments on the 2019 Knesset 21 elections:

“... The Israeli society is continuously turning too far from secular Zionism to religious Judaism”, but because Rubinstein is tight to racism he attributes the failure of the “left” to the pretend that Palestinians contribute to the “lefts” failure”¹¹⁰ while, in fact, Arabs shouldn't participate in their enemy's fake democracy. They shouldn't vote for a fake left, they shouldn't participate in voting for a parliament of the settler colonial regime which occupied their Homeland.

There are lots of similarities between the ZAE state and the ISIS terrorist state. Both are based on and stem from PR and are supported by CR. They both target deliberately the same nation, the Arab nation,

¹¹⁰ See the Hebrew Newspaper Kool Hazman, 24 April 2019.

and the real version of Islam, Arab Islam. Those two forms of state are also similar in terms of being motivated by a special understanding of religion. Their human ammunition must be from one religion, one from Jews and the other from Muslims. Despite the fact that they must be in antagonistic contradiction in terms of:

- The contradictions between religions;
- The ZAE occupation of Arab land;
- The ZAE occupation of Muslim land.

But they are in true reconciliation.

Politicized Religion as a Global Phenomenon

All Abrahamic religions are incubators for politics, but not all believers are political activists or politically oriented by religion. The same is also true for many non-Abrahamic religions. My point is while PR became a large and known phenomenon, it is there in most societies long before globalism, an issue that is out of the scope of this book.

The most current, large, criminal, and dangerous PR is neo-conservatism in the USA. This is because it is

very ideological and it is in power in a strong military and criminal colonial country for several years of the last decades, i.e. the era of globalism in general and the era where neo-liberalism is the practical economic/social policy in this aggressive country. Moreover, taking into consideration that the US economy is gradually deteriorating especially since 2007, means that the intensive economic crisis led the US conservatives to more PR orientation and chauvinism.

This ideological current provokes a very reactionary and dangerous integration between Western Christianity and Judaism on the one hand and uses this ideology against all humanity but with a special target which is the Arab nation in clear service of ZAE on the other hand. Moreover, to continue deepening its control of oil for the sake of the US economy and the control of global economies to subjugate them and keep the dollar as the world currency.

Here is the subjugation and occupation of religion to capitalism especially in the era of monopoly capital which is too much money in the hands of very few people.

This alliance of Judeo-Christians made a very dangerous intrusion inside the Arab nation by terminating and using many Arab rulers as the tool for this purpose, to the extent that millions of young

Muslims became terrorists against Arab Muslim societies!

What confirms that this Judeo-Christian alliance is a PR, is that they are against the third Abrahamic religion!, an orientation which was stressed early by Hegel, the most racist idealist nationalist philosopher, and Weber the manufacturer of Protestant Ethic and the believer in capitalism and bureaucracy in terms of the state's "right" to monopolize power. If we take into consideration that Hegel himself was racist, we will conclude that he was motivated by racist ideology more than by religion which leads him in an obvious manner to be a theorist for capitalist bourgeois states' interests of his race/nation and its regime.

The question here is: Who leads the other, is it religion or even a sect of religion or capitalism? If it is religion, then the Judeo-Christian alliance shouldn't despise Islam. One might argue that the real enemy is Eurocentricism, which is to a large extent right. But, this must be explained, i.e. that Germany which is Protestant is an enemy and ally, at the same time, to the ZAE, but against Islam absolutely. The same goes for Catholic France towards Islam. Germany still pretends after Nazism its guilty of Nazist crimes against Jews, and France is on the top of ZAE supporters, which means that both countries are conducting crimes against Palestinian people and both are contributors to global terror against Syria that

is led by US enemy under neo-conservatism. The same is for the UK, the mother of Evangelism on the one hand, and the direct breeder of both white capitalist colonial countries of the US and ZAE. But, the US, Germany, Britain, France, and to a certain extent all of the West maintain good relations with the very Muslim reactionary, non-democratic, brutal Arab Oil regimes! Is there any reason for that other than the capitalist interests of the West?

Then, what is the integrator of all those countries to be an enemy against Islam on the surface, but targeting the Arab nation practically? For sure there is Euro-centricity behind that, but its motivator is capital interest.

Does that explain why Arab “very” Muslim rulers are agents for those enemies of the Arab nation and even Islam? I mean material interests, i.e. power and capital.

In fact, it is power, capital, and hypocrisy, especially from the West, i.e. the “paradise” of democracy and civil society inside their countries and the enemies of all humanity outside. This is really the behavior of savage society.

But, why Arab rulers are in alliance with the enemies of their nations and religion as explained in many places in this book? It is first of all because those rulers are against their own people and the West is protecting them from their own people.

The PR in the US motivates other racist/chauvinistic currents first in Europe, such as Britain and Italy in the West, and in Hungary in East Europe.

But PR is taking the whole world to the worst. The former president of Brazil who represents the racism of the descendants of European colonialists proudly declares a racist war against the original people of his country on the one hand, and the left on the other. His first good relations started with the most hotbed regimes of PR: the US regime under Trump and ZAE. The same is in countries in Asia, especially India which is always described by Western media and academia as the largest democratic country!

In an interview, Arundhati Roy made it clear that behind PR there is the real content of capitalism and racism:

“... referring to Narendra Modi, the then-chief minister of Gujarat who had been implicated in the 2002 anti-Muslim riots in the state that killed at least 1,000 people...Following India’s latest elections, which Modi’s Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) won with a landslide on a brazen message of Hindu supremacy, he is set for a second term as prime minister—and is more powerful than ever... promising both the glorious resurrection of Hindustan and neoliberal reforms... but if a novel can have an enemy, then the enemy of this novel is the idea of ‘one nation, one religion, one language,’” which is the slogan of

Modi's *Hindutva* ideology...The funniest part of the Hindu Nationalists' "one language, one religion, one nation" doctrine— known here as "Hindi, Hindu, Hindustan"—is that all those three words are actually Persian words" ¹¹¹

The three words are the same as in the ZAE, Hebrew land, Hebrew work, and Hebrew state.

Roy also said:

"...first, that the 2019 election marked the official death of secularism in India"

The current global PR war launched by CR against the Arab republics of Iraq, Syria, Libya, Yemen, and Algeria is against secularism in the Arab Homeland.

While PR became a global phenomenon, PR in Arab countries is different from most of the world, as I noted in several places in this book. In most of the world, PR organizations and regimes are in the service of nationalism; their loyalty is to the country's nationalism and national aspirations are the motives of that PR, regardless if it is patriotic or chauvinistic. In fact, PR currents in most of the world are not oriented or used against its own nations' interests, integrity, or development. Many PR activities are used in most of the world as religious rituals and traditions, but these activities are used and aggressively

¹¹¹ <https://newrepublic.com/article/154011/arundhati-roy-indias-elections-a-mockery-democracy-supposed-be>

devoted to other countries. The reason might be that religious organizations have been part of the builders of those capitalist formations.

But as I noted earlier, in Arab countries PR movements and regimes are against the Arab nation on the one hand, fighting Arab secular states and targeting all Arab secular regimes on the other. They are launching a religious war even against and between Muslim sects, a policy which will finally push the Arab Homeland back to the Stone Age.

Chapter Five: People's Choice, the New Palestinian Guerrillas

The Palestinian neo-Fedayeen/ guerrillas 2022 is a popular double innovation, and it will not be the last, which is:

An extension of the struggle of a hundred years, it rises and declines, but it did not stop. A century was not enough to repel the normalizers with the enemy from their compromise, inferiority, and finally betrayal of the national cause. If they did not apologize and retreat; it is necessary to be deterred. The counter-revolution requires from normalizers an inevitable subjugation based on their commitment to the Internalization of Defeat (IoD).

Events that happen in human societies impose themselves without consulting human beings. It is a challenge that says: Capture the event, participate in it, develop it, and share it. This is the case of the new Fedayeen/ guerrillas as an event. It came after and as a response against huge waves of Palestinian and

Arab regimes' normalization that reached the point of raising the defeat to the point of bragging about it.

It is the IoD that gave rise to the phenomenon of underestimating the entire struggle! Underestimation is never a coincidence or a passing statement. Rather, it is the necessary step needed to introduce defeat, so that the scene, even history, appears to be vain or a mirage. Hence, the event/phenomena/situation of the new Fedayeen bridged the gap in the direct physical and psychological senses.

The event was not just a mere accumulation of various forms of the Palestinian struggle for hundred years, but rather it is redemption of the status to the level of legend, especially in terms of the unwavering attack by the new Fedayeen.

The 1987 uprising as a popular form of the Palestinian struggle was an extended *intifada* from clandestine guerrilla groups to a mass/ popular movement challenging the enemy, and the 2000 *intifada* was intense in which weapons appeared, then the current uprising is a new form of struggle composed of:

- One side, the solo/individual fighter

- The other group of guerrillas/New Fedayeen.

The first began in 2015 and was launched by Muhannad al-Halabi as a solo guerrilla to Basil al-Aaraj, until Uday al-Tamimi 2022, Khairi Alqam 2023... a solo guerrilla as well, and it will continue and the second is *Areen Al-Aswad*/Lions' Den, in cities of Jenin, Nablus al-Khalil/Hebron...etc. 2022. It is an uprising that gave our people and the nation two levels of guerrilla performance:

- Absolutely a secret solo performance, and
- Group performance / public performance which is absolutely not a clandestine form.

I had written about solo individual guerrilla performance in my book: "*The Engaged Intellectual and Individual/Solo Operations Are Raffles to Overcome the Crisis 2017*," and I saw that Basil Al-Araj combined two components of a real fighter: he combined radical intellectualism and weapons.

Our peoples' continued struggle challenges with firm responses to the denial and expected forgetting of our homeland.

This new phenomenon is the transfer of the popular presence to the active presence, as this phenomenon

was not satisfied with “I think, therefore I exist” (Descartes), but rather confirmed that existence may be impermeable, while the most important thing is that I exist and have an active presence, i.e., the transition of “existent in itself to being for itself” (Marx).

Accordingly, this phenomenon is a clash to the greatest degree with the various parties, i.e., enemies involved in the IoD, and the various forms of normalization with enemies who went to understate and distort the situation and provide explanations that are full or motivated by frustration.

Therefore, direct action with this level of confrontation and rehabilitation is reminiscent of Baader-Meinhof, the Red Brigades, and the proletarian left in France in 1968.

Uninterrupted Extension and Accumulation

It is the phenomenon of the movement of resistance and its aggravation, taking forms of performance according to the circumstances, and because this chapter is not a history of the Palestinian struggle for a century, I have shortened it to mention and not analyze, the forms of struggle within the occupied territories since 1967:

Underground/secretly armed resistance began immediately in the West Bank and Gaza Strip after their occupation by the Zionist Ashkenazi Entity (ZAE) in 1967. In the Gaza Strip, they had the most impact due to the availability of the Palestine Liberation Army with its fighters and weapons, and the role of Nasser's Egypt in arming the Strip, while in the West Bank, it depended on collecting remnants of weapons left by the Jordanian army during its withdrawal.

The West Bank was supplemented by armed groups/patrols that crossed the Jordan River, that were better armed and trained than non-trained

volunteers from the West Bank population, while in the Gaza Strip, as noted above, there were a lot of weapons and trained people from the Palestinian Liberation Army because the area was under Egyptian administration led by the late president Nasser.

The uprooting of the P.L.O. from Lebanon in 1982 led to the actual dismantling of the PLO by expelling the fighters and scattering them between Tunisia and southern Yemen, to prevail in a state of frustration that rejoiced by the enemy that everything was over, as Meron Benvenisti, the deputy mayor the of the occupied Palestinian city of Jerusalem, wrote at the time that the conflict with the Palestinians “has reached the last quarter of an hour.” But after a short time, the most prominent event surprised them and us, the 1987 *Intifada* uprising, which moved the struggle from the struggle of the elite to the struggle of the masses.

To the semi-armed intifada of 2000, for which the internalizers of defeat rejected its weaponization, underestimated it by calling it “militarism.”

One of the worst actions was a rejection of martyrdom operations by a group of intellectuals/academics who published a statement in *Al-Ayyam* newspaper, and

its' costs were paid by the European Union! (See: *Intellectuals in the Service of the Other: Statement of 55 as Model / Appendix to the Book*" Adel Samara 2003).

The New Guerrillas in Terms of Performance

This phenomenon was based on two types of patriotic combat performance:

The first performance: it is the individual operations of the individual guerrillas mainly without any connection to this or that organization but might have a previous connection, or as an extension of the atmosphere of a patriotic family. But the guerrilla here was a moon that went out of its orbit, perhaps because the orbit could no longer accommodate it, or it lost its radiation/attraction. The solo fighter might be a member of an organization but connected alone with one unknown person. Here, it can be said that Muhannad al-Halabi 2015 was the one who inaugurated this phenomenon, which we can call the new guerrilla uprising, but not the first. It must be noted that calling the 1987 uprising the first intifada is not accurate, as it is a series of events, but it is more comprehensive and higher than its predecessors, perhaps except for the 1936 revolution against British colonialism and Zionist settlers.

While Basil al-Araj is one of the new individual guerrillas he is unique because he combined Lenin's revolutionary intellectual and Mao's fighter, where the revolution stems from the barrel of a gun. He was the engaged intellectual, the term I formulated before, on the other level, Uday al-Tamimi had unique courage and continued to shoot even after the Zionist soldiers targeted him with tens of bullets.

This performance is the model of the urban guerrilla fighter, from the camp, the village, who inflicts more losses on the enemy than he loses: a model, the son of the place/camp, the yard, the alleys, cautious, secretive, silent, careful observant, skilled in reconnaissance of the place of engagement, he is a real entrant and most importantly it is an inherited line from one individual to another that has not stopped and will not stop, a series of rare individuals completely.

About these individual fighters Fedayeen and the hunger strikers for months, my 2017 book was "*The Engaged Intellectual and Individual Operations Are Leverages to Overcome the Crisis.*"

Here, the expectation, albeit relatively, is being fulfilled by the new Fedayeen. I meant from the title and the book to read or come up with an opinion and

conclusion that individual operations are a lever to restoring the collective struggle.

The Second Performance This relative blockage in the front of the collective struggle is the factor behind the emergence of the phenomenon of the second performance, which are groups of fighters:

They work openly in a state of defiance against the occupation and the Palestinian Authority, where uprooting the fear from the occupation's soldiers has resulted, i.e., "Boldness first, daring second, and daring forever / Robespierre."

Group members know each other and communicate openly with the knowledge of the people, the occupation, and the apparatus of the PA, walking around in arms and military clothing in public.

Is this falling in acceptance of the tricky policy of the former Zionist Prime Minister Menachem Begin 1977 which encourages people to declare their support for P.L.O publicly which reveals their loyalty and facilitates its undermining? Of course, this deception was supplemented by the Oslo Accords, which were marketed as peace agreements, so public offices were opened for the various PLO factions, which create confusion and contradiction in the sense:

The occupation was still not uprooted from the occupied areas, and the unsecret struggle was still not in liberated areas as long as guerrilla bases were not established.

Uncovered and massive struggle is only a requirement for the moment of the final and comprehensive Palestinian and Arab battle of liberation.

The second performance operations are:

- Performed by more than one person.
- They act openly as if they are in areas liberated by a guerrilla war.
- Public appearance in the city of Nablus as if the city is a liberated land!

While in the city of Jenin, it was more accurate, perhaps as if it was the third performance. Perhaps this is influenced by the Islamic Jihad movement. But even in Jenin there is a degree of openness, and therefore the enemy attacks from time to time to assassinate this or that, and he will continue this tactic.

This phenomenon, the open struggle affected the public as its support increased. The reason for that fascination with their boldness may be more than the fascination with the degree of harm inflicted on the enemy. That is, the form of their performance, which is the readiness for martyrdom, raised the morale of the street.

Perhaps it is not easy to separate the two models/performances, as they are in the direction of raising the ceiling of resistance, no doubt, but the method of work in the first performance, the individual fighters, gives and even preserves the opportunity for continuity and permanence, and fewer losses and inflicting higher losses on the occupation.

We can point out here that the two performances were taken from and repeating the performance of the martyr Wadie Haddad a former founder and leader of PFLP in the plane hijacking i.e.,

- First performance takes covert, careful planning and nerve strength, and
- The second performance brought the issue back to the world's attention despite attempts to obliterate it, as interest in it reached four parts of the earth.

PA's Position and Other Parties

The Palestinian Authority (PA) suffers from a unique state of confusion as the ZAE pressures it to coordinate more effectively, and more harmful against the resistance. Some of its members support and participate in the struggle, but as an authority, it pushes towards stopping the phenomenon by containing and assimilating/employing young people in the authority's apparatus and promising to protect them from the enemy's revenge or arrest, especially the case of Musab Shtayyeh. The phenomenon of New Fedayeen obliged all security forces of the ZAE, the PA, and even the CIA to terminate it. Dr. M. Almasri a national figure in Nablus said in an interview that CIA members participated in the PA's attempts to contain these Fedayeen.¹¹²



VID-20221108-WA0004.mp4

In the first/individual performance, the effectiveness of security coordination between the PA and ZAE is weak in tracking isolated, completely confidential cases.

In the second case, the popular containment of the Lions' Den led to the authority having to take a middle position, at least in public, as we mentioned, trying to include them in the ranks of the agencies, ensuring their safety, etc., and communicating with them or their families. There is no doubt that this was coordinated with the ZAE and CIA as I noted above. But all offers were rejected.

Here it is worth asking: Has the PA reached the adoption of a division of labor? Meaning let everyone struggle as she/he sees it, and let the authority continue on the negotiating track! We do not know.

But the outcomes of the Algiers Conference for Palestinian Reconciliation in October 2022 were even less than this demand, as the so-called "Arab initiative" 2002 was adopted, that is, normalization with the ZAE fruitless on the one hand, and contradicts the new Fedayeen/guerrilla action on the other.

The Arab Summit Conference in Algeria in November 2022 also comes with the intention of presenting an injection of frustration against the New Fedayeen phenomenon regardless of whether this injection has reached the limit of its impact.

Other parties have also contributed to containing and weakening this phenomenon, such as:

- The focus of some of the internalizers of defeat is that the solution for Arab Zionist conflict is to follow the South African model, meaning that the issue is in racism, apartheid, and Zionist ideology, which argues that if the Zionists abandon Zionism, the conflict ends! This is a proposal adopted by the Trotskyites in ZAE in 1994, and many Palestinian intellectuals and self-defeated leftist cadres were involved in it. We are not here in the process of detailing the huge difference between the two cases, but rather that the conflict here is that the land belongs to one party, which is us, and therefore the solution is liberation. The position of these intellectuals reminds me of the inactive role of Russian intellectuals at the beginning of the Bolshevik October Revolution, including Maxim Gorky, who was drinking vodka in cafes and joking about the workers and peasants fighting the Tsar's armies! (For comparison with South Africa, see:

(The Israeli Apartheid in the *Context of Oslo Accords: Why it is Oslostan, not Bantustan*. In Adel Samara: *Debatable Issues: Polemic Ideas*, 2000, p.p. 68-83).

- Some claimed that this phenomenon, especially the second performance, i.e., the battalions and the Lions' Den, is the result of a stifling economic crisis that pushed young people to this form of struggle as if it was a state of despair and need. But these are false allegations. The economic situation in the West Bank is not so deteriorating in the current years. Rather, the ZAE absorbs the local labor force with wages that reach 3-4 times that in the West Bank, which led to the lack of sufficient labor force for the local economy. These young people are of working age and can work in the ZAE for attractive wages, even if they are not professionals or mental laborers.

The question: Is the ZAE doing it because of its need for the local labor force, to distract the youth from the struggle, or to undermine the production sites in the occupied West Bank and Gaza, leading to production baldness, or for all these reasons?

This is not to mention what is said or rumored that the funding of the Battalion groups and the Lions' Den is abundant.

Others argue that New Fedayeen's goal is the deconstruction of the ZAE. This has two contradictory interpretations:

- ✓ The first: stripping the ZAE of the land and stripping it of its military power, including nuclear, and the return of the Palestinian people to their land and homes, and Palestine must be part of the Arab unity state. This is only done after liberation.
- ✓ The second: It is intended that the ZAE voluntarily abandons the Zionist ideology, and thus everything that happened remains as it is, i.e., nuclear weapons, the army, the land, the home etc are kept in their hands. What is meant by this form of solution is complete surrender and the liquidation of the Palestinian cause and its absolute disengagement from the depth of Arabism.

Within this proposition lies Ilan Pappé's thesis that the Palestinian situation is a state of ethnic cleansing! This is a proposition whose foundations are crumbling for more than one reason, including:

First: In cases of ethnic cleansing, the dominant and defeated parties have a right to the homeland, and this is not the case in Palestine.

Second: Ethnic cleansing takes a specific geographical situation, not a comprehensive one, which is not the case for Palestine, because the enemy has created a state of the expulsion of the Palestinian people, but rather aims beyond, from the Euphrates to the Nile and even the Upper Nile.

- Some have repeated what others have previously said, such as Azmi Bishara and his affiliates, "The National Commission for Preserving Constants /2009-2010" under the pretext of renewing the PLO, or the current call on behalf of 14 million Palestinians to rebuild the PLO, which they have nothing to be rebuilt. It is impossible to be achieved, reconstructed because those initiated reconstructions are never different characters of those who led P.L.O to its current debacle or the so-called "new Palestinian revolutionary path *Almasar Althawri Al Filistiny Albadi*" raised in the incubators of imperialism, which supports the war of Terrorist Orientalism against Syria, stands similarly to Turkey against the Arab axis of resistance. This contradictory but sneaking position influenced and in harmony with the Zionists Bin Gurion and Jabutinsky's argument that the fate of "Israel" was conditioned by the destruction of Syria.

Enemy's Response

New Fedayeen is the popular or human contrive/innovation that confirms the superiority of human over machine despite and in consideration of its scientific and dangerousness. Despite the technological capabilities of the ZAE, which is the essence of the capabilities of the Western imperialist center, and despite the “innovations” of the intelligence services and spies, the ZAE did not catch this case in advance and was unable to eradicate it, especially the individual performance, if we do not include here the creativity of liberating the five prisoners by penetrating the Gilboa prison fortress 2022 inside the ZAE.

In the two models/performances of the New Fedayeen, the occupation was surprised by this bold and unexpected model.

In the first model, the individual model: spies/agent has been paralyzed, the phenomenon has not been paralyzed since 2015 and still exists in 2022. In terms

of diversity, it takes the form of a national front, a chain that is actually extended on an individual basis and not by communication or links between one another. It covers a comprehensive but mobile geography with the absence of factional adoption. Individual performance/model is not considered a renewal of the factions, a case that increases criticism against the factions to the point of underestimating them by a malicious decision.

In the second model, agents used all weapons that have been or have been used because the place of the Fedayeen guerrilla is self-proclaimed. It is a case of frontal war, like the war of armies, and not the war of the position, as Gramsci suggested.

Is ablation of the New Fedayeen done? Perhaps not, but the enemy excels with prolonged closures and by launching intensive raids and massive forces on the cities, Jenin, Nablus, Hebron, raids from day to day to exhaust the community and guerrilla groups and assassinate what they can, especially because excessive publicity helps it.

This prompts the question: Is it possible to change the method and stop publicity?

Perhaps the enemy knows that there is a factional adoption of this performance, especially the return of some of these factions to classically adopting certain operations. As for the adoption of some factions for individual operations, no one confirms or denies their role in them. Rather, the important thing is that adoption contributes to a return to the previous form that was unable to transform into a national front and this makes the enemy's penetration of these groups easier! Although this form of performance, the new guerrilla/New Fedayeen, is calculated as a relative renewal of the Palestinian resistance factions, reducing the underestimation of the resistance factions.

The Class Origin of the Phenomenon

An enthusiastic adventure, as much as the excitement of these young men, for someone to analyze the class origin of these heroes at least because it is a very new phenomenon.

This is what a comrade asked me to do. Therefore, what I will write are only estimates that cannot be included in the scientific or academic realm, but in the realm of revolutionary longing.

In responding to colonialism, most social classes join forces to respond, repel and liberate, and when colonialism is an uprooting white settler colonial capitalist one like the ZAE, the participation in resistance is more comprehensive.

As a very new phenomenon early class analysis of it becomes difficult. The presence of a reliable revolutionary party role to initiate, mobilize and fight may help in this.

Why is the New Fedayeen Fight Attractive?

Because accepted fighting is what the masses point to, the New Fedayeen are simple and humble teachers as long as they pick up where people's eyes, minds, and emotions point.

This means that the basis or starting point is to start patriotically, nationally, not ideologically.

People flocked to the armed struggle immediately, despite the defeat of 1967, because they knew that this struggle was the way.

In the first current performance of the guerrillas, i.e., the individual operations, we did not notice any slogans, drawings, or "logo" etc. Rather, an individual championship is making its way purely nationally and will continue and will not end, but its class origin is neither petty bourgeois/middle-class nor from the capitalist class either, the comprador or the purely

commercial, or the subcontractor, nor the recipient segment of the invisible income, and of course they are not the NGOs. Also, these people are not from the supporters of the ruling bureaucratic leadership class, and they are not from the factions except rarely, for example, the guerrillas from the Jamal family in Jerusalem from the PFLP, and often they, and others, have graduated from the schools of this or that faction.

The battalions/the second model/performance/Lion's Den are not very different from individual cases; they are from the popular classes.

There is more than one question about the Lion's Den, the most important, what is its source or organizational link and the source of its funding.

At the class level, one can place the following estimates.

In terms of the average age, the phenomenon is made up of young people, most of whom are under the age of 25, and this means that their theoretical intellectual experience is beginner/initial, meaning that their starting point is basically a national one.

Some infer that their religious orientation is from placing the image of Al-Aqsa. But the status of Al-Aqsa is the same for all Palestinians, Christians, and Muslims, and for leftists and even Marxists. It basically symbolizes the homeland, so some of them use the red keffiyeh which indicates the left's organizational origin, for example, the martyr Tamer Al-Kilani.

What supports my guess is that the weapon is also placed with the picture, and this means that the issue is a national jihadist issue, even for the religious, and non-religious in the sense of a Politicized Religion (PR.)

As for the influence of the forces of PR, the jihadist wing on them, then I think it does influence them.

As for factions' influence on the phenomenon, it is important to note that the factions that have financial capabilities are (in addition to the Fatah movement as an authority) with a religious, political, and jihadist orientation, and this is not a coincidence because Iranian support requires that. The late Ahmad Jibril, the founder of PFLP the General Commander said on Al-Mayadeen Channel before his death that Iran offered him to name his faction the Islamic Front to get the support he wanted!

Moreover, the story of the Iranian support is what leaked from the interrogation of the detainee, Musab Shtayyeh, where he confirmed the existence of great financial support for the Lions' Den. When a person enlists in a particular faction, he must be influenced by the faction's vision, determinants, and ideology, which sometimes transcend nationalism toward an ideology and, in the given case, a religious one. Just for comparison, while members of Lions' Den carry new guns Uday al-Tamimi as an individual hero uses a simple pistol.

These young men are undoubtedly from the popular classes. They are concentrated in the city, as it became clear from the clashes and the assassination of the five martyrs in November 2022 at once in the old city of Nablus. They are in the Yasmina neighborhood in Nablus, the al-Ratrut neighborhood, which is a popular neighborhood, and in Jenin, mostly from the refugee camp, Uday al-Tamimi from the Shu'fat camp, others from al-Aroub camp etc. Perhaps the choice of the old town in Nablus is because it is more protected in terms of construction for them as an old town, meaning that the place is not a condition to have a class connotation by inevitability and definiteness, but rather the connotation of the favorable location.

Additionally, since they are from the popular classes, in the camp, in the village, and in the city, this gives them mass protection, and this is neither provided nor concerned by most of the other classes, i.e., the bourgeoisie and the middle class.

On the other hand, the traditional analyses of the resistance in the previous decades described the camps as exclusively its incubator and generator. However, the current situation shows that these Fedayeen are embraced by all sectors of the society in the village, camp, and city, and this is clearer among the popular classes. We may infer this further by reading the crowds that demonstrate whether in support of the Fedayeen or their funerals. You may find the bourgeois in the place of mourning a martyr, and not in a funeral or a demonstration.

But here comes the role of age determination. At such an age, they are less linked or rooted in class, meaning that they are only students or young workers, and this means that they are not from the bourgeoisie and are not specifically from the comprador bourgeoisie, nor the commercial class, nor the subcontracting class, etc.

Concerning individual cases, Muhannad al-Halabi is from an ordinary family, and his father, a plumber, is

an individual case, or almost the beginning of the individual phenomenon. The citizens immediately reconstructed his parents' demolished home. Uday al-Tamimi is from Shu'fat camp, Thaer Washaha is from the town of Bir Zeit, and Youssef Sihweil is from the village of Ebwain, both of whom are from very ordinary families in the class sense, and Al-Jabari is from the city of al-Khalil/Hebron.

Perhaps we can risk saying that the groups of Lions' Den and the battalions are from the city and the individual guerrillas are from the camps and villages.

And if the Lion's Den is a grouping of organizations, this means that some of them are renewing themselves and that they are from the working class and the peasant youth, but their leaders are not necessarily from the popular classes but from the middle classes.

Lessons Exploitation and Fruition

The performance in this phenomenon is indeed double; individual, or of a limited number, but the fruition is national, popular, and social. So far, what is happening is a popular investment/fruition in terms of joy and embracing, but it is marred by factional exploitation to an annoying extent, and this actually embarrasses the factions and puts them in front of societal criticism.

The factions' leaders promote the phenomenon, especially on the satellite media, in an attempt to gain popularity. However, they do not direct any criticism or advice to stop the reckless publicity or to direct young people to benefit from the experiences of the resistance over decades. They did not challenge the regime's official normalization or compare it to popular redemption and does not incite/agitate the Arab street/masses to take it back from the forces of PR and the regime's organic intellectuals and orient them to support the new guerrilla phenomenon,

because these leaders are compromising the official Palestinian and Arab regimes.

Factions' leaders participated and cheered for the factional meeting of Algeria (October 2022), even though it was within the scope of the Arab normalization initiative collectively, which contradicts this Fedayeen phenomenon's goal.

Some leaders exaggerate the phenomenon to the extent that they describe it as a comprehensive revolution, although this must be linked over time to the unity of all forces Palestinian and Arab when launching the final battle, in the war of liberation.

What we can venture to say here so far is to describe the phenomenon as local not national, positional, and mobile.

What the faction leaders are doing so far is exploitation, not investment/fruit, especially for the factions that receive funding. This deprives the factions that do not receive funding from a tangible role. Rather, some of their fighters are leaking to the factions with capabilities. Therefore, some see that the performance or the second model Lions' Den and Battalion are religious before being national, and this

serves an ideology that sees conflict and solution as religious!

Despite all this, the most important investment/fruit is in keeping the issue alive and at the forefront and imposing itself on a global level, which is very important in engaging and confronting the enemy with its enormous control over the global media.

There is another clash at the level of mutual attrition, where the enemy deepens and even penetrates our blood, and in return suffers from the exhaustion of moving many sectors of its forces over the entire West Bank, confusing and restraining its settlers and pushing some to leave. They are the ones who were brought under the influence of a lie that occupied Palestine as the safest place for the Jews. In fact, the phrase the safest place for Jews includes the admission that Jews are not universally welcomed! This is in addition to human losses in the ranks of the enemy, although they are much less than the sacrifices of our people.

On the other hand, the media is exploiting this phenomenon by exaggerating it to gain a reputation at its expense. It is irresponsible exploitation or in the service of certain masters, especially its financiers.

The investment in what is positive means that it is necessary to differentiate between the mistakes of leaders in the resistance movement, the factions, and criticizing these mistakes, concessions, and negligence, and underestimating the resistance. Because underestimating the resistance is a prelude to underestimating all forms of struggle, specifically this phenomenon of the new guerrillas, taking into consideration its' shortened time and quickly reached four parts of the planet where it forced the enemy ZAE and the Arab normalizing regimes' media to acknowledge her presence even before the resistance notification.

Counter-revolutionary forces and theorists are trying to limit this phenomenon to a specific moment. Or the claim that it is a unique case completely apart and strange from the course of the Palestinian and the Arab struggle in general and that it is a case or phenomenon that has no explanation in the context of the Arab-Zionist/imperialist conflict!

Relying on what I mentioned above from readings of the phenomenon, including the fact that the phenomenon has so far been mobile, local, and positional, the guerrilla struggle must continue as it is, i.e., mobile, positional, etc., throughout time until the

comprehensive and final Palestinian/Arab last war of liberation.

No revolution or resistance begins and continues at an intense pace that is not afflicted by ups and downs. This phenomenon/scene is not a surreal case/without any connection to old or new history, but rather an extension of an extended struggle sometimes and sometimes intense.

We can be certain that the first performance of individual operations will not stop with what is the direct and close expression of the latent strength of any society facing colonialism, especially uprooting settlers. Here we must recall the mistake and sin of Palestinian intellectuals who were involved in devouring the thesis of some Zionists that the Palestinian national movement was born due to the Zionist settlement invasion!

But resistance is a latent force in any society, which appears and intensifies whenever the challenge appears and intensifies, meaning that resistance is a local generation and aggression is nothing but a challenge that must be confronted, and when aggression is over, the potential force takes other paths for a better life for nations.

The enemy can eradicate the public performance/the Lions' Den at some time and to a certain time, but this group/detachment performance can be re-emerged and can benefit from the expensive public experience to take a secret form, whether through factions or through the formation of a new faction, and the important thing remains that this performance is not against the factions it also promotes the public against counter-revolution.

And because the possibility of reviving the PLO is not possible, after all it has been involved in, the course of the struggle may take two complementary directions:

- Secret work inside the occupied territory, whether by individual or group performance, and
- Crystallizing a Palestinian leadership to be part of the resistance axes let it be called the PLO or something else, is not a problem.

What remains to be pointed out is that this phenomenon has managed to impose a lot, including that the settlers no longer control the streets except when intensely protected by the occupation soldiers. It challenges the Arab camp of IoD which attempts and looks for holes/chances to negotiate through with

the enemy. The antagonistic contradiction confirms that the struggle is the way. This road alone opens the way for the restoration of the Arab masses' direct action and support, especially at a moment in time in which the counter-revolution was able to:

- Get the official Arab regimes out of the conflict, and
- Get many Arab parties out of the conflict.

The struggle for the steadfastness of the people's front became unbreakable. Therefore, we saw the rejoicing of the Arab popular classes for this phenomenon, despite the rush of the regimes towards normalization with ZAE and the betrayal of their own countries.

Finally, I am closing this book with this chapter that contradicts the content of other chapters, i.e., the policies of Arab ruling regimes of normalization, stealing nations' wealth and smuggling it to foreign banks and even playing the role of imperialism on behalf of imperialist regimes. The book also contradicts and negates the ideology and the terror of the politicized Islamic Religion.

So, why I am closing the book with this chapter?

History and current events confirm that imperialism creates and supports the ZAE as a strategic investment for imperialism to facilitate its goal and interests of exploiting and dividing the Arab Homeland.

In fact, imperialism succeeded since the war against Iraq in 1991 in nearly terminating Arab people's nationalism as long as the Arab armies were tale to the imperialist invaders of Iraq.

Based on this victory, imperialism succeed since 2011 in creating Terrorist orientalism which deepened Arab fragmentation to the extent that an Arab regime supported terrorists of politicized religion to destroy other Arab countries, Libya, Syria, and Yemen, after Iraq. Reaching this stage of terminating Arab nationalism **Uroba**, goes as well against the Palestinian cause.

After the aforementioned success of Imperialism, Arab clientele ruling classes, and forces of PIR in terminating **Uroba**, they continue their campaign in uprooting the Palestinian cause from the culture of the Arab masses through, normalization with ZAE, and later through an exaggeration of the struggle of the new Fedayeen to put in the minds of the Arab popular classes that:

- The Palestinians are able alone to liberate their country and that is why, leave their cause for themselves.
- The destruction of the Arab country/s by other means, that those countries have nothing in common except that they are geographical neighbors and accordingly none of those countries are obliged to help any other, including Palestine. Aiming to uproot Palestinian cause from the minds and emotions of the Arab masses.

The continuity of the Palestinian struggle and the uncovered role of the ZAE as an enemy against all Arab nations; maintains Arab masses loyal to Palestine. Moreover, it makes Arab regimes, imperialism, and ZAE always worried despite the fact that Arab people's position towards Palestine is still on an emotional level.

Accordingly, Arab regimes that normalized with the ZAE condemned the new Fedayeen operations but did not condemn the ZAE massacres against civilian Palestinians. Those regimes deeply worry about the Palestinian struggle being expanded and imitated by their own masses.

The aim of adding this chapter is the opposite, the challenge of that of the ruling classes.

Appendix I

US War against Syria, US Leading World to Nuclear War

As noted before not all imperialist crimes were publically known, but despite the tightening scandal of control media following the war in Ukraine, some people got the chance and courage to speak in the open about the West's terror all over the world even those who are not leftists or Marxists, i.e. the liberal Noam Chomsky and even the American economist Jeffery Sachs who designed the Shock Therapy for capitalization of Russia after the collapse of the Soviet Union which led to famine in Russia. He condemned the US and UK war against Iraq, Syria, Libya etc.

https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=1733693893629162&id=100009657040467&sfnsn=mo

One of the best and most detailed speeches that uncover the relationship between the US regime and the terrorist organizations of PIR is the long video of Col. Richard H. Black — U.S. Leading world to nuclear war delivered to Mike Billington with Executive Intelligence Review interviews Col. Richard Black (ret.)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dcp0TYx_eUI,

[and this link is his long speech:](#)

https://youtu.be/zRf_PmExpJM

But, who knows when this video will be omitted especially after the West's scandal of controlling media since the beginning of the Russian defense war in Ukraine.

This video is a document that uncovers the practical content of Terrorist Orientalism and explains that the US CIA prepared the terrorists and ordered them to start military ambushes against the Syrian army.

Black said: "Well, first of all, the American involvement, the United States war against Syria is a war of aggression. We put a highly secretive CIA special activities center—these are kind of the James Bond guys of the Central Intelligence Agency, total Machiavellian; they will do anything, there's no it's no holds barred with these guys. We sent them in, and we started the war in Syria. The war didn't exist until

we sent the CIA to coordinate with Al Qaida elements. So, we began the war, and we were not invited into Syria”.

This is the content of Terrorist Orientalism that the plan designed in the West and the terror executed by local terrorists.

This is the whole speech, I left it in the source:

“Billington: Hi, this is Mike Billington with Executive Intelligence Review and the Schiller Institute. I am here today with Colonel. Richard Black, and Sen. Richard Black, who, after serving 31 years in the Marines and in the Army, then served in the Virginia House of Delegates from 1998 to 2006, and in the Virginia Senate from 2012 to 2020. I’ll also allow Colonel Black to describe his military service himself. So, Colonel Black, welcome. With the U.S., U.K., and NATO surrogate war with Russia, which is taking place in Ukraine, and the economic warfare being carried out directly against Russia, this has been accompanied by an information war that is intended to demonize Russia and especially President Vladimir Putin. One repeated theme is that the Russian military is conducting ruthless campaigns of murder against civilians and destruction of residential areas, often referring to the Russian military operations in Syria, claiming that they had done the same thing in Syria, especially against Aleppo. These are

supposedly examples of their war crimes and crimes against humanity.

You have been a leading spokesman internationally for many years, exposing the lies about what took place in Syria and the war in Syria. So first, let me ask: How and why did Russia get involved in Syria militarily? And how does that contrast with the U.S. and NATO's supposed justification for their military intervention in Syria?

BLACK: Well, let me begin, if I could, by telling our listeners that I am very patriotic: I volunteered to join the Marines and I volunteered to go to Vietnam. I fought in the bloodiest Marine campaign of the entire war. And I was a helicopter pilot who flew 269 combat missions. My aircraft was hit by ground fire on four missions. I, then, fought on the ground with the First Marine Division, and during one of the 70 combat patrols that I made, my radiomen were both killed, and I was wounded while we were attacking and trying to rescue a surrounded Marine outpost.

So, I am very pro-American. I actually was part of NATO and was prepared to die in Germany, to defend against an attack by the Soviet Union.

But Russia is not the Soviet Union at all. People do not understand that because the media have not made it clear. But Russia is not a communist state; the Soviet Union was a communist state.

Now, one of the things that I have seen claimed, that has been particularly irritating to me because of my experience with Syria: I have been in Aleppo City. Aleppo city is the biggest city in Syria, or it was at least before the war began. And there was a tremendous battle. Some call it the “Stalingrad of the Syrian war,” which is not a bad comparison. It was a terribly bitter battle that went on from 2012 until 2016. In the course of urban combat, any forces that are fighting are forced to destroy buildings. Buildings are blown down on a massive scale. And this happens any time that you have urban combat. So, I walked the streets of Aleppo, while combat was still in progress. I have looked across, through a slit in the sandbags at enemy-controlled territory; I have stood on tanks that were blown out and this type of thing.

What I do know, and I can tell you about Aleppo is that Russia was extremely reluctant to get involved in combat in Syria. The war began in 2011 when the United States landed Central Intelligence operatives to begin coordinating with Al Qaida and other terrorist groups. And we had been unwavering supporters of Al Qaida, since before the war formally began. We are supporters of Al Qaida today, where they’re bottled up in Idlib province. The CIA supplied them under secret Operation Timber Sycamore. We gave them all of their anti-tank weapons, all of their anti-air-missiles. And Al Qaida has always been our proxy

force on the ground. They, together with ISIS, have carried out the mission of the United States, together with a great number of affiliates that really are kind of interchangeable. You have the Free Syrian Army soldiers move from ISIS to Al Qaida to Free Syrian Army, rather fluidly. And so, we started that war.

But the United States has a strategic policy of using proxies to engage in war. And our objective was to overthrow the legitimate government of Syria, and to do that, we employed proxy soldiers who were the vilest of all terrorists. Something very similar is happening right now in Ukraine.

But going back to Aleppo, the Syrian army, together with Hezbollah, was very effective; some troops were organized by Iran also, but it was pretty much a Syrian show, certainly directed by Syrian generals. And they had fought this bitter urban combat, very brutal, very deadly. And they had fought it for four years before Russia ever joined the battle. So, after four years, the city of Aleppo had enormous destruction. And at that point, the Russians, at the invitation of the legitimate government of Syria, entered the war. But unlike many of the media reports, they did not enter the war as a ground force. Now, they had some small ground forces. They had military police; they had a few artillery units, a few special operations people, and quite a number of

advisers and that sort of thing. But they were not a significant ground force.

On the other hand, they were a significant and very effective air force that supplemented the Syrian Air Force. But it really was just the last year of the war, the battle for Aleppo, just the last year that they entered, and their air power was very effective. And by this time, the Syrians had pretty well worn down the terrorist forces. And the Russian assistance was able to tip the balance, and Aleppo was the grand victory of the entire Syrian war.

But to blame the Russians for the massive destruction that took place within Aleppo is bizarre: Because they were not there, they were not even present when this happened. So, this is simply another part of the propaganda narrative, which is very effective for the West, demonizing Russia, and making claims that have no substance. But people do not remember the history of these things—they are rather complex. So, no: Russia was not in any respect responsible for the massive destruction of the city of Aleppo.

BILLINGTON: How would you contrast the methods of warfare followed by Russia, as opposed to the U.S., and allied forces in Syria?

BLACK: Well, first of all, the American involvement, the United States war against Syria is a war of aggression. We put a highly secretive CIA special activities center—these are kind of the James Bond

guys of the Central Intelligence Agency, total Machiavellian; they will do anything, and there is no it is no holds barred with these guys. We sent them in, and we started the war in Syria. The war did not exist until we sent the CIA to coordinate with Al Qaida elements. So, we began the war, and we were not invited into Syria.

In fact, the United States has seized two significant parts of Syria. One is a very major part, the Euphrates River, which carves off about a third of the northern part of Syria: The United States invaded that portion. We actually put troops on the ground, illegally—against any standard international law of war—it was just a seizure. And this was something that was referred to by John Kerry, who was then the Secretary of State, and he was frustrated at the tremendous victory of the Syrian Armed Forces against Al Qaida and ISIS. And he said, well, we probably need to move to Plan B. He did not announce what Plan B was, but it had it unfolded over time: Plan B was the American seizure of that northern portion of Syria. The importance of taking that part of Syria is that it is the breadbasket for all of the Syrian people. That is where the wheat—Syria actually had a significant wheat surplus and the people were very well fed in Syria, before the war. We wanted to take the wheat away, to cause famine among the Syrian people.

The other thing we were able to do is to seize a major part of the oil and natural gas fields. Those also were produced in that northern portion beyond the Euphrates River. And the idea was that, by stealing the oil and then the gas, we would be able to shut down the transportation system, and at the same time, during the Syrian winters, we could freeze to death the Syrian civilian population, which in many cases were living in rubble, where these terrorist armies, with mechanized divisions, had attacked and just totally destroyed these cities, and left people just living in little pockets of rubble.

We wanted to starve, and we wanted to freeze to death the people of Syria, and that was Plan B.

Now, we became frustrated at a certain point that somehow these Syrians, these darned Syrians—it is a tiny little country, and why are these people resilient? They are fighting against two-thirds of the entire military and industrial force of the world. How can a nation of twenty-three million people possibly withstand this for over a decade? And so, we decided we had to take action, or we were going to lose Syria. And so, the U.S. Congress imposed the Caesar sanctions. The Caesar sanctions were the most brutal sanctions ever imposed on any nation. During the Second World War, sanctions were not nearly as strict as they were on Syria.

We were not at war with Syria! And yet we had a naval blockade around the country. We devalued their currency through the SWIFT system for international payments, making it impossible for them to purchase medications. So, you had Syrian women who would contract breast cancer, just like we have here in this country. But instead, where in this country where breast cancer has become relatively treatable, we cut off the medical supplies so that the women in Syria would die of breast cancer because they could not get the medications because we slam their dollars through the SWIFT system.

One of the last things that we did, and the evidence is vague on it, but there was a mysterious explosion in the harbor in Lebanon, and it was a massive explosion of a shipload of ammonium nitrate fertilizer. It killed hundreds of Lebanese people. It wounded thousands and thousands and destroyed the economy of Lebanon. And, most importantly, it destroyed the banking system of Lebanon, which was one of the few lifelines remaining in Syria. I do not think that explosion was accidental. I think it was orchestrated, and I suspect that the Central Intelligence Agency was aware of the nation that carried out that action to destroy Beirut Harbor.

But throughout you see this Machiavellian approach, where we use unlimited force and violence. And at the same time, we control the global media, to the

point where we erase all discussions of what's truly happening. So, to the man or the woman in the street, they think things are fine. Everything is being done for altruistic reasons, but it is not.

BILLINGTON: Part of your military service was as a JAG officer, and for a period of time, you were the Army's head of the criminal law division at the Pentagon. And in that light, what do you see as how these Caesar sanctions—how would you look at those from the perspective of international law and military law?

BLACK: Well, now, I was not the international law expert. I was a criminal law expert. But I would say that making war on a civilian population is a crime of grave significance in the law of war.

One of the things that we did as we allied ourselves with Al Qaida, and on and off with ISIS; I mean, we fought ISIS in a very serious way, but at the same time, we often employed them to use against the Syrian government. So, it is kind of a love-hate. But we have always worked with terrorists. They were the core.

One of the policies that were followed was that under this extreme version of Islam, this Wahhabism, there was this notion that you possess a woman that you seize with your strong right arm in battle. And this goes back to the seventh century. And so, we facilitated the movement of Islamic terrorists from 100

countries, and they came, and they joined ISIS, they joined Al Qaida; they joined the Free Syrian Army, all of these different ones. And one of the things that they knew when they arrived is that they were lawfully entitled to murder the husbands—I'm not talking about military people, I'm talking about civilians—they could murder the husbands, they could kill them, and then they could possess and own their wives and their children. And they did it in vast numbers.

And so, there was a campaign of rape, it was an organized campaign of rape across the nation of Syria. And there actually were slave markets that arose in certain of these rebel areas where they actually had price lists of the different women. And interestingly, the highest prices went to the youngest children, because there were a great number of pedophiles. And the pedophiles wanted to possess small children because, under the laws that were applied, they were permitted to rape these children repeatedly. They were able to rape the widows of the slain soldiers or the slain civilians and possess them and buy them and sell them among themselves. This went on.

I am not saying that the CIA created this policy, but they understood that it was a widespread policy, and they condoned it. They never criticized it in any way. This was so bad, that I spoke with President Assad, who shared with me that they were in the process—

when I visited in 2016; I was in a number of battle zones, and the capital. And I met with the President, and he said that at that time, they were working on legislation in the parliament, to change the law of citizenship. They had always followed Islamic law, which was that child citizenship was derived from the father. But there were so many tens, hundreds of thousands of Syrian women impregnated by these terrorists who were imported into Syria, that it was necessary to change the law so that they would have Syrian citizenship and they would not have to be returned to their ISIS fathers in Saudi Arabia, or Tunisia. They could be retained in Syria. And I checked later, and that law was passed and implemented.

But it just shows utter cruelty. When we fight these wars, we have no limits on the cruelty and the inhumanity that we are prepared to impose on the people, making them suffer, so that somehow that will translate into overthrowing the government, and perhaps taking their oil, taking their resources.

BILLINGTON: Clearly, the policy against Russia today, by the current administration.

BLACK: Yes. Yes. You know, Russia is, perhaps more blessed with natural resources than any other nation on Earth. They are a major producer of grain, oil, aluminum, fertilizers, and an immense number of

things that tie into the whole global economy. And no doubt there are people who look at this and say, "If we could somehow break up Russia itself, there will be fortunes made to where trillionaires will be made by the dozens." And there is some attraction to that. Certainly, you have seen some of this taking place already, with foreign interests taking over Ukraine, and taking its vast resources.

But we began a drive towards Russia, almost immediately after the Soviet Union dissolved in 1991. The Soviet Union dissolved; the Warsaw Pact dissolved. And unfortunately, one of the great tragedies of history is that we failed to dissolve NATO. The sole purpose of NATO was to defend against the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union no longer existed. NATO went toe with the Warsaw Pact. The Warsaw Pact was gone; it no longer existed. There was no purpose in NATO's continuing to exist. However, we retained it, and it could not exist unless it had an enemy. Russia was desperate to become part of the West.

I met with the head of Gazprom, the largest corporation in Russia, and this was shortly after the demise of the Soviet Union, and he described to me how they were struggling to have their media be as free as it was in the West. And they perceived us as being much freer and more open than we were. And he said, you know, we have got this problem because

we have this uprising in Chechnya, which is part of Russia. And he said the Chechnyan rebels send videos to Russian television, and we play them on Russian television because that is the way freedom of speech works.

And I said, "Are you kidding me?" I said, "You're publishing the enemy propaganda films?" He said, "Yeah." He said, "Isn't that the way you do it in the United States?" I said, "No. In the Second World War, we took the head of the Associated Press and we put him in charge of wartime censorship, and it was very strict."

So, this is just an example of how they were struggling. They went from being an officially atheist country, to where they became the most Christianized major nation in Europe, by far. Not only were the people, the most Christianized people in any major country in Europe, but the government itself was very supportive of the church, of the Christian faith. They altered their Constitution to say that marriage was the union of one man and one woman. They became very restrictive about the practice of abortion. They ended the practice of overseas adoptions, where some people were going to Russia and adopting little boys for immoral purposes. So, they became a totally different culture.

In any event, the United States has this long-standing strategy, this political-military strategy, of expanding

the empire. We did it in the Middle East, where we attempted to create a massive neocolonial empire. It is it became rather frayed. The people did not want it. And it seems to be doomed to extinction sometime—but it may go on for another one hundred years. But in any event, we are trying to do something similar, as we roll to the East, right up virtually to the Russian border.

BILLINGTON: So, the U.S. and U.K. position on the war in Ukraine, just over these last few weeks has now become not only supporting the war, but victory at all costs. This has been declared by Defense Secretary Austin and others. And they are pumping huge quantities of not only defensive but offensive military weaponry to the Kyiv regime. What do you see as the consequence of this policy?

BLACK: I think one thing that it will do is it will ensure that a tremendous number of innocent Ukrainian soldiers will die needlessly. A lot of Russian soldiers will die needlessly. These are kids. You know, kids go off to war. I went off to war as a kid. You think your country, right or wrong, everything they're doing is fine. It just breaks my heart, when I look at the faces of young Russian boys, who have been gunned down—in some cases very criminally by Ukrainian forces. And likewise, I see Ukrainian young men, who are being slaughtered on the battlefield.

We do not care! The United States and NATO, we do not care how many Ukrainians die. Not civilians, not women, not children, not soldiers. We do not care. It has become a great football game. You know, we have our team. They have got their team, rah. We want to get the biggest score and run it up. And, you know, we do not care how many of our players get crippled on the playing field, as long as we win.

Now, we are shipping fantastic quantities of weapons, and it has caused the stock of Raytheon, which creates missiles, and Northrop Grumman, which creates aircraft and missiles, all of these defense industries have become tremendously bloated with tax dollars. I do not think it's ultimately going to change the outcome. I think that Russia will prevail. The Ukrainians are in a very awkward strategic position in the East.

But if you look at the way that this unfolded, President Putin made a desperate effort to stop the march toward war back in December of 2021. He went so far as to put specific written proposals on the table with NATO, peace proposals to defuse what was coming about. Because, at this point, Ukraine was massing troops to attack the Donbas. And so, he was trying to head this off. He did not want war. And NATO just blew it off, just dismissed it; never took it seriously, never went into serious negotiations.

At that point, Putin seeing that armed Ukrainians, with weapons to kill Russian troops were literally on their borders decided he had to strike first. Now, you can see, that this was not some preplanned attack. This was not like Hitler's attack on Poland, where the standard rule of thumb, is that you always have a 3-to-1 advantage when you are the attacker. You have to mass three times as many tanks, artillery, planes, and men, as the other side has. In fact, when Russia went in, they went in with what they had, what they could cobble together on short notice. And they were outnumbered by the Ukrainian forces. The Ukrainian forces had about 250,000. The Russians had perhaps 160,000. So instead of having three times as many, they actually had fewer troops than the Ukrainians. But they were forced to attack, to try to preempt the battle that was looming, where the Ukrainians had massed these forces against the Donbas.

Now, the Donbas is adjacent to Russia. It is a portion of Ukraine that did not join with the revolutionary government that conducted the coup in 2014 and overthrew the government of Ukraine. They refused to become a part of the new revolutionary government of Ukraine. And so, they declared their independence. And Ukraine had massed this enormous army to attack against the Donbas. And so, Russia was forced to go in to preempt that planned attack by Ukraine. And you could see that Russia

very much hoped that they could conduct this special operation without unduly causing casualties for the Ukrainians because they think of the Ukrainians, or at least they did think of the Ukrainians as brother Slavs; that they wanted to have good relations. But there is a famous picture of a Russian tank that had been stopped by a gathering of maybe 40 civilians who just walked out in the road and blocked the road and the tank stopped. I could tell you, in Vietnam, if we had had a bunch of people who stood in the way of an American tank, going through, that tank would not have slowed down, in the slightest! It wouldn't honk the horn; it wouldn't have done anything; wouldn't have fired a warning shot. It would have just gone on. And I think that's more typical—I am not criticizing the Americans. I was there and I was fighting, and I probably would have driven the tank straight through myself.

But what I am saying is that the rules of engagement for the Russians were very, very cautious. They did not want to create a great deal of hatred and animosity. The Russians did not go in—they did not bomb the electrical system, the media systems, the water systems, the bridges, and so forth. They tried to retain the infrastructure of Ukraine in good shape because they wanted it to get back. They just wanted this to be over with and get back to normal. It did not work. The Ukrainians, the resistance was

unexpectedly hard. The Ukrainian soldiers fought with great, great valor, and great heroism. And so now the game has been upped and it has become much more serious.

But it is amazing to look and see that Russia dominates the air. They have not knocked out the train systems. They have not knocked out power plants. They have not knocked out so many things. They have never bombed the buildings in the center of Kyiv, the capital of Ukraine; they haven't bombed the buildings where the parliament meets. They have been incredibly reserved about these things, hoping against hope that peace could be achieved.

But I do not think I don't think Ukraine has anything to do with the decision about peace or war. I think the decision about peace or war is made in Washington, D.C. As long as we want the war to continue, we will fight that war, using Ukrainians as proxies, and we will fight it to the last Ukrainian death.

BILLINGTON: How do you project the potential of a war breaking out directly between the United States and Russia? And what would that be like?

BLACK: You know, if you go back to the First World War in 1914, you had the assassination of the Archduke of Austria-Hungary. He and his wife were killed. As a result of those two people being killed, you had a domino effect of all of these alliances, anger, and media hysteria. And before it was over, I

think it was fourteen million people had been killed. It's always hard to get true numbers, but anyway, it was an enormous number of millions of people who died as a result of that.

We need to recognize the risk of playing these games of chicken. Where, for example, the Turkish media just published an article saying that at Mariupol, where there was a great siege, the Russians ultimately won. The one area they haven't taken over is this tremendous steel plant. There are a lot of Ukrainian soldiers who are hold up there. And now it has come to light that apparently there are 50 French senior officers, who are trapped in that steel plant along with the Ukrainians. The French soldiers have been on the ground fighting, directing the battle. And this was kept under wraps, ultra-secret, because of the French elections that just occurred. Had the French people known that there were a large number of French officers trapped and probably going to die in that steel plant, the elections would have gone the other way: Marine Le Pen would have won. And so, it was very important for the entire deep state, that it did not come to light that these French officers were there.

We know that there are NATO officers who are present on the ground in Ukraine as advisors and so forth. We run the risk. Now, my guess is—and this is a guess, I could be wrong—but the flagship of the

Russian Black Sea Fleet, the Moskva, was sunk as a result of being struck by anti-ship missiles. My guess is that those missiles, I think there is a good chance they were fired by the French. Now, I could be wrong, but those missiles are so ultra-sensitive and so dangerous to our ships, that I do not think that NATO would trust the missiles to Ukrainians, or anybody else. I think they have to be maintained under NATO control and operation. So, I think that it was probably NATO forces that actually sunk the Moskva.

And you can see we're taking these very reckless actions, and each time we sort of up the ante—I happen to be a Republican—but we have two Republican U.S. senators who have said that “well, we might just need to use nuclear weapons against Russia.” That is insane. I think it's important that people begin to discuss what a thermonuclear war would mean.

Now, we need to understand, we think, “Oh, we're big, and we're bad, and we have all this stuff.” Russia is roughly comparable to the United States in nuclear power. They have hypersonic missiles that we do not have. They can absolutely evade any timely detection, and they can fire missiles from Russia and reach San Francisco, Los Angeles, Chicago, Detroit, Baltimore, Washington, D.C., and New York City.

And if you think about just Virginia, where I happen to live, if there were a nuclear war—and keep in mind,

they also have a very large and effective fleet of nuclear submarines that lie off the coast of the United States. They have a great number of nuclear-tipped missiles, and they can evade any defenses we have. So just in Virginia, if you look at it, all of Northern Virginia would be essentially annihilated. There would hardly be any human life remaining in Loudoun County, Prince William County, Fairfax County, Arlington, and Alexandria. The Pentagon lies in Arlington County: The Pentagon would simply be a glowing mass of molten sand. There would be no human life there. And there would be no human life for many miles around it. Just across the Potomac, the nation's capital, there would be no life remaining in the nation's capital. The Capitol building would disappear forever. All of the monuments, all of these glorious things—nothing would remain.

If you go to the coast of Virginia, you have the Norfolk Naval Shipyard; you have the Port of Norfolk. You have the greatest accumulation of naval power on the face of the Earth. This is where we park all of our aircraft carriers, our nuclear submarines, all of those things. There would be nothing remaining. There would be nothing remaining of any of those shipping industries there.

And you can carry this on. You talk about New York City, probably New York City itself, not only would everybody be killed, but it would probably be

impossible for people to inhabit New York City for hundreds of years afterward. But not only would it cease to be a place of vibrant human life, but probably going out for maybe half a millennium, it would not recover any sort of civilization.

We need to understand the gravity of what we're doing. Perhaps if it were a matter of life and death for the United States, what happens in Ukraine would be one thing? Certainly, when the Soviet Union put missiles in Cuba that targeted the United States that was worth taking the risk because it was right on our border, and it threatened us. And it was a battle worth fighting for and a risk worth taking. The Russians are in this in exactly the mirror image of that situation, because for them, the life of Russia depends on stopping NATO from advancing further right into Ukraine, right to their borders. They cannot afford not to fight this war. They cannot afford not to win this war.

So, I think, toying with this constant escalation in a war that, really, in a place that has no significance to Americans—Ukraine is meaningless to Americans; it has no impact on our day-to-day lives. And yet we're playing this reckless game that risks the lives of all people in the United States and Western Europe for nothing! Just absolutely for nothing!

BILLINGTON: Many flag-grade officers certainly understand the consequences that you just described

in a rather hair-raising way. Why is it that, while some generals are speaking out in Italy, in France, in Germany, warning that we are pursuing a course that could lead to nuclear war, why are there not such voices from flag-grade officers—retired, perhaps—saying what you’re saying here today?

BLACK: You know, there’s been a tremendous deterioration in the quality of flag officers, going back to, well, certainly the 1990s. We had very, very fine flag officers, during the time I was on active duty—I left in ‘94—just superior quality people. But what happened is, subsequently, we had President Clinton take over, and later, we had Obama. We have got Biden now. And they apply a very strict political screen to their military officers. And we now have “yes men.” These are not people whose principal devotion is to the United States and its people. Their principal devotion is to their careers and their ability to network with other military officers upon retirement. There’s a very strong network that can place military generals into think tanks, where they promote war, into organizations like Raytheon and Northrop Grumman, and all of these defense operations, where they can get on boards and things like that. So, there’s quite a personal price that you pay for saying, “Hey, stop. War is not in the interests of the American people.” If we had a better quality of individual, we would have people with the courage who would say, “I don’t care

what it costs me personally.” But it is very difficult to get into the senior ranks if you are an individual guided by principle, and patriotism, and devotion to the people of this nation. That is just not how it works. And at some point, we need a President who will go in and shake the tree and bring a lot of these people falling from it, because they’re dangerous. They are very dangerous to America.

BILLINGTON: Helga Zepp-LaRouche and the Schiller Institute have a petition — and we held a conference on April 9th on the same theme — that the only way to really stop this descent into hell and into potential nuclear holocaust is for a new Peace of Westphalia. In this case, an international conference to secure a new security architecture and a new development architecture, the right to development for all countries. And like the Peace of Westphalia, one in which all sides sit down together, recognize their interests, their sovereign interests, as including the sovereign interests of the others, and forgiving all past crimes. Anything short of that is going to keep this division of the world into warring blocs. Just like I asked what’s keeping the generals from speaking out, why, and what will it take, to get Americans to recognize that we can and must sit down with Russians, with Chinese, and with all other nations and establish a true, just world based on the dignity of man and the right to development and security?

BLACK: I think, unfortunately, there's going to have to be an enormous pain to drive that, just as there was with the Peace of Westphalia. A nuclear war would do it; an economic cataclysm of unprecedented proportions, resulting from the unbridled printing of money that we've engaged in over the last 20 years, there are things that could bring it about. But at this point, the media have been so totally censored and so biased that the American people really don't have a perception of the need for anything of that sort. It is going to be difficult.

You know, here's something interesting that has happened. Here in this country, you would think the entire world is against Russia. It is not. In fact, there are major countries of the world that lean towards Russia in this war, starting with China, but then Brazil, you've got South Africa, Saudi Arabia—a wide array of countries. India. India is tremendously supportive of Russia. The idea that somehow, we have this enormously just cause, doesn't strike a great deal of the world that it is just, and much of the world does not accept the latest propaganda about war crimes: this thing about Bucha. That's probably the most prominent of all the war crimes discussions.

And what was Bucha? There was a film taken of a vehicle driving down the road in Bucha, which had been recaptured by the Russians. And every hundred feet or so there was some person with his hands, zip-

tied behind his back, and he'd been killed. It was not announced until four days after the Ukrainians had retaken Bucha.

Now, we knew almost nothing about it. We actually didn't even have proof that people had been killed. But assuming they had, we didn't know where they had been killed. We did not know who they were. We did not know who killed them. We did not know why they were killed. No one could provide an adequate motive for the Russians to have killed them. The Russians held Bucha for a month. If they were going to kill them, why didn't they kill them during that month? And if you're going to slaughter a bunch of people, wouldn't they all be in one place, and wouldn't you gun them all down there? Why would they be distributed along a roadside, a mile along the way? It makes no sense!

What we do know is that four days after the mayor of Bucha joyously announced that the city was liberated, four days after the Ukrainian army had moved in, and their special propaganda arm of the Ukrainian military was there, all of a sudden there were these dead people on the road. How come they weren't there when the Russians were there? How come they only appeared after the Russians were gone?

If I were looking at it as simply a standard criminal case, and I was talking to Criminal Investigation Division or the FBI, or military police or something, I'd

say, “OK, the first thing, let’s take a look at the Ukrainians.” My guess would be, and you start with a hunch when you’re investigating a crime—my hunch is that the Ukrainians killed off these people after they moved in, and after they looked around, and said, “OK, who was friendly towards the Russian troops while the Russians were here? We are going to execute them.” That would be my guess. Because I don’t see any motive for the Russians to have just killed a few people on their way out of town.

And nobody questions this because the corporate media are so monolithic. We know for a fact, from the mouth of the head of a Ukrainian hospital, the guy who ran the hospital, boasted that he had given strict orders to all of his doctors, that when wounded Russian POWs, when casualties were brought in, they were to be castrated. Now, this is a horrific war crime, admitted from the mouth of the hospital administrator, and the Ukrainian government said, “We’ll kind of look into that,” Like it’s no big thing. I can’t think of a more horrific, horrific war crime, ever. Where did you hear about it, on ABC and MSNBC and CNN and FOX News? Not a whisper. And yet the proof is undeniable. We had another clip where there was a POW gathering point, where the Ukrainians would bring POWs to a central point for processing—and this is about a seven-minute video—and the Ukrainian soldiers simply gunned them all down. And

they had probably thirty of these wounded Russian soldiers lying on the ground, some of them clearly dying from their wounds. Some of them put plastic bags over their heads. Now, these are guys who are laying there, sometimes fatally wounded with their hands zip-tied behind their backs, and they've got plastic bags over their heads, making it difficult to breathe. And because they can't raise their hands, they can't take the bags off, so that they can breathe. At the end of the video, the Ukrainians bring in a van, and there are three unwounded Russian POWs. Without the slightest thought or hesitation, as the three come off, and their hands are bound behind their backs, they gunned down two of them; right on camera and they fall over. And the third one gets on his knees and begs that they won't hurt him. And then they gun him down! These are crimes. And these were not refuted by the Ukrainian government. But you'd never even know that they occurred! So far, I will tell you that the only proven—I'm not saying that there aren't war crimes happening on both sides. I'm just telling you, that the only ones where I have seen, fairly irrefutable proof of war crimes, have been on the Ukrainian side.

Now, often you hear it said, well, the Russians have destroyed this or destroyed that. Well, I've got to tell you, you go back to the wars that we fought when we invaded Iraq, the "Shock and Awe," we destroyed

virtually everything in Iraq, everything of significance. We bombed military and civilian targets without much discrimination. The coalition flew 100,000 sorties in 42 days. You compare that to the Russians, who have only flown 8,000 sorties in about the same period of time. 100,000 American sorties versus 8,000, in about the same time. I think the Russians have tended to be more selective. Whereas we went out — the philosophy of Shock and Awe is that you destroy everything that is needed to sustain human life and for a city to function. You knock out the water supply, the electrical supply, the heat, the oil, and the gasoline; so that you knock out all of the major bridges. And then you just continue to destroy everything.

So, it's really ironic. And keep in mind, Iraq is a relatively small country. Ukraine is a huge country. 100,000 sorties in 42 days, 8,000 sorties in about the same time. A tremendous difference in violence between what we did in Iraq, and what they have done in Ukraine. So, there's simply no credibility when you actually get down to the facts and you look at the way that the war has been conducted.

BILLINGTON: Well. Senator Black, Colonel Black. I think the way you have described the horror that's already taking place and considering that we can't wait for a nuclear war to provoke a new Peace of Westphalia, I would suggest that what you have

described is already horrific enough. And when combined with the hyperinflationary breakdown now sweeping the Western world, with everybody being affected, we believe that we have to take that as the adequate horror, and recognition of a descent into a dark age, to motivate citizens in Europe, in the United States.

We are finding that there is a waking up of people who have not wanted to look at their responsibility to the human race as a whole in the past, but who now are forced to consider that, which is the basis on which we've called for this, in this petition, for an international conference of all nations, with the U.S., Russia, China, India and so forth, sitting down to end this horror; but to also bring about true peace for mankind and an era of peace through development.

And we thank you for giving this breath of ugly truth to a population that needs to hear it. If you have any final thoughts, I ask you to give your final greetings.

BLACK: I'll just add one thing, and I thank the Schiller Institute for the tremendous effort that you've made toward achieving world peace. It is one of the most important efforts ever made, and I certainly applaud that.

If you look at Russia, the Russian troops that went into battle in Ukraine, for the most part, had never experienced combat. This is a peacetime army. Russia does not fight overseas wars. Syria is the only

significant overseas engagement that they have had. You compare that with the United States, where literally speaking if a soldier retires today after a 30-year career in the military, he will not have served a single day when the United States was at peace. Kind of an amazing thing. And you contrast that with the Russian military, where, with few exceptions, the country has been at peace.

So, we really need to start thinking about peace and the limits of warfare, this idea that somehow, we need a zero-sum game where we take from you and that enhances us. We are in a world where everyone can gain and prosper through peace. But I am concerned that hyperinflation may be the wake-up call that jolts the world into a recognition that we must have a new paradigm for the future, and I think the Peace of Westphalia at that point might become a possibility.

So, thank you again for the opportunity to be here. There is always hope, and I think there'll be good things in the future, with the blessings of God.

BILLINGTON: And thank you very much from Schiller Institute, The LaRouche Organization, and EIR. We will get this posted as quickly as we possibly can because it's going to have a tremendous impact. Thank you."

When any human being listens to this long and obvious speech, she/ he will never need more

clarification about the US manufacturing the political Islamist terror in the Arab Homeland.

Appendix II

Terms and Definitions

Daa'wah: It is a policy by the Saudi regime which markets its ideology of Politicized Religion Wahabism as a very reactionary ideology. The Saudi regime wastes a lot of money in the form of bribes, corruption, and buying loyalty to influence as much as possible of Muslims to follow its ideology.

Imam: The person who leads Muslims, especially at times of praying.

Iqlimi and Qutri: a political trend/s that is based on and supports the fragmentation of the Arab nation into different countries as if each Arab country is a nation by itself. Iqlimi and qutri are trends led by comprador elites or classes dependent on the capitalist center and accordingly those are against Arab nations, nationalism, and unity.

Jihad al-Nikah: It is a slogan by the religious Islamic leaders who ask Muslim women to go to Syria as a donation for male terrorist fighters against the Syrian regime to satisfy them sexually in a free manner and told them that donating their bodies to male fighters is a Jihad through sex against the Syrian regime who, for them, deviates from Islam.

Maghrib and Mashriq: It is a name for the two parts of the Arab Homeland.

Nakba: A term that refers to the Zionist occupation of Palestine and the eviction of Palestinians from their Homeland and became refugees scattered all over the globe.

Tagreef: Shoveling wealth either through a Trickle-down economy or through non-productive spending.

Tajweef: Emptying people's consciousness through repression, no liberties, no real opposition parties, no free press, and in some cases formal parliament, it is hollowing of popular consciousness.

Ummah: In general it means nation, but for politicized religion, it means that all Muslims all over the world are one nation, i.e. it is against nations and in the end against nationalism. That is why those people consider nations and nationalism to be imported from the West, but in fact, nations existed before religions.

Uroba: It is a term referring to all nations, ethnicities, religions, and races which originally exist in the region extending from the Atlantic Ocean until the Arab Gulf who have a joint culture, interest in development, unity, and even socialism. It is a term for the future.

Willayah: It is a geographic district of a country when divided into an administered manner.